



Center for Open Access in Science

Open Journal for
Psychological Research

2024 • Volume 8 • Number 1

<https://doi.org/10.32591/coas.ojpr.0801>

ISSN (Online) 2560-5372

OPEN JOURNAL FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL RESEARCH (OJPR)

ISSN (Online) 2560-5372

<https://www.centerprode.com/ojpr.html>

ojpr@centerprode.com

Publisher:

Center for Open Access in Science (COAS)

Belgrade, SERBIA

<https://www.centerprode.com>

office@centerprode.com

Editor-in-Chief:

Emelina Valentinova Zaimova-Tsaneva (PhD)

South-West University "Neofit Rilski", Faculty of Philosophy, Blagoevgrad, BULGARIA

Editorial Board:

Vassilis Pavlopoulos (PhD)

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Philosophy, GREECE

Nikolaos Makris (PhD)

Demokritus University of Thrace, School of Education, Alexandroupolis, GREECE

Serap Arslan Akfirat (PhD)

Dokuz Eylül University, Faculty of Art, Izmir, TURKEY

Lala Jabbarova (PhD)

Baku State University, Faculty of Social Sciences and Psychology, AZERBAIJAN

Sally Payne (MASP)

Brandon University, Faculty of Science, CANADA

Nikolina Kenig (PhD)

Ss. Cyril and Methodius University of Skopje, Faculty of Philosophy, NORTH MACEDONIA

Ljupčo Kevereski (PhD)

University "St. Kliment Ohridski" - Bitola, Faculty of Education, NORTH MACEDONIA

Marius Drugas (PhD)

University of Oradea, Faculty of Social and Humanistic Sciences, ROMANIA

Teuta Danuza (PhD)

University of Prishtina, Faculty of Education, KOSOVO

Valbona Habili Sauku (PhD)

University of Tirana, Faculty of Social Sciences, ALBANIA

Silva Ibrahimimi (PhD)

Albanian University, Faculty of Social Sciences, Tirana, ALBANIA

Executive Editor:

Goran Pešić

Center for Open Access in Science, Belgrade, SERBIA

CONTENTS

- 1 Behavioral Analysis of Suspects
Daniel Genkov & Todor Todorov
- 7 Students' and Teachers' Perceptions of Autonomous Learning
Dani Amairani Longoria Arellano



Behavioral Analysis of Suspects

Daniel Genkov & Todor Todorov

*South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad, BULGARIA
Faculty of Philosophy*

Received: 13 November 2023 ▪ Revised: 10 April 2024 ▪ Accepted: 22 April 2024

Abstract

Behavioral analysis is a method used in conducting a targeted interview with suspects, where the ultimate goal is to obtain reliable information. The main task of the behavioral analysis is to distinguish the sincere from the insincere subject on the basis of their behavior. It can also be used by experts as a preliminary interview, during which the verbal, non-verbal and para-verbal behavior of the subject is analyzed. It is also very useful in screening procedures, in which there is lack of sufficient information in relation to the specific case at hand, and at the same time there are many suspects. This article presents the essence of behavioral analysis, basic guidelines for the procedure of conducting it, and a comparative analysis of the reactions of sincere and insincere suspects.

Keywords: behavioral analysis, nonverbal communication, pretest interview, polygraph.

1. History of behavioral analysis

The first reports of Reid and Arthur from the distant year 1953 are the basis of behavioral analysis. The authors refer to the behavior of the individual when he/she is under the conditions of a polygraph examination (Reid & Arthur, 1953). Research on the pretest interview gave the beginning of the development of behavior analysis. In 1994 large-scale studies have been carried out on these methods, and a number of experiments have been carried out (Horvath et al., 1994).

Three experts, a polygraph examiner and two psychologists, aimed to make an independent assessment of suspects in a real situation. Video and audio recordings were used during the interviews. The task of the experts was to give independent assessment on the basis of only one channel of information (video, audio or written) and to determine whether the examined person had anything to do with the crime. The procedure included:

1. Analysis of written responses from the protocol for behaviorally provoking questions asked of suspects;
2. Analysis of non-verbal behavior of suspects from the video footage only, not including the audio;
3. Observation of verbal and non-verbal behavior through audio and video recordings simultaneously.

The analysis made by Horvath and colleagues (Horvath et al., 1994), showed a significant difference between the behavior of guilty and innocent suspects in terms of their verbal, paraverbal, and nonverbal behavior. Sincere persons used more often illustrative gestures accompanying the speech, nodded more often, maintained direct eye contact with the speaker, etc. Also, sincere individuals used a greater amount of expressions and phrases that were more descriptive in nature. Findings of the study indicated that in real-world settings, assessment of verbal and nonverbal behavior observed during behavior analysis can be useful to the profession. The main finding was that there is a significant correlation between verbal and non-verbal behavior called synchrony.

1.1 *Rationale behind behavioral analysis*

The knowledge of guilt and the memories of specific actions committed during a crime of persons involved in a given criminal act underlie the differential attitudes and behaviors of innocent and guilty suspects (Horvath et al., 2008).

The main difference between an interview and an interrogation is that the purpose of the interview is to gather information, while the purpose of the interrogation is to obtain confessions. The two processes are interconnected, but at the same time fundamentally different (Vladimirova & Todorov, 2020).

