Open Journal for

Research in Economics

CONTENTS

_____

Effect of the Ghana Poverty Reduction Strategy on Employment

Joseph Naquentera

Higher School of Economics, Moscow, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Abstract

Ghana's poverty reduction strategy was fully implemented after the Highly Indebted Poor Country's ascension in 2005. Although the policy had no clearer framework, the aim was to improve people's living standards and then to eliminate poverty. In this thesis, I investigate the impacts of the Ghana Poverty Reduction Strategy across 9 regions on employment. I use a panel dataset of the World Bank's Survey based harmonized indicators data from 1992 to 2013. Using a difference-in-differences regression method, the effects on employment are estimated for each year. Positive effects were observed before and after the program and were significant.

Keywords: employment, poverty, policy, region, government, poverty reduction strategy and Ghana.

1. Introduction

Ghana, since its independence in 1957, has implemented various development policies to combat poverty. Despite being situated between Burkina Faso, Cote d'Ivoire, Togo, and the Atlantic Ocean, there is limited discussion on the political impact on citizens. As of 2021, Ghana's population is 30.8 million, reflecting a decline from 31.07 million in 2020 (World Bank, 2020). The country's economic performance, representing 10.3% of sub-Saharan Africa's GDP, has been commendable, with a GDP of $40.7 billion in 2012 and a GNI per capita of $1,550 (Leechor, 1994).

The stabilization and adjustment program, initiated in 1983, aimed to stabilize employment, especially in the agriculture-dominated labor market, constituting 50% of the workforce. However, macro instability and high inflation in the 1990s prompted reforms with limited effectiveness (Sowa, 1993). In 1999, the government introduced the poverty reduction strategy paper integrated into the economic recovery program, focusing on eradicating poverty nationwide (Imbert & Papp, 2013).

Pramod and Harpalsinh (2020) emphasized the complementary nature of poverty alleviation policies through employment, advocating for simultaneous implementation. Ghana's unique labor market, characterized by high labor participation and low unemployment (World Bank, 2012), serves as the backdrop for the study using the SHIP Harmonized Dataset from 1992 to 2013.

Correspondence: Joseph Naquentera Higher School of Economics, Moscow, RUSSIAN FEDERATION.

_____

Employing a difference-in-difference model, the research assesses the impact of Ghana's poverty reduction strategy on employment. Results indicate a positive and significant coefficient, suggesting that the program implementation across nine regions made a slight difference compared to the control region. The study underscores biases in regional-level reforms, aligning with findings by Johnson and Start (2001).

- The study identifies a significant increase in employment from 2005 to 2013 following the implementation of Ghana's Poverty Reduction Strategy (GPRS).

- Despite the observed post-GPRS employment rise, the overall shift in average employment levels before and after the program remains minimal.

- The slight increase in post-GPRS employment is linked to the redistribution of political elites and the diversion of state resources.

- Concerns are raised about biases in policy implementation, with regional-level reforms favoring well-developed or politically influential areas.

- The thesis stands out as a pioneer in assessing the impact of GPRS on employment across all regions, addressing a crucial gap in existing research.

The thesis structure includes a literature review on the effects of Ghana's poverty reduction strategies on the labor market, poverty, economic growth, and evaluation methods. The data description justifies the chosen methodology, and the results confirm regional biases in policy implementation. The concluding section highlights key findings and study limitations. This research is crucial for informing government, policymakers, and stakeholders in shaping effective social development programs, especially given Ghana's economic conditions and potential engagement with the International Monetary Fund.

2. Literature review

The literature discusses various studies on poverty reduction policies and programs in developing countries, emphasizing the importance of targeted interventions. The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) set by donors have driven many developing countries to implement specific policies aimed at reducing poverty (Emmanuelle et al., 2010).

One notable contribution to poverty reduction literature focuses on Ghana's Livelihood Empowerment Adaptation Program (LEAP), employing a phenomenological approach for qualitative analysis (Nyarkoh et al., 2021). The study finds that LEAP positively impacts beneficiaries' social well-being but notes political interference in the selection of implementation communities.

Examining Ethiopia's Food-for-Work (FFW) program, Barret and Clay (2003) reveal that participation is influenced by household wage levels, challenging the assumption that high-asset households benefit more. Pramod and Harpalsinh (2020) evaluate poverty alleviation policies in India, emphasizing the complementary nature of employment-related policies and the effectiveness of community-based micro-finances with good governance.

Imbert and Papp (2013) analyze the labor market effects of social programs in India, highlighting the crowding out of public sector employment to the private sector. Loayza and Raddatz (2006) assert that unskilled intensive growth sectors contribute positively to poverty reduction, with agriculture playing a crucial role compared to manufacturing in India.

Catalina et al. (2007) use the Shapley decomposition approach to explore the relationship between employment generation and poverty reduction, concluding that the sectoral pattern of employment growth is significant. Lingchao et al. (2021) investigate social intervention

programs in China, emphasizing the importance of off-farm opportunities for rural household income improvement.

Bassanini and Danielle (2007) find that unemployment benefits are not statistically significant on labor productivity. Gender disparities are highlighted by Pitt and Khandker (1998), who show that credit programs in Bangladesh have a greater impact when more women participate.

Not all interventions yield positive results; Rosholm and Skipper (2013) reveal that labor market training for the unemployed in Denmark increased the unemployment rate due to efficient market conditions. Bonnal et al. (1997) evaluate French employment policies, noting that on-job training benefits the young and less educated.

Infrastructure investment is explored by Han et al. (2021) in China, with the conclusion that major infrastructural projects, such as expanding irrigation facilities and rural electrification, improve the income levels of pro-poor households.