The internal barriers that guilty suspects place on themselves, as well as the inability to share information, leads to specific verbal and nonverbal responses to the interviewer's questions. Here we may observe an increase in manipulative gestures and soothing behaviors that aim to reduce the high levels of stress and tension in such an unusual situation. The immediate response of the limbic system in the brain, which is responsible for the basic fight, flight or freeze reactions, is also activated here. These limbic responses lead back to our origins as a species. They are deeply rooted in our nervous system and for this reason they can hardly be masked or eliminated. For example, it is impossible for us to suppress startle responses to hearing a loud noise or fear responses. Limbic behavior is organic in a sense and can be relied on, as it is a manifestation of our true feelings, intentions and thoughts (Navaro & Karlins, 2008). When we talk about nonverbal behavior, the limbic brain is considered the genuine brain (Goleman, 1995).

2. Behavioral analysis. Types of questions

2.1 *Conducting a behavioral analysis*

To conduct a professional behavioral analysis, there are mandatory conditions that must be met. First of all, it is necessary to have an environment with no distracting signs, elements and windows. It is good for the interviewer to sit in front of the subject at a distance of about 2 meters (Horvath et al., 2008). Here, the main goal is for the expert to have complete visual contact and not to lose any of the channels of communication and information. In the initial minutes of the interview, the rapport is built. One of the techniques for establishing a strong rapport between an interviewer and a suspect is by asking neutral questions that do not elicit an emotional response from the subject, as well as the "Mirror" technique, where the interviewer reflects the body position and non-verbal communication of the suspect in general. Pace of speech, intonation, and ups and downs in the paraverbal channel are also essential in building trust. Another mandatory condition is that the expert does not use an accusatory tone and does not attribute emotions of guilt. If these conditions are not met, the probability of an accurate and objective assessment of the suspect's behavior is drastically reduced.

During the interview the interviewer can take notes in relation to the answers to the questions asked by him, as well as on the non-verbal reactions of the suspect (Horvath et al.,

2008). An important condition here is that the interviewer does not record only at certain moments, but throughout the interview, because otherwise it can increase the defensive response, increase the vigilance of the suspect and interrupt free and casual communication.

In the first few minutes of the interview, as a rule, general, biographical information about the suspect is collected. Collecting this type of demographic, biographical information allows the interviewer to assess and establish the suspect's baseline behavior (Horvath et al., 2008). This can be, for example, the duration of eye contact, the time required to respond and react to a given stimulus, the presence or absence of tension, etc. Each individual has his own unique style of communication, which makes the establishment of norms of behavior particularly important (Ekman, 2011). Without it, the interviewer cannot be sure when and under what circumstances a change from this norm occurs and what exactly it may be related to. Very often non-confident individuals change their line of behavior in cases where they are asked relevant questions that have too much subjective meaning, or in other words, topics that they would not like to discuss. This change very often manifests itself when it comes to concealing sensitive information in connection with a specific case.

2.2 Types of questions

During the behavioral analysis of the suspect, three different categories of questions are asked. This happens in separate, precisely defined time intervals. Broadly speaking, these questions are: initiating, probing and behavior eliciting questions.

1. Initiating questions – these concern neutral topics, questions regarding style of life, past experience, etc. They are asked at the beginning of the conversation and their main purpose is to establish a rapport;
2. Investigative questions concern things like the suspect's actions, assessment, motivation, and propensity to committing a crime;
3. Behavior-provoking questions – those are used to elicit certain verbal and non-verbal responses that differ significantly between innocent and guilty suspects. They are developed and substantiated based on empirical observations. Specific guidelines determine the interpretation of test subjects' responses during behavioral analysis (Reid, 2018).

General benefits of using the three types of questions in behavioral analysis is the following.

- **Assessing deviation from the norm in the suspects' behavior**

It is good to know here that there are no specific indicators of behavior that are directly related to claims of innocence or guilt. It is important to assess the totality of non-verbal signs and signals, as well as the specific moment at which they begin to be observed and manifested. The more often a change from the norm is observed when asking subjectively threatening questions, the greater the probability that the person is hiding the truth in relation to the topic under investigation (Horvath et al., 2008).

- **Assessment of synchrony or asynchrony between verbal and nonverbal behavior**

The presence of asynchronous, asymmetric gestures, lack of timing, manipulator gestures, etc.

- Be aware of **hidden factors** that would affect the validity of the analysis. Examples of these are the seriousness of the crime, what the suspect knows

about it, his current emotional state, the cultural and social environment in which he operates (Horvath et al., 2008).

2.3 A comparative analysis of the reactions of truthful and deceptive suspects

Below, the most common responses of truthful and deceptive suspects to behaviorally provoking questions related to theft will be discussed. The data was collected from separate interviews conducted by the authors of this article with suspects, in connection to a theft. In order to adhere to ethical norms and rules and maintain confidentiality regarding the identity of the suspects, their names are replaced with the name “John”.

I – the interviewer

S – Suspect

I: John, what do you think is the reason for you being here today?

S (Innocent): Well, yesterday morning, the manager of our company informed us that an amount of BGN 5,000 was missing from the cash register in our office. However, I am sure that the day before I put the whole amount in the safe. The reason I’m here today is to prove that I didn't steal the missing money.

S (Guilty): Well, I guess a colleague might have lost the money and I’m only here to help with what might have happened.

I (behavior-provoking question): What do you think the perpetrator of such a crime deserves? Would you give him a second chance?

S (Innocent): The perpetrator deserves what is due to him by law. I wouldn't give him a second chance.

S (Guilty): I don't know, but I would give him a second chance. Maybe there's a reason he did it.

I (behavior-provoking question): If someone said that you stole the money, how would you react?

S (Innocent): I would be angry; I wouldn't like it.

S (Guilty): It is normal in this situation for someone to think it's me. However, I have nothing to do with this thing.

I (behavior-provoking question): If someone said that you stole them, what would you do?

S (Guilty): I will want from that person to prove that it's me. For example, I will want to see the cameras.

I (behavior-provoking question): Do you suspect any of your colleagues?

S (Innocent): I can't throw an accusation just like that. I don't suspect any of my colleagues.

S (Guilty): To be honest, people with a dirty past work here, it is possible that a colleague of mine stole it.

3. Analysis

Since the examples are presented in written form, we can make a linguistic analysis of the statements of the truthful and the deceptive suspect.

In the statement: “*S (Guilty): Well, I guess a colleague might have lost the money and I’m only here to help with what might have happened.*”, we see from a linguistic point of view the distance that the guilty suspect creates, directing the interviewer’s attention to another person, diverting suspicion from himself. This behavior is characteristic of individuals who are insincere about the relevant topic.

In the statement: “*S (Guilty): I don’t know, but I would give him a second chance. Maybe there’s a reason he did it.*”, similar type of responses to the behavior-provoking questions are indicative for individuals who are connected to the committed crime, because in this way they try to minimize the consequences of their actions and do not want to engage in a conversation about the criminal act. Here one sees a drive for rationalization on the part of guilty suspects, trying to find a justifiable reason for such criminal acts.

In the statement: “*S (Guilty): It is normal in this situation for someone to think it’s me. However, I have nothing to do with this thing.*” Innocent people would never say that it is normal to doubt them first. The authentic reaction of an innocent person when unjustly accused can be one of anger and resistance. The sentence: “*I have nothing to do with this thing*”, shows a desire for depersonalization and minimization of what was done. In comparison, innocent persons would use the direct meaning of the words, saying something like: “*I have nothing to do with this terrible theft, crime, etc.*”

In the statement: “*S (Guilty): To be honest, people with a dirty past work here, it is possible that a colleague stole it.*” – here we see again an attempt by the guilty suspect to divert attention from himself and direct it to a colleague. Honest people rarely would shift the blame to others, even in cases where they have real facts and arguments, they tend to keep their doubts and suspicions to themselves.

4. Conclusion

The information professionals collect from the behavioral analysis give a very serious direction to the investigating authorities in their work with perpetrators of serious criminal crimes. In combination with the polygraph examination, the analysis of verbal and non-verbal behavior greatly increases the reliability of the conclusion. However, the observations from the behavioral analysis alone should not be considered a basis for a final opinion regarding participation in a given crime. Additional studies are needed in this direction, both in practice and in laboratory conditions.

Much attention should be paid to how best to simulate a real-life situation in a laboratory setting. It is believed that in such an environment it is difficult to simulate the motivation and consequences of actions that exist in real life. In fact, it is the difference between these two environments that is at the heart of the controversy over how best to interpret empirical data. If research is protected in a laboratory setting, it will lead to optimization of behavioral analysis observations (Horvath et al., 2008).

Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Ekman, P. (2011). *Izlazhi me ako mozhesht* [Lie to me, if you can]. Sofia: January 98.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Horvath, F., Blair, J. P., & Buckley, J. P., & Reid, J. E. (2008). The behavioral analysis interview: Clarifying the practice, theory and understanding of its use and effectiveness. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, 10(1), 101-118.
- Horvath, F., Jayne, B., & Buckley, J. (1994). Differentiation of truthful and deceptive criminal suspects in behavior analysis interviews. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 39(3), 793-807.
- Navarro, J., & Karlins, M. (2008). *What everybody is saying?* New York, NY, USA: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Reid, J. (2018, May 1). *The value of behavior provoking questions – A case study* |John E. Reid and Associates, Inc. Retrieved November 5, 2023, from <https://reid.com/resources/investigator-tips/the-value-of-behavior-provoking-questions-a-case-study>.
- Reid, J. E., & Arthur, R. O. (1953). Behavior symptoms of lie-detector subjects. *J. Crim. L. Criminology & Police Sci.*, 44, 104-108.
- Vladimirova, V., & Todorov, T. (2020). Interview, interrogation and confessions. *Yearbook of Psychology*, 11(1), 10-16.





Students' and Teachers' Perceptions of Autonomous Learning

Dani Amairani Longoria Arellano

University of Guanajuato, Guanajuato, MEXICO
Division of Social Sciences and Humanities

Received: 2 June 2024 ▪ Revised: 18 August 2024 ▪ Accepted: 27 August 2024

Abstract

Currently, autonomous learning has been extensively researched by educational experts to understand how it is perceived and implemented in educational settings. This study focuses on exploring the perceptions of teachers and students regarding autonomous learning in the context of English language teaching. Questionnaires were distributed to two English teachers and five English students from the language department of the University of Guanajuato in Mexico. The participants were randomly selected, and data was collected through interviews consisting of open and closed questions. The results revealed that both teachers and students held positive views on autonomous learning, but they lacked a complete understanding of its concepts. The findings also highlight the need for teacher training in autonomous learning. The study concludes with recommendations for teachers and English education programs.

Keywords: autonomous learning, classroom, strategies, challenges.

1. Introduction

Autonomous learning has gained popularity as teachers and students seek to incorporate it into the language classroom. Tsai (2019) notes that autonomous learning has been a widely discussed approach to language learning. As Berka (2000) points out, the concept of “autonomy” dates back to Ancient Greece, where it was a significant part of students’ learning process. Current studies indicate that autonomous learning activities play a crucial role in the classroom, facilitating knowledge acquisition, motivation, interest, goal setting, and self-evaluation (Khotimah et al., 2019). However, despite its increasing popularity, it is important to understand the perspectives of teachers and students when working with this approach in the classroom. Based on the idea of understanding how autonomous learning was perceived in the language department of the University of Guanajuato, I wanted to identify the opinions of five students of English and two English teachers who had worked with the autonomous learning approach in the classroom. To do so, the participants were asked a series of open and closed questions about autonomous learning. Their responses were then analyzed to identify differences, contrasts, and valuable insights regarding the perceptions of autonomous learning.