Lastly, Omiti et al. (2002) investigate institutional capacities affecting poverty reduction programs in Kenya, revealing spillovers and ineffective interactions among organizations involved in poverty reduction

3. Economic overview and growth trends

In 2012, Ghana's robust economy was the second-best in sub-Saharan Africa, boasting a GDP of $40.7 billion and a per capita GNI of $1,550 (World Bank, 2013). Leechor (1994) hailed Ghana as a policy forerunner after the successful structural adjustment program, leading to annual growth of 5.2% from 1984 to 2010. Despite inflation predictions due to COVID-19, Ghana experienced a sharp decrease to 9.89% in 2020 (Ghana Economic Outlook, 2020). The cedi depreciated by 3.1% in 2020, linked to the pandemic and poor balance of payment management. Ghana's debt to GDP increased to 71% in 2020, raising concerns (Ghana Economic Outlook, 2020).

3.1 *Growth trends*

Ghana's economy showed steady growth until the 2009 global crisis, hitting a low of 4%. The discovery of oil propelled a rebound to 7.6% in 2013. Since 2007, Ghana consistently outpaced other Sub-Saharan African nations, with an annual average growth of 5.8% from 1991 to 2013 compared to SSA's 3.7%. Macroeconomic indicators, including GDP and per capita GDP, surged, leading Ghana to achieve lower-middle-income status (Aryeetey & Boateng, 2016).

3.2 *Labor market and trends in employment*

Over the past three decades, Ghana's labor market has undergone significant transformations influenced by globalization and ineffective government policies. The 1983 Stabilization and Adjustment Program, initially aimed at stabilizing public sector employment, paradoxically led to its decline due to economic imbalances and high inflation. The dominant agriculture sector, constituting nearly 50% of the workforce, faced challenges like job losses and increased informal sector employment. Rigid wage structures, inadequate labor market data, and limited training opportunities hindered job mobility (Boateng, 2004). Despite the private sector offering higher wages, job security was greater in the public sector. Gender disparities persisted, with men dominating the labor force and earning higher wages than women (World Bank, 1995). From 1991 to 2005, job creation averaged 3.2% annually, rising to 4% from 2005 to 2012, driven

_____

by the financial sector, storage, and communication services (Ghana Statistical Service). These complexities underscore the challenges and dynamics in Ghana's evolving labor markets.

3.3 *Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility (PRGF)*

The IMF, in assessing the Highly Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) program, shifted from the Enhanced Structural Adjustment Facility (ESAF) to the Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility in 1999. This change aimed to formulate more transparent policies focusing on poverty reduction, integrating all macroeconomic aspects for national ownership. The Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility serves as the primary indicator for fund disbursement to HIPC-participating nations, emphasizing targeted disbursement in institutional core sectors for poverty reduction and ensuring total country ownership (IMF).

3.4 *Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PRSP)*

Introduced in 1999, the Growth and Poverty Reduction Strategy (GPRS) aimed to connect debt relief with poverty alleviation. Facilitated by the World Bank, IMF, and domestic stakeholders, it focused on macroeconomic indicators and multi-year plans for growth and improved living standards. The GPRS prioritized employment to normalize the economy, officially integrating into the government's economic recovery program in 2002, emphasizing sectors like agriculture, mechanization, and manufacturing (Noel, 2002).

Other key areas were:

  i. Prioritizing micro and small-scale enterprises;

  ii. Ensuring a high rate of women's absorption in the labor market;

  iii. Prioritizing entrepreneurship among the youth.

3.5 *Regional implementation of GPRS*

In pursuit of growth targets, the government allocated relief funds to various regions, prioritizing the poorest ones. While Greater Accra was initially excluded due to perceived resilience, 4% of the funds were allocated to it. The remaining 96% supported infrastructure, capital development, and SME loans in other regions. Despite criticism, some stakeholders questioned the GPRS program's inclusion in the economic recovery plan, arguing its lack of clear job creation modalities.

4. Research methodology

4.1 *Data and model*

The main source of data in the analysis is from Ghana's living standard survey but for the purposes of this research, I used the World Bank SHIP Harmonized Dataset.

The harmonized datasets are compiled with three sub-data files to form harmonized variables with the same variable names. Data files include; basic information of individual's such as education, sex, and age, termed as individual file levels. The second sub-file data contains the labor force indicators such as employment, unemployment, sectors of employment, etc., and lastly, household-level characteristics including marital status and spouse, etc.

Regional individual levels are the unique identifier. Regions are group of districts joined together located in a certain geographical area within the country. Some regions entail a lot of districts, thus more populated than others.

There are 10 regions in Ghana. GPRP program is applicable only to individuals living in treated regions namely; Western, Central, Volta, Eastern, Ashanti, Brong Ahafo, Northern, Upper West, and Upper East. The Greater Accra region is excluded for the purposes of this research. One major challenge was the lack of wages data across the various regions. This could be due to the reserve nature of individuals, feeling reluctant in declaring personal salary income.

Moreover, a lot of the countries located in the Sub-Saharan lack sufficient employment data. Wages data collections are insufficient hence making it difficult in assessing employment effects on poverty. This is due to less clarity on cause and effects alongside supply and demand data collections (Arjan & Laiera, 1997).

I used the difference in difference strategy as a replica of Imbert and Papp (2013), to compare changes in regions that GPRS was implemented to changes in regions that later received the program. The program's objective was to improve the living conditions of people through social infrastructure and employment. This paper considered the implementation of the GPRS in 9 regions, with the exception of the country's capital, which was more resilient in economic growth and poverty survey lines. From the sample of 10 regions, I compared 9 regions that received and benefited immensely from the GPRS implemented in 2005 to a region that did not benefit on the paper phase.