2. Background

In this section, the main concepts that integrate the theoretical framework of this paper will be discussed. These are: Language learning, autonomous learning, and autonomous learning in the classroom.

According to the NC State University (n.d.), language learning is a process of construction conducted mainly by the learner but facilitated by the instructor. The learner enhances their capability to utilize the language for specific communication objectives. The teacher models language use and facilitates students' development of language skills. Instructors and students work together to identify how students expect to use the language. The instructor demonstrates correct and appropriate language use, and students then apply the language themselves in practice activities that mimic real communication scenarios.

In relation to language learning, autonomous learning has become a prominent aspect of language education in the 21st century (Anh, 2019). Holec (1981) one of the most popular researchers on autonomy in language teaching has defined autonomy as the student’s ability to take responsibility in their language learning process. According to Betts and Kercher (1999), an autonomous learner solves problems through deep thinking and functions with minimal external guidance. Through autonomous learning, students can set their learning goals according to their needs, devise methods to achieve those learning goals, as well as monitor and evaluate their learning progress. However, Lengkanawati (2017) suggests that learner autonomy, or autonomous learning, is frequently misinterpreted as the ability to learn independently, without the support of a teacher or instructor. It is also sometimes misunderstood as students being solely responsible for the learning process, without the need for planning and evaluation. According to the study by Kotimah et al. (2019), The misconception of the term “autonomous learning” is likely due to the limited knowledge that both students and teachers have about this concept. This lack of understanding is understandable, given that autonomous learning first originated in Western Europe context (Benson & Huang, 2008).

In the autonomous language classroom, there are two main important roles: the teacher’s role and the student’s role. First, according to Yan (2012), there is a misinterpretation of the teacher's role, which is no longer seen as important in autonomous learning. However, teachers play a crucial role in the students’ learning process, and their responsibilities can not be overlooked. Learner autonomy is based on the learner’s independence and active attitude towards learning. The learner has the responsibility to make decisions and take charge of their learning. But without teachers’ counseling and guidance, the whole process of learning will result in low efficiency or even fall into disorder. Second, according to Little (1991) self-instruction tend to be a synonym for autonomy, but they are not equivalent. Self-instruction refers to the situation in which the learner is working on their own without the direct control of the teacher (Dickinson 1995). On the contrary, in autonomous learning, learners take responsibility for goal-setting, materials selection, learning activities, or assessment, instead of a teacher or self-study materials being in overall charge (Benson, 2001). Second, is the student’s role, according to Horvath (2007), an autonomous learner is a reflective learner, a person actively involved in his/her learning. Little (1996) mentions that learners are willing to make a conscious effort to understand what, why, and how they are learning. The learners, would set their own learning goals, select the learning strategies that suit them best and which are appropriate to their contexts, and evaluate their progress so that they may become more effective learners and take more responsibility for their learning (Yan, 2012).

In the study of Al Asmari (2013), there are strategies teachers can use to foster autonomous learning in the classroom. One of the important characteristics of the autonomous classroom is pair and group work as a means to develop learner autonomy. The fact is that learners become less dependent on the teacher by learning to collaborate with their peers. In this regard,

Jacobs and Farrell (2001) argue that group activities play a large part in this because learners acquire a lot of learning strategies when collaborating with, and receiving support from their peers and not just the teacher. Also, students need to be involved in formulating classroom rules to feel a part of the community in which learning takes place and it encourages them to take greater responsibility for their learning (Voller, 1997). As a facilitator, a teacher can promote learner autonomy through the curriculum by integrating the principles of autonomy into the learning goals, the learning process, tasks, learner strategies, and reflection on learning (Asmari, 2013). Yet, many teachers may encounter difficulty in involving the students in autonomous learning. One because, it represents a challenge as the students may have different expectations and learning styles. Also, teachers could be afraid of handing over some responsibility to their learners for fear of losing control, especially if they have had control of the classroom for most of their teaching life (Lacey, 2007). In addition, there are teachers that have a strict curriculum to follow and deadlines to meet which makes the development of autonomy in the classroom more difficult (Palfreyman & Smith, 2003).

The studies done in Western (Palfreyman & Smith, 2003; Little, 1996; Benson, 2001) and in Asian (Asmari, 2013; Yan, 2012; Kotimah et al., 2019) indicate that autonomous learning in the classroom has been a widely studied approach. In contrast, little research in autonomous learning in Mexican contexts has been discussed. It is Hernández (2021), among other researchers, who investigated the influence of autonomous learning on the learning process. It is for this reason that further studies in the area of autonomous learning in Mexican contexts are necessary. Therefore, this study aims to research about the perspectives of students and teachers on autonomous learning in a Mexican context.

3. Methodology

The objective of this study is to explore the perceptions of teachers and students about autonomous learning and how it is applied in the classroom. Therefore, the research question for this paper is: What are the perceptions of students and teachers of autonomous learning? I now approach the paradigm that will be used for the purposes of this study. According to Sale, Lohfeld and Brazil (2002) the qualitative paradigm comprehends that reality is formed by individuals. Therefore, it is changing constantly. The investigator and the object of study are interactively linked so that findings are created within the context of the situation which complement the issue of investigation (Guban & Lincoln, 1994). The paradigm of this research is qualitative as it makes emphasis on process and meanings. The following section will explain the method.

The case study approach is a research design that is used in a variety of disciplines, particularly in the social sciences (Stake, 1995). According to Yin (1994), case studies can be used to explain, describe, or explore events or phenomena in the everyday contexts where the researcher can identify the features of the social community regarding certain issues. The common instruments for collecting data are the interviews and observations (Crowe et al., 2011). This method was chosen because the topic is based on students' perceptions of autonomous learning and its application in class activities.

3.1 *Context and participants*

The study took place in the Language Department of the university of Guanajuato, in Guanajuato city, Mexico. An independent public school in Mexico. The Language Department is a division for the learning of languages as English, French, Japanese, German and Italian. Specifically, for the English program the school accounts with eight levels that are design to help the students reach an B2 or more in the TOEFL scale.

The students who were interviewed were at the intermediate English level (400). The students have worked with autonomous activities in the classroom.

The teachers who were interviewed had around 10 years of experience teaching English from beginner levels to advance levels. It is also important to mention that both teachers were graduated from the Lei program and the master's degree on applied linguistics.

The number of interviewees were 5 students (women) and 2 teachers (women). The interview was done individually and recorded.

3.2 Instrument

The instrument used to collect the data was semi structured interviews as this instrument set a general plan for what the researcher wants to ask; the questions do not have to follow a particular order. Yet, it follows flexibility in the questions, allowing the researcher to explore various aspects of the presented issue (Ruslin et al., 2022). For the aims of this study, I wanted to know specific aspects as the knowledge of the autonomous learning concept, the features of the autonomous learning concept. However, the research led to other open questions as aspects that affect the concept of autonomous learning that is why the semi-structured interview help to this research, then used in the study. The questions asked to the participants were planned according to their perceptions about autonomous learning and what can affect this approach, also other questions arise from the conversation about autonomous learning.

For the collection of data, the participants were informed of the study, their participation was voluntary, and they signed a consent form in which they were aware of their rights to participate, to withdraw their participation any time, and that their identity was protected.

3.3 Data processing and analysis (procedures followed)

To process the data and organize the information. The collected results passed through two levels: macro and micro levels.

At the macro level, information was collected in a broad manner. The recorded interviews were transcribed into a Word document, translated and organized in a table. According to the table, the information was organized per person interviewed. In the research technique area, the instruments we use will be placed. In the raw data extracts/unit of meaning area, the actual answers provided by the participants will be found. In the code from the data area, the concept assigned to the answer based on literature revised by the researcher will be placed. The literature extract that supports the concept will be placed in the interpretation section. In the data identifier area, the number, word, letters, or names that we assigned to identify the group of data will be placed.

At the micro level, the information was independently organized to match each answer with its corresponding code and identifier. The following steps were followed. The information at the macro level was reviewed to identify the most frequently repeated codes, highlighting the significance of these codes and themes in the research.

In the table, the theme will be placed in the first column of the code. In the second column of responses, the raw data extracts with the same code (theme) were collected and placed. These answers will be gathered not just from one person but rather from all the participants who discussed the same theme. In the third column of the IP, the identifiers of the extracts placed in the first macro level table were included. During the information check, we were able to identify the participant who provided the information and the location of that information. The process of

organizing the information in these three columns will be repeated with each code (theme) presented in our macro-level analysis.

4. Results

The data collected from the interviews revealed valuable information about students' and teachers' perceptions of autonomous learning. To present the extracts from the interviews, each participant was assigned a code. Because the interviews were done in Spanish, the extracts presented as part of the results are translated from the original to English. In this section, the study results will be discussed based on three themes related to the research question: the concept of autonomous learning, strategies for autonomous learning and the challenges it presents.

4.1 Autonomous learning concept

In the questionnaire discussion, it was found that the majority of the students and one of the teachers view autonomous learning as individual studying, using the tools they found and without relying on the teacher's help. On the other hand, some students and one teacher considered autonomous learning as a way to actively engage in the language learning process, with the teacher serving as a guide to assist them in clarifying doubts. One of the students suggested the following:

It is an individual form of study in which you study on your own, you read books and do activities without the help of a tutor or, perhaps, the school (SSofia_1).

For Sofia, autonomous learning was a method of studying independently, where the student carries out all activities without assistance from any external person or institution. Sofia shared that she has been learning a few things autonomously using just the internet.

Similar to Sofia's opinion, Aure also shared that autonomous learning was learning without any help.

It's a way of learning, you put the topics you want to learn and you take your time, you don't need a tutor or a teacher to teach you the topics (SAure_1).

Aure mentioned autonomous learning as learning independently, where you choose the topics to learn and the times for studying. Aure worked with autonomous learning in high school as she had to learn entire topics by herself.

In the teacher's side, Stacy pointed out autonomous learning as a self-directed learning.

It's self-directed. It's where you basically research something and practice it or try to do something yourself [...](TStacy_1).

Stacy defined autonomous learning as students researching, learning, and practicing a subject independently. Stacy focused on the idea of students putting in more effort to delve deeper into their learning.

On the other hand, there were some students and a teacher who agree that autonomous learning was not just learning on your own, but rather learning with the teacher as a guide who can help you through the language learning process. One student suggested the following:

It could be you looking for resources to... I don't know how to improve the learning you have or learn things from scratch, and this is not necessarily like you do it completely alone [...](SMarta_1).

For Marta, autonomous learning was not just about doing and learning on your own, but rather about seeking tools that could enhance your learning. Marta stated that when working with autonomous learning activities, you can rely on the teacher, ask friends, colleagues, and the internet for advice on how to do things, but not for learning a lesson.