The pre-period before the program is 1991-1992, 1998-1999 and the post-period after the program is 2004-2005, 2012-2013. The pre-period contains two panel years, as well as the post-period. This does not mean our results on employment and wages were driven by seasonal fluctuations but by a lack of additional variable before and after policy implementation. Unfortunately, data on wages were not found across the years and this affirms the assertion that wages data collections are insufficient in most Sub-Saharan countries hence making it difficult in assessing employment effects on poverty (Arjan & Laiera, 1997).

The major difference between Card and Krueger (1994, 2000) and this paper is the absence of wages variable from my data moreover, Krueger and Card collected the data on a specific classified industry thus Food industry and further chose fast food joints whereas my paper uses secondary data survey across all ten regions in Ghana.

Card and Krueger (1994), were the early authors who used DID model to estimate the employment effects on minimum wages. I begin with the model framework including some notations, model estimator, and assumptions. The difference in difference model takes into effects the mean probability outcome of before and after treatment minus the difference in the probability mean outcome of before control group and after treatment (Raul, 2020).

$Y_{ist} = A_s + B_t + \beta D_{st} + \varepsilon_{ist}$

Y_{ist} = Outcome of interest for individual (i) living in a region (S) at time t;

A_s and B_t are fixed effects for regions and years respectively;

ε_{ist} is the error term;

D_{st} is a dummy taking the value of 1 for regions affected by the GPRS program, after the reform and 0 otherwise.

The goal of this evaluation is to find out the effects of GPRS treatment, D, on the outcome y.

Consider, y_{1i} as the individual outcome with treatment, and y_{0i} is the outcome with no treatment. Thus, reform's impact on individual outcomes is $\Delta_i = y_{1i} - y_{0i}$. Since individual effects

_____

cannot be observed in both outcomes, y_{1i} & y_0i, it's difficult to simplify terms hence the use of means.

$$\mu DD = \bar{Y}^{T}_1 - \bar{Y}^{T}_0 - (\bar{Y}^{C}_1 - \bar{Y}^{C}_0)$$

Where $\bar{Y}^{T}_0$ = pre Treatment

$\bar{Y}^{T}_1$ = post- treatment

First difference is Post – Pre treatment $\bar{Y}^{T}_1 - \bar{Y}^{T}_0$

Also, $\bar{Y}^{C}_0$ = pre control

$\bar{Y}^{C}_1$ = post control

Second difference is Post – Pre control $\bar{Y}^{C}_1 - \bar{Y}^{C}_0$.

5. Results

For the sample, approximately 155,324 people were captured by this paper. Table 2, shows that 49% constitute the adult population ranging from 18 years and above according to the constitution of Ghana. With 48.3% of respondents being males and a mean age of 24yrs. In addition, 74% were noted as educated, thus, all respondents who have ever sat in a primary class or junior high school but did not complete or graduate were coded as literate. Also, 75.8% were captured as either married before or currently married. The table also show that 82% of the respondents were in the labor force.

From the distribution bar graph Figure 1, the Ashanti region recorded the highest gender percentage, with 8.2% males and 8.9% females. However, Greater Accra which serves as the nation capital was second with 5.5% being males and 6% females. This was followed by the eastern region,5.2% males and 5.5% females. The region with the lowest gender percentage according to the data used was the Upper east region. Geographically the region is the smallest among the regions, making this result indifferent. Male percentage was 2.7% as equal to female percentage 2.7%. The Northern and the Upper east regions recorded a balanced gender percentage 5.0% and 2.7% respectively. Whilst the Central region shown higher ratio of gender.

Figure 2 shows that, before the implementation of the Ghana poverty reduction program, the upper east (smallest region) and West region had gender-balanced populations of 1.4% and 2.6% respectively constituting both males and females. The Ashanti region, the highest population according to the data and the second commercial business city after the capital, had 91% of respondents being females and 81% males signifying 10% gender differences. The Greater Accra region, (capital) constituted 62% of female respondents and 55% of males.

In contrast, between 2005 to 2014 after the full implementation of the program, none of the 10 regions attained a gender-balanced. The central region had the lowest number of respondents of which 35% are males and 32% are females. The number of respondents decreased compared to previous years in Figure 2. The Ashanti region maintained the highest respondents score however with a slight decrease in respondents, thus 87% females and 83% males. The capital region, Accra also had a decrease in respondents,59% being females and 56% males.

The reason for the differences in the number of respondents across each study year may not necessarily be a general population reduction between the years nor could it be because of the implementation of the program. These differences may be due to the discretion and data strategy of the primary investigators that is, Ghana statistical service and producers (World Bank) during the data collection.

_____

5.1 *Educational attainment distribution*

Figure 4 shows that, among respondents in the regions, Greater Accra region had the highest respondents of 2.5% who had attained higher education such as the universities, vocational/technical institutes or even Secondary school. The region thus, scored 3% being the lowest percentage of no education. This result is due to the cosmopolitan nature of the capital region with a lot of social amenities and infrastructures, business opportunities and jobs wildly opened to higher educators. Moreover, other people migrate from different regions to Greater Accra in search of greener pastures.

The Ashanti region which is the second largest business region had 2.1% of higher education attainment. However, the region recorded the highest respondents with low education. This means that, 9% of the respondents in the region were either drop out from primary, junior high school or had only completed lower secondary, primary or formal adult education. The three Northern regions namely: North, Upper east and West recorded the lowest high education attainment with 0.3%, 0.2% and 0.2% respectively. Also, the Northern region had 7% of respondents who never got formal education thereby being the highest among the regions.

Education is inevitable in every facet of development. Thus, any region with higher or lower education has direct and indirect effects on social development. From the period 1992 to 1998 as shown in Figure 5, the greater Accra region recorded the highest educated respondents at 19 percent.

Low educators, respondents who have their maximum education ladder to junior high school recorded 62 percent and 35 percent had no education. The Northern regions namely, Northern, upper East, and West recorded the lowest respondents with high education; 2%, 1%, and 1% respectively.

The Ashanti region recorded the highest number of respondents with an education up to junior high school. Figure 6 shows that from 2005 to 2013 the Greater Accra region had an increase in high education rate from 19% to 32%. The Northern regions though recorded the least high education however, the rate increased to 4%, 3%, and 3% compared to the periods from 1992-1998. The Northern region had the highest number of respondents with no education.

5.2 *Industry classification*

From Table 3 and Figure 7, the sample shows that 64.5% of respondents were engaged in Agriculture and fishing sector. This confirms that agriculture is the mainstream job in Ghana and most African countries. Secondly, the commerce sector which includes, retailing, small and medium scale enterprises, etc. constituted 15% of respondents whereas 7.4% classified under the manufacturing sector. The remaining 13.1% forms other primary jobs of respondents such as, Mining, Electricity, and Utilities, Construction, Transportation, storage & communication, Finance, insurance &real estate, service; Public administration etc.

5.3 *Share of employment and unemployment*

I constructed a proportionate test to ascertain the share of the outcome variable employment in the treated and control group. The proportion of Employment subjects coded as '1' in the treated regions and unemployed '0' in the control region.

Ho: There is no difference in proportion or share group

Ho: diff = 0

Ha: There is difference in proportion group for employment

Ha: diff < 0   Ha: diff! = 0   Ha: diff > 0

Pr(Z < z) = 0.0000   Pr(|Z| > |z|) = 0.0000   Pr(Z > z) = 1.00

The p value is significant from the test. Thus, 59.5% share of the treatment group were employed whiles 51.7% are unemployed in the control group. This depicts the change in employment and unemployment after the introduction of GPRS program as shown in table 4. Also from Figure 8, before the implementation of the poverty reforms, the mean employed from 1992 and 1998 in the nine regions was higher than the control region. However, the difference in mean employed between the treatment and control region is not much. After the reforms, 2005 to 2015, the graph shows a slight mean difference between Greater Accra (Control) and the rest of the regions.

6. Discussions

From Table 5, the results show that prior to the implementation of the GPRS, employment in the treated regions namely, Western, Central, Ashanti, Volta, Brong Ahafo Northern, Upper West and Upper east is 0.583 higher than in the control region of Greater Accra, which is 0.523.

The difference of 0.060 on average between the treated and the untreated regions is statically significant, but still closed to the control region. This can be due to centralization located in most developing countries where biggest firms are strategically located in the capitals. In most cases, growing businesses will establish their headquarters in the cities compare to less – productive companies who prefers smaller cities for cost of production (Lewis, 2013). Also, employees located in the capital cities may receive higher wages than in other cities because of the high productivity of the former due to proximity or access to services, as well as higher education rate. The results from the data could also be attributed to most people living in less developed areas in the early 90s.

The World Bank (2016) reported that in 1991 about 70% of Ghanaians lived in rural areas. The rural area in this context is defined as an undeveloped demographic space lacking basic necessities such as electricity good roads, health care and school. Another factor for the slight differences between the regions treated and the control region may be due to regional inequality in the distribution of resources motivated by political machinations.

After the implementation of the GPRS policy, the Employment average increased from 0.583 to 0.586 in the nine regions treated, while employment fell from 0.523 to 0.512 in the control region. The post-implementation employment difference between the treated and control regions increased slightly from 0.060 to 0.074. The result is also statistically significant.

After estimating the difference-in-difference using a regression model in differences as shown in Table 6, the coefficient $beta_o$" as constant is statistically significant which means that untreated region known as control group mean is statistically different from zero. Also, the coefficient of beta (1) β_1 which is the treatment group is significant signifying that the mean employment in treatment group is 5.9% more than in control group.

Moreover, the coefficient of beta (2) $\beta_2 = -0.01$ is not different from zero. This suggest that; there is a decrease in employment in the control group from the first and second periods hence, there is no significant change in the control group. The difference-in-difference estimator β_3 is equal to 1.4, which means that after the treatment, employment for all the nine regions known as our treatment group shown a rise in employment with an average of 0.014 which is also significant.

I check over time correlation within the regions where GPRS is implemented, show all estimates with   robust standard error remodeling for clustering in the regions. Standard errors increase by cluster region estimate as shown in Table 8 and 9. Also, I added two similar regions in terms of gender namely the Volta (coded 4) and the Eastern Region (coded 5) to the Greater Accra region as control group. When individual characteristics are gender-based, policymakers can allocate resources efficiently (WHO, 2019). The intuition is that perhaps Greater Accra was probably excluded from the GPRS due to gender differences thus the introduction of additional regional dummies as controls will prove. The difference in average employment of control and pre-program regions is insignificant compared to the results in Table 5, but the post-treatment outcome was significant.

More so, the inclusion of two similar regions to the control group caused a rise in coefficients and attenuates standard error. Thus, the coefficient makes insignificant, Unlike Kurt and Card (1994), which, in addition to the region dummies, had reduced coefficients and increasing standard errors. This implies that, the addition of the similar regions as a control group, namely Greater Accra, Volta and Eastern proves and confirms that, the exclusion of Greater Accra from the program was not due to gender balance in the region as shown in Table 10 and 11.