On the teacher’s side, Blanca mentioned that when working with autonomous learning the student took the decision to go beyond what is taught in class, but it did not mean learning alone.

To be self-taught does not mean that you have to do it alone, it simply means that you will learn what you want, when you want, how you want [...], but you will need a guide, not a teacher, a guide [...] (TBlanca_1).

Blanca pointed out that there might be a misunderstanding when working with autonomous learning in the classroom. Blanca mentioned that seeking autonomy in language learning does not negate the importance of guidance.

As Lengkanawati (2017), one of the most recent researchers on autonomous learning, pointed out, confusion regarding different concepts of autonomous learning arises due to a lack of understanding of the term. As can be seen in the previous opinions, autonomous learning is mostly perceived as the process of learning in which the student is left on their own. However, some participants in the study understand that autonomous learning, particularly when considering its application in the classroom, does not imply working in isolation. Instead, it involves viewing the teacher as a guide to enhance the language learning process.

4.2 Strategies for autonomous learning

The participants in the study mention the importance of the strategies when applying autonomous learning in the classroom. Most of the participants mention that getting involve with language was important. For example, three of them expressed the following:

Involve the classes with personal interests such as watch movies, listen to music or so on that interests each person so that learning is something more like... [...] it is because I like it and I am learning it (SMaria_3).

Maria suggested that connecting the topics to the personal interests of the students can be a good strategy to encourage learners to involve with the language in multiple ways. It can be through movies, music and series.

Regarding Sofia’s perspective, unless the activities were mostly internet-based, another strategy for autonomous learning was making the activities more dynamic.

I think that we could put more dynamic activities and not only activities like web pages (SSofia_6).

For Sofia, improving autonomous learning could be achieved by engaging in interactive activities during class. Sofia meant dynamic as a way activities are designed in which they do not follow the traditional pattern, meaning that they involve games, kinesthetic activities, activities where you can be creative, and so on.

As a teacher, Blanca suggested that teachers can bring interesting material to encourage the students to research more about the topic or material given.

I try to bring material where they can say this vocabulary is interesting so they feel like... I want to keep looking for this information, or bring material that they feel may be of interest to them, so that they can continue in their free time [...] (TBlanca_2).

Blanca noticed that when she provided students with trendy or socially relevant material that they use in their daily lives, the students were more engaged. This led them to make an effort to research and learn more about the material, resulting in consistently receiving information in the language.

In the responses, it is clear that students engaged in autonomous learning prefer to have access to materials and resources that match with their interest and allow them to actively participate in the language. The variety of activities is also important, as repetitive tasks can lead to a lack of interest and demotivation among students. Teacher Blanca's years of experience have shown how autonomous learning can be promoted in the classroom through the use of diverse trendy and outstanding materials, which can encourage students to work independently.

Also, one of the participants commented on the use of the self-access center (CAADI) of the language department of the University of Guanajuato:

A good idea to implement autonomous learning would be for the teachers in the area to take up this support with the CAADI, there in the CADI they have a lot of material (TBlanca_4).

Although students can find various resources on the internet and materials provided by their teachers, Blanca mentioned the presence of a self-access center in the language department. This center offers materials specifically designed for autonomous learning.

In addition, teacher Stacy pointed out that besides the tools that students and teachers can use in the classroom, another strategy is to raise students' awareness of language learning.

Help the student recognize the importance or purpose of learning the language so they can understand what the benefits are for them.... [...] another strategy would be to see what they want to know or explore about the language and then encourage them or help them brainstorm what they want to know.... [...] (TSatcy_2).

Stacy believes that it's important to make students aware of the benefits of language learning in order to motivate them and encourage them to engage in autonomous learning activities. By explaining to students, the importance of the language, its benefits, and the support available from the teacher, students will be open to explore the language on their own.

These quotes highlight the importance of using materials as a strategy for autonomous learning. Furthermore, utilizing the self-access center helps to facilitate access to materials designed specifically for students who wish to work autonomously on particular activities. In this school, promoting the CAADI program will greatly benefit students looking to enhance their language skills and knowledge. Finally, Stacy addressed a point that the other participants had not, making the students aware of the importance of learning a language. Autonomous learning is an approach to activities where students take the initiative to be involved in the language (Benson, 2001). For this reason, it is important that students understand the value of the language in their lives.

4.3 Challenges for autonomous learning

Challenges of autonomous learning were categorized into two main themes: attitudes towards autonomous learning and limitations of the school program towards applying autonomous learning.

4.3.1 Attitudes towards autonomous learning

In exploring responses of the students towards autonomous learning. It was found that students react to this way of working by getting anxious or simply by having a bad attitude towards autonomous learning. The participants expressed the following:

When I have a doubt, I get very overwhelmed and it's like, who am I asking? if I'm not understanding, I get distracted very easily (SAure_4).

When Aure did not have a guide or someone to provide support in resolving doubts, the learning process becomes challenging. Aure mentioned that most of the time she wanted another person to confirm she is correct, so not having direct help makes her feel uncomfortable working with autonomous activities.

Similar to Aure, Nat shared that when she finished an activity and wanted to continue expanding her knowledge by improving, she did not know who to ask.

Sometimes I don't know what activity to do, I mean is like: I already did this, I already wrote it down, but it's still difficult for me, I don't know how to improve it [...] (SNat_5).

Nat felt overwhelmed by the idea of not having someone to tell her what to do next. Nat was unsure if she could ask the teacher to check her work. Therefore, Nat found it difficult to work autonomously.

On the teacher's part, Blanca mentioned that the majority of the students have a negative attitude when working with autonomous activities.