6.1 *Robustness check*

Specification test (Placebo)

I performed robustness checks on the previous estimates: a "placebo treatment group." The purpose of the test is to provide evidence that the marginal positive effect in the previous estimation is as a result of the implementation of the GPRS program. and not any unaccounted exogenous shocks in the country's economy before the implementation of the program. The placebo treatment test is an additional difference-in-difference estimation where we estimate a false or fake group that was not affected by the GPRS program (Gertler et al., 2016).

The methodology of the robustness check is that; I limit the treatment period from 2005 to 1998 with the assumption that, after estimation of the comparison group, estimates of the impact should be similar to the original regression results hence, satisfying the equal trend assumption. From the Table 12, the results show a similar trend in employment before and after the program.

First treatment before reform showed a difference of 0.068 between the treated and control group. The second treatment also showed a similar trend in group difference which is 0.072 employment resulting in 0.004 employment difference which is close to zero, validating my assumptions.

The results from the linear regression in the table 13 further show a similar employment trend. The constant and beta 1 coefficients are significant, whereas the beta2 coefficient is negative, explaining a decrease in employment in the control group. Also, the significant estimator of beta 3 (Diff-in-diff) confirmed the result.

7. Conclusion

In this thesis, I investigate the effects of the implementation of Ghana's Poverty Reduction Strategy implementation on employment distribution in selected 9 regions. I used the World Bank SHIP Harmonized Data set of Ghana with panel data of 1992 to 2013 because of its simplicity and structure. The objective of this paper is to assess an impact of a policy; thus, I used difference in difference model in my analysis.

_____

The GPRS program treated in nine regions is compared to one region as untreated. Prior to the implementation of the GPRS the estimates show a significant and positive coefficient. Moreover, I find it positive and statistically significant from 2005 to 2013. The result implies that after the implementation of the program, the average employment increased slightly compared to years before the program. But the results further proved that the average employment before and after GPRS program was in negligible.

This result of slight increment of average employment could be attributed to the redistribution of political elites and the diversion of State resources (Abdul, 2012). Also, regional level reforms and policy implementation are often associated with biases towards well-developed or politically influence areas (Johnson & Start, 2001; Pramod & Harpalsinh, 2020). The estimates were passed through various robustness checks and proved that my methodology was not affected by selection bias. The main contribution of this thesis to the literature is the assessment of the impact of the implementation of the Poverty Reduction Strategy in Ghana on employment in all regions.

Few papers have discussed the impact of the program on Poverty thus, this thesis will be one of the first papers to be conducted on employment across the regions.

The limitation of this research is the lack of data on wages to complement employment. The initial proposal was to find the reforms impact on both employment and wages as similar to Krueger and Card (1994) paper but lack of data restricted my research. I used placebo as a robustness test, which is explained by Gertler et al. (2016).

 I argue that my results are also robust, as estimates and effects change slightly after the treatment year has been changed to an earlier year. This paper has shown that the implementation of GPRS across the nine regions was significant, albeit less differences in average employment.

References

Abdulai, A. G. (2012). *State elites and the politics of regional inequality in Ghana*. Thesis submitted to the University of Manchester for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Humanities, UK.

Aryeetey, E., & Baah-Boateng, W. (2015). *Understanding Ghana's growth success story and job creation challenges*. https://doi.org/10.35188/UNU-WIDER/2015/029-4

Barrett, C. B., & Clay, D.C (2003). How accurate is food-for-work self-targeting in the presence of imperfect factor markets? Evidence from Ethiopia. *Journal of Development Studies*, *39*(5), 152-180.

_____

Bassanini, A., & Danielle, V. (2007). Assessing the impact of labour market policies on productivity: A difference-in-differences approach. *DELSA/ELSA/WD/SEM* (2007)9.

Boadu, K. (2002). *The effect of contraceptive practice on fertility in Ghana: A decade of experience*. https://doi.org/10.25336/P6D60S

Bonnal, L., Fougère, D., & Sérandon, A. (1997). Evaluating the impact of French employment policies on individual labour market histories. *The Review of Economic Studies*, *64*(4), 683-713. https://doi.org/10.2307/2971735

CEIC (2022). Ghana labour force participation rate. CEIC DATA. Retrieved 09 January 2022, from https://www.ceicdata.com/en/indicator/ghana/labour-force-participation-rate.

Dijkstra, L. (2013). Why investing more in the capital can lead to less growth. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, *6*, 251-268. https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rst009

Emmanuelle, L., Anne, O., Laure, P. D., & Anne, S. R. (2010). *Poverty alleviation policy targeting: A review of knowledge in underdeveloped countries*. DT/2010-10.

Ewusi, K. (1981). *The process of industrialization in Ghana, 1950-1975*. Legon: University of Ghana.

Ghana Economic Outlook (2019). *Recent macroeconomic and financial developments*. Retrieved 23 January 2022, from: https://www.afdb.org/en/countries/west-africa/ghana/ghana-economic-outlook.

Gutierrez, C., Orecchia, C., Paci, P., & Serneels, P. (2007). Does employment generation really matter for poverty reduction? Policy research working paper; No. 4432. World Bank, Washington, DC. © World Bank. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/7593.

Haan, A., & Laiera, J, K. (1997). Employment and Poverty Monitoring. Development Policies Department International Labour Office. ISBN 92-2-110699-3. Retrieved 02 February 2022, from http://ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/ed_emp/documents/publication/wcms_12358.

Han X, Xinye, Z., & Lunyu, X. (2022). Promoting pro-poor growth through infrastructure investment: Evidence from the Targeted Poverty Alleviation program in China. *China Economic Review*, *71*, 101729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chieco.2021.101729

Imai, K. (2003). *The employment guarantee scheme as a social safety net – Poverty dynamics and poverty alleviation*. University of Oxford, Department of Economics, Economics Series Working Papers.

Imbert, C., & Papp, J. (2015). Labor market effects of social programs: Evidence from India's employment guarantee. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, American Economic Association*, *7*(2), 233-263.

International Monetary Fund (2022). *IMF Staff Concludes Visit to Ghana*.

Johnson, C., & Start, D. (2001). *Rights, claims and capture: Understanding the politics of pro-poor policy*. ODI, London. Retrieved 7 January 2022, from: http://www.odi.org.uk/publications/wp145.

Khandker, S., & Pitt, M. (1998). The impact of group-based credit programs on poor households in Bangladesh. *Journal of Political Economy*, 106, 958-996. https://doi.org/10.1086/250037

Leechor, C. (1994). Ghana: Frontrunner in adjustment. In I. Hussain & R. Faruqee (Eds.), *Adjustment in Africa: Lessons from country case studies*. World Bank.

Lingchao, L., Can, L., Jinlong, L., & Baodong, C. (2021). Has the sloping land conversion program in China impacted the income and employment of rural households? *Land Use Policy*, 109, 2021, 105648. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105648

Loayza, N., and Raddatz, C. (2006). *The Composition of Growth Matters for Poverty Alleviation*," mimeo, Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

Malthus, T. R. (1798). *An essay on the principle of population* (Printed for J. Johnson).

Molini, V., & Paci. P (2015). *Poverty reduction in Ghana: Progress and challenges.* World Bank, Washington DC.

Nyarkoh, B. J., Banham, V., & Larsen, A. C. (2021). Rights-based approach to poverty reduction: The Ghanaian experience. *Forum for Development Studies*, 48(3), 495-517. https://doi.org/10.1080/08039410.2021.1984303

Omiti, J., Wasunna, O., Otieno, W., & Odundo, P. (2002). *Poverty reduction efforts in Kenya: Institutions, capacity and policy.* Discussion Paper No. 033/2002.

Pramod, K. S., & Harpalsinh, C. (2020). *Evaluating poverty alleviation strategies in a developing country*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227176

PRESS RELEASE NO. 22/256. Retrieved 11 march 2022, from: https://www.imf.org/en/News/Articles/2022/07/13/pr22256-imf-staff-concludes-visit-to-ghana.

Ravallion, M., & Datt, G. (2002). Why has economic growth been more pro-poor in some states of India than others? *Journal of Development Economics*, 381-400.

Rosholm, M., & Lars, S. (2003). *Is labour market training a curse for the unemployed? Evidence from a social experiment.* http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.385165

Sharma, A. N. et al. (2001). *Dynamics of supply, poverty and human development in Bihar.* Institute of Human Development, New Delhi.

Sowa, N. K. (1993). Control and trends rise in Ghana. *The African Economic Research Consortium* (AERC), Research Paper 22.

The World Bank (2020). *Annual GDP Growth of Ghana.* Retrieved on 11 February 2022, from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?end=2020&locations=GH&start=1990&view=chart.

World Bank Harmonized Data (2012). *Ghana Living Standard Survey.* Retrieved 22 November 2021, from: https://microdata.worldbank.org/index.php/catalog/1064/study-description#metadata-producers_sponsors.

World Health Organization (2019). *Closing data gaps in gender.* Retrieved on 3 March 2022, from: https://www.who.int/activities/closing-data-gaps-in-gender

Yao A, E. (2015). *The population growth – Economic growth nexus: New evidence from Ghana.* Retrieved 19 January 2022, from: http://Ugspace.Ug.Edu.Gh/.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Gender Distribution across Regions from 1992 to 2013; SOURCE: WBH Data (GLSS 1992-2013)

Figure 2: Gender regional distribution from 1992-1998

Figure 3: Gender regional Distribution from 2005-2013; SOURCE: WBH Data (GLSS 1992-2013)

Figure 4: Educational Distribution from 1992 to 2013

_____

Figure 5: Education attainment from 1992-1998

Figure 6: Education attainment from 2005-2013

Figure 7: Industry distribution from 1992 to 2013

Figure 8: Mean employed Graph

Table 1: Economic Active Sectors (%)

Agriculture	Industry	Service	Year
61.1	12.8	26.1	1984
62.2	10.0	27.8	1992
55.0	14.0	31.0	1998
51.0	16.3	33.0	2000

Source: *GLSS 3&4 and 2000 Population Census; GSS*

_____

Table 2: *Demographic characteristics*

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std.dev	Min	Max
Age	155,323	23.9	19.67	0	98
Adult_age	155,323	.49	.49	0	1
Male	155,323	.48	.49	0	1
EverMar	155,323	.75	.43	0	1
Edu	155,323	.74	.44	0	1
Lab force	155,323	.82	.38	0	1

Source : WBHD,GLSS 1992 -2013

Table 3: Industry Classification

Primary Job	Frequency	Percent	Cum.
Agriculture and Fishing	54,989	64.51	64.51
Mining	594	0.70	65.20
Manufacturing	6,265	7.35	72.55
Electricity and Utilities	134	0.16	72.71
Construction	1,349	1.58	74.29
Commerce	12,750	14.96	89.25
Transportation, storage & comm.	1,861	2.18	91.43
Financial, Insurance & real estate	471	0.55	91.98
Services, Public Administration	1,019	1.20	93.18
Other services	2,662	3.12	96.30
Unspecified	1,449	1.70	98.00
12	1,704	2.00	100.00
Total	85,247	100.00	