Many people say later, later, I don't have time, or many say that being autonomous is not my thing, and then the negativity starts [...] (TBlanca_5).

When Blanca gave autonomous activities in the classroom, she realized that the students considered them a waste of time. The students had a negative attitude in class because they were hesitant about putting in extra effort to learn.

In addition, Stacy added to the teacher's perspective. Stacy pointed out that working with autonomous activities also required additional effort on the part of the teacher.

For example, I have 26 students, all 26 students are going to be doing something different so that's too much for me to review and then give them feedback, give them guidance, so that would be the disadvantage [...] (TStacy_5).

Stacy commented that working with autonomous learning activities implies, among other things, letting the students choose the topic they want to work on. This can make it challenging for the teacher, as they have to review various assignments. Stacy mentioned that for teachers, there is also extra unpaid time involved, which might lead to a negative attitude towards implementing these activities in the classroom.

4.3.2 Limitations of the school program towards autonomous learning

In the answers about challenges of autonomous learning it was noted that a challenge did not mention by the students, but the teachers, was the program limitations. Teachers are the only ones who realize of this problem as they are involve in the school policies. The participants mentioned the following:

Sometimes because of the program we can't do many activities autonomous activities because I repeat, we have to make sure that they are understanding.... [...] if they do not master the topic they go to the next level, and it is a bit difficult

for them.... [...] I repeat.... we have a program that must be followed [...]
(TBlanca_5).

For Blanca, the school program posed a challenge when it came to incorporating autonomous activities in the classroom. As teachers employed by an institution, they needed to check that students comprehended all the topics, which resulted in limited time for autonomous activities.

Stacy mentioned something similar, adding that there was very limited time in the program to implement autonomous activities.

The program itself and the time (TStacy_3)

The two teachers interviewed in this study highlight this aspect, as the demands of the school program require them to prepare students to understand a specific number of topics in a way that students can demonstrate their knowledge. If the teacher does not meet these curricula goals it might be a problem for the progress set up for the student. The number of autonomous activities should be planned carefully and aligned with the goals of the curriculum. In all cases, it is noticeable that the school program established is a challenge as teachers must meet certain goals during the course period. This puts pressure on teachers as they are required to report the activities and topics covered to the principals.

4.3.3 *Lack of understanding of autonomous learning*

It was relevant for this study to highlight one of the opinions of a teacher, in which she indicated that the poor integration of autonomous learning might be due to the teacher's lack of understanding of the concept.

Unfortunately not, some teachers don't really know about the concept, and I think that's where the students also get the wrong idea of what it is (autonomous learning)[...] but, there are other colleagues that I have seen, my respects because they know how, and the students don't even realize at what point they are already on their own (TBlanca_8).

Blanca commented that autonomous learning cannot be applied in the classroom if the teacher does not know about the concept or how to apply it. The good application of autonomous learning could lead to great results. However, if the teacher does not know about this approach, the students might misunderstand autonomous learning.

5. Conclusion

The results of this study offer teachers pedagogic decisions to promote students' autonomous learning in the class. It can be done by making the learner part of the learning environment for motivation, autonomy, and engagement. The issue of English teachers' autonomous learning training pointed out by the teachers of this study reflects a need for the education system to be improved. In this case, English education programs need to adjust their structure, and prepare English teachers with tools and workshops to promote autonomous learning activities in the class. The findings will be of interest to the teachers teaching in Mexican contexts to foment autonomous learning in the classroom as autonomous learning takes part in the current teaching strategies for English.

The current findings in this study were subject to at least three limitations. First, it was used just one instrument for collecting data. Second this study had seven participants. Third, this study was done considering just the Mexican context. Notwithstanding these limitations, the study suggested a need to study the expertise of English teachers in Mexico on autonomous learning and

provide support to the teachers in autonomous learning training. Further research is recommended in the following areas: a cross-national study considering other countries' application of autonomous learning in the classroom. In addition, the institution's program limitations towards using autonomous learning in public school contexts and private school contexts.

As a final reflection. I consider autonomous learning as a conscious effort on the part of the learner in which the learner is monitoring, evaluating, and reflecting on the learning process. Therefore, the concept does not necessarily mean learning without a teacher but taking the teacher as a guide for support, guidance, and development of abilities.

Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The author declares no competing interests.

References

- Al Asmari, A. (2013). Practices and prospects of learner autonomy: Teachers' perceptions. *English Language Teaching*, 6(3), 1-10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/elt.v6n3p1>
- Anh, L. T. (2019). *EFL teachers' and students' perceptions and practices regarding learner autonomy: An exploratory study at a Vietnamese university in the Mekong Delta* (Doctoral thesis, Hue University of Foreign Languages, Hue, Vietnam). Retrieved from <https://hueuni.edu.vn/sdh/attachments/article/1317/NOIDUNGLA.pdf>.
- Benson, P. (2001). *Teaching and researching autonomy in language learning*. Harlow: Longman.
- Benson, P., & Huang, J. (2008). Autonomy in the transition from foreign language learning to foreign language teaching. *DELTA: Documentação de Estudos em Lingüística Teórica e Aplicada*, 24, 421-439. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-44502008000300003>
- Berka, W. (2000). The legal and philosophical meaning of autonomy in education. In W. Berka, J. De Groof & H. Penneman (Eds.), *Autonomy in education*. The Hague, NL: Kluwer Law International.
- Betts, G. T. & Kercher, J. K. (1999). *The autonomous learner model: Optimizing ability*. Greeley, CO: ALPS Publishing.
- Crowe, S., Cresswell, K., Robertson, A., Huby, G., Avery, A., & Sheikh, A. (2011). The case study approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol*, 11, 100. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-11-100>
- Dickinson, L. (1995). Autonomy and motivation a literature review. *System*, 23(2), 165-174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0346-251X\(95\)00005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0346-251X(95)00005-5)
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994) Competing paradigms in qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 105-117). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Holec, H. (1981). *Autonomy in foreign language learning*. Oxford, NY: Pergamon Press.
- Horváth, I. (2007). Autonomous learning: what makes it work in postgraduate interpreter training? *Across Languages and Cultures*, 8(1), 103-123. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1556/Acr.8.2007.1.6>

- Ivanovska, B. (2015). Learner autonomy in foreign language education and in cultural context. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 180(1), 352-356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.02.128>
- Jacobs, G. M., & Farrell, T. (2001). Paradigm shift: Understanding and implementing change in second language education. *TESL-EJ*, 5(1). <http://www.kyoto-su.ac.jp/information/tesl-ej/ej17/toc.html>
- Khotimah, K., Widiati, U., Mustofa, M., & Ubaidillah, M. F. (2019). Autonomous English learning: Teachers' and students' perceptions. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(2), 371-381.
- Lacey, F. (2007). Autonomy, never, never, never! *Independence*, 42, 4-8.
- Lengkanawati, N. S. (2017). Learner autonomy in the Indonesian EFL settings. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 6(2), 222-231. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v6i2.4847>
- Little, D. (1991). *Learner autonomy 1: Definitions, issues, and problems*. Dublin: Authentik.
- Little, D. (1996). Learner autonomy: Some steps in the evolution of theory and practice. *TEANGA: The Irish Yearbook of Applied Linguistics*, 16, 1-13.
- NC State University. (n.d.). *What language teaching is*. Retrieved from <https://lrc.chass.ncsu.edu/teaching.php>.
- Palfreyman, D., & Smith, R. C. (2003). *Learner autonomy across cultures*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Sale, J. E., Lohfeld, L. H., & Brazil, K. (2002). Revisiting the quantitative-qualitative debate: Implications for mixed-methods research. *Qual Quant*, 36(1), 43-53. <https://doi.org/10.1023%2FA%3A1014301607592>
- Stake RE. (1995). *The art of case study research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Tsai, Y. R. (2019). Promotion of learner autonomy within the framework of a flipped EFL instructional model: Perception and perspectives. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 34(1), 979-1011. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2019.1650779>
- Voller, P. (1997). Does the teacher have a role in autonomous learning? In P. Benson, & P. Voller (Eds.), *Autonomy and independence in language learning* (pp. 98-113). London: Routledge.
- Yan, S. (2012). Teachers' roles in autonomous learning. *Journal of Sociological Research*, 3(2), 557-562. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5296/jsr.v3i2.2860>
- Yin R. (1994). *Case study research: design and methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publishing.



AIMS AND SCOPE

The OJPR, as an international multi-disciplinary peer-reviewed **online open access academic journal**, publishes academic articles deal with different problems and topics in various areas of psychology (neuroscience, educational psychology, developmental psychology, social psychology, personality psychology, comparative psychology, experimental psychology, applied psychology, methodology of psychological research, etc.).

The OJPR provides a platform for the manuscripts from different areas of research, which may rest on the full spectrum of established methodologies, including theoretical discussion and empirical investigations. The manuscripts may represent a variety of theoretical perspectives and different methodological approaches.

The OJPR is already indexed in Crossref (DOI), BASE (Bielefeld Academic Search Engine), Google Scholar, J-Gate, ResearchBib and WorldCat - OCLC, and is applied for indexing in the other bases (Clarivate Analytics – SCIE, ESCI, and SSCI, Scopus, ERIH Plus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, Cabell's Directory, SHERPA/RoMEO, EZB - Electronic Journals Library, Directory of Research Journals Indexing, NewJour, CiteFactor, Global Impact Factor, Open Academic Journals Index, etc.).

The authors of articles accepted for publishing in the OJPR should get the ORCID number (www.orcid.org).

The journal is now publishing 2 times a year.

PEER REVIEW POLICY

All manuscripts submitted for publishing in the OJPR are expected to be free from language errors and must be written and formatted strictly according to the latest edition of the [APA style](#). Manuscripts that are not entirely written according to APA style and/or do not reflect an expert use of the English language will **not** be considered for publication and will **not** be sent to the journal reviewers for evaluation. It is completely the author's responsibility to comply with the rules. We highly recommend that non-native speakers of English have manuscripts proofread by a copy editor before submission. However, proof of copy editing does *not* guarantee acceptance of a manuscript for publication in the OJPR.

The OJPR operates a double-blind peer reviewing process. The manuscript should not include authors' names, institutional affiliations, contact information. Also, authors' own works need to be blinded in the references (see the APA style). All submitted manuscripts are reviewed by the editors, and only those meeting the aims and scope of the journal will be sent for outside review. Each manuscript is reviewed by at least two reviewers.

The editors are doing their best to reduce the time that elapses between a paper's submission and publication in a regular issue. It is expected that the review and publication processes will be completed in about 2-3 months after submission depending on reviewers' feedback and the editors' final decision. If revisions are requested some changing and corrections then publication time becomes longer. At the end of the review process, accepted papers will be published on the journal's website.

OPEN ACCESS POLICY



The OJPR is an open access journal which means that all content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author. This is in accordance with the BOAI definition of open access.



All articles published in the OJPR are licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Authors hold the copyrights of their own articles by acknowledging that their articles are originally published in the OJPR.