Source: WBHD, GLSS 1992-2013

_____ 59

Table 4: Share of Employment for treated and control group

Two-sample test of proportions   0: Number of obs= 16282

1: Number of obs =139041

Group	Mean	Std.err.	z	p>[z]	[95% conf- interval
0	.5155386	.0039166			.5078623 .523215
1	.5852878	.0013213			.5826982 .5878774
Diff	-.0697492	.0041334			-.0778505 -.0616178
	Under Ho:	.0040909	-17.05	0.000	

Source: WBHD,GLSS 1992-2013

Table 5: Difference in difference estimation

Number of observations in the DIFF-IN-DIFF: 155323

```
            Before              After
Control:   5419               10863          16282
Treated:  41253               97788          139041
          46672              108651
```

Outcome Variable	Employment	S.Err	[t]	p>[t]
Before				
Control	0.523			
Treated	0.583			
Diff (T –C)	0.060	0.007	8.33	0.000***
After				
Control	0.512			
Treated	0.586			
Diff (T-C)	0.074	0.005	14.75	0.000***
Diff-in-Diff	0.014	0.009	1.64	0.100

*Means and standard Errors are estimated by linear regression *Robust Std Errors

*Inference:***$p<0.01$;**$p<0.05$;*$p<0.1$

_____

Table 6: Estimation of difference-in-difference using a regression model in differences

		Number of Obs	= 155,323
		F (3,155319)	= 98.09
		Prob>F	= 0.0000
		R-squared	= 0.0019
		Adj R-squared	= 0.0019
		Root MSE	= .49342

Employment	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	p>[t]	[95% conf. interval]	
DiD	.014451	.0087024	1.66	0.097	-.0026055	0.315075
Group	.0599983	.0071295	8.42	0.000	.0460247	.073972
Time	-.0114222	.0082061	-1.39	0.164	-.027506	.0046616
-cons	.5231593	.0067028	78.05	0.000	.5100218	.5362967

Table 7: Estimation of difference-in-difference using a regression model in differences Robust

Linear Regression		Number of Obs	= 155,323
		F (3,155319)	= 95.91
		Prob>F	= 0.0000
		R-squared	= 0.0019
		Root MSE	= .49342

		Robust				
Employment	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	p>[t]	[95% conf. interval]	
DiD	.014451	.0087984	1.64	0.100	-.0027936	0.316956
Group	.0599983	.0072062	8.33	0.000	.0458744	.0741223
Time	-.0114222	.0083089	-1.37	0.169	-.0277075	.0048631
-cons	.5231593	.006785	77.11	0.000	.5098608	.5364577

Table 8: Estimating the model in levels, with the left-hand-side variable in levels

Linear Regression

				Number of Obs	= 155,323
				F (3,155319)	= 142.51
				Prob>F	= 0.0000
				R-squared	= 0.0019
				Root MSE	= .49342

Employment	Coefficient	Robust Std. err.	t	p>[t]	[95% conf. interval]	
Group	.0599983	.0072062	8.33	0.000	.0458744	.0741223
Time	-.0114222	.0083089	-1.37	0.169	-.0277075	.0048631
-cons	.5231593	.006785	77.11	0.000	.5098608	.5364577

_____

_____

Comparative Analysis Between
Capital and Liability – Sensitivity Method

Constantinos Challoumis

National and Kapodistrian University, Athens, GREECE
Faculty of Economics and Political Science

Abstract

This paper is about the comparison of capital and liability in the tax system. The results show that capital and liability increase tax revenue. The applied methodology stands on the S.M. (Sensitivity Method) where the comparison between the capital and liability happens through diagrammatic analysis. The mathematical background and the theory of the money cycle specify the behavior of these variables. The objective of this work is to reveal the interaction between these variables. The scope of the paper is to confirm the behavior of the theory of the Cycle of Money considering these variables.

Keywords: cycle of money, sensitivity method, capital, liability.

1. Introduction

The money cycle counteracts the variables of capital and liability. The quantification analysis of the sensitivity of the tax system to the capital and liability is done by the application of the S.M. (Sensitivity Method) (Bergh, 2009; Bourdin & Nadou, 2018; Challoumis, 2020d, 2020a, 2021d; Corti et al., 2020; Ginsburgh & Weber, 2020; Levi, 2021; Ortun et al., 2017; Paes-Sousa et al., 2019; Rumayya et al., 2020; Tvaronavičienė et al., 2018; Urwannachotima et al., 2020; Woody & Viney, 2017; Παπακωνσταντίνου et al., 2013). The background of this method stands on the behavior analysis of mathematical equations. According to bibliography (Challoumis, 2018a, 2018b, 2023g, 2023b, 2023a, 2023i, 2023c, 2023j, 2023k, 2023d, 2023l, 2023e, 2020a, 2023m, 2023o, 2024b, 2024c, 2024a, 2020b, 2021b, 2021a, 2022a, 2022b, 2023h, 2023f). The cycle of money is the theory where the Q.E. method and also the Sensitivity method have been applied (Aitken, 2019; Arai et al., 2018; Biernaski & Silva, 2018; Buonomo et al., 2020; Challoumis, 2018c; Diallo et al., 2021; Fernandez & Raine, 2019; Hasselman & Stoker, 2017; Hyeon Sik Seo & YoungJun Kim, 2020; Kananen, 2012; Khadzhyradieva et al., 2019; Kroth et al., 2020; Leckel et al., 2020; Loayza & Pennings, 2020; Montenegro Martínez et al., 2020; Nielsen et al., 2019; Ruiz et al., 2017; Scholvin & Malamud, 2020; Soboleva I.V., 2019; Syukur, 2020; Taub, 2015; Ustinovich & Kulikov, 2020; Watanabe et al., 2018). The Sensitivity Method is based on the concept of how sensitive is a variable. To achieve this there are two steps:

- It should be defined as the equation that is under examination, according to the applied theory.

- Following the same concept of the Q.E. method it is the case that one variable is there in the one case, and the other case is omitted. The basic difference between the Sensitivity Method to the Q.E. method is that Sensitivity does not use the generator, to produce random values, but there is each time a condition that should be satisfied.

The S.M. (Sensitivity Method) is plausible to be applied using a combination of mathematics and programming (Challoumis, 2018c, 2021i, 2022c, 2023p, 2023n, 2023q, 2023r, 2023u, 2023t, 2023s, 2024e, 2024d, 2021j, 2021e, 2021c, 2021d, 2021g, 2021h, 2021f, 2022d; Challoumis & Savic, 2024). The quantification of quality data is the concept of the S.M. (the same happens with the Q.E. method, but from a different point of view (Aakre & Rübbelke, 2010; Baker et al., 2020; Blundell & Preston, 2019; Bowling et al., 2019; Brownell & Frieden, 2009; J. N. B. Campos, 2015; Carattini et al., 2018; Díaz et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020; Fronzaglia et al., 2019; Gocekli & Comertler, 2021; Grabs et al., 2020; Hai, 2016; Liu et al., 2018; Maestre-Andrés et al., 2019; Marques, 2019; OECD, 2017, 2020; Persson & Tinghög, 2020; Silva et al., 2020; TUTER, 2020; Wright et al., 2017).” Then, it is plausible to quantify quality data. In our analysis, this method is used for clarification of the behavior of the impact factor of the global tax revenue.

2. Literature review

The impact factor of tax revenues of countries which are tax heaves, s according to the bibliography (Challoumis, 2018c, 2021j, 2022c, 2023p, 2023n, 2023q, 2023r, 2023u, 2023t, 2023s, 2024e, 2024d, 2021c, 2024f, 2024g, 2021e, 2021i, 2021d, 2021g, 2021h, 2021f, 2022d; Challoumis & Savic, 2024). It is determined as that:

$$s = \frac{k+l}{r+c+t+i} \qquad\qquad (1)$$

Therefore, there are countries that receive the products that are taxed in different countries. This allocation of profits between profits and losses permits the enterprises that participate in controlled transactions of the transfer pricing activities to maximize their utility. But, contemporaneously the tax revenue from a global view has declined. Then, the loss of tax income from some countries is more than the profits that make the countries which are tax havens. Thereupon, the symbol of s the impact factor of tax revenue from a global view, and there are some coefficients which are $k, l, r, t,$ and c. Thus, the symbol of k is about the impact factor of capital, l is the impact factor about the liability of the authorities on the tax system. The interpretation of the liability is about how unbalanced it is the tax system. The parameter of r is about the risk, the t is about how much trustworthy is the tax system from the view of cost (Arabyan, 2016; Arbel et al., 2019; Camous & Gimber, 2018; J. Campos et al., 2019; Chubarova et al., 2020; de A. Dantas et al., 2018; de Vasconcelos et al., 2019; Farah, 2011; Goldsztejn et al., 2020b, 2020a; Hartz & John, 2009; Herrington, 2015; Islam et al., 2020; Jia et al., 2020; Kartini et al., 2019; Lajas & Macário, 2020; Martinez & Rodríguez, 2020; Marume, 2016; Nash et al., 2017; Noland, 2020; Peres et al., 2020; Torres & Riaño-Casallas, 2018; Tummers, 2019). The i is about the requirements of the intangibles (different relation from the intangibles which are proportional to capital). The symbols with the "~" are accordingly the same thing but from the view of the uncontrolled transactions. Thus, the numerator is proportional to the income of taxes, as the investments and the stable tax environments (Acs et al., 2016; Adhikari et al., 2006; Andriansyah et al., 2019; Kanthak & Spies, 2018; Korenik & Wegrzyn, 2020; Kreft & Sobel, 2005; Ladvocat & Lucas, 2019; Nayak, 2019; Ud Din et al., 2016). On the other hand, the denominator is inverting

_____

proportional to the tax income, as the risk, the cost, and the unbalance of taxation cause less tax income. Moreover, for $\tilde{s}$:

$$\tilde{s} = \frac{\tilde{k}+\tilde{l}}{\tilde{r}+\tilde{c}+\tilde{t}+\tilde{\iota}} \qquad (2)$$

It is determined the aggregate impact factor of tax revenues, which is symbolized by $\hat{s}$, and is defined by the next equation:

$$\hat{s} = s + \tilde{s} \qquad (3)$$

Based on the prior equations it is plausible to proceed to the identification of the behavior of the impact factors of tax revenues in the case of tax heavens and the case of the non-tax heavens. Then, s is a factor that allows the comparison between the controlled with the uncontrolled transactions. Thence is plausible to have a standalone behavior analysis of controlled transactions and a combined behavior analysis between the controlled transactions with the uncontrolled transactions. The next section analyzes the impact factor of tax revenues with the rest impact factors."

This methodology is illustrated below:

Figure 1. S.M. (Sensitivity Method)

The previous scheme followed the Sensitivity Method to determine the behavior of the global tax revenue in the case that the existence of the capital and liability the ideal case that this factor is avoided.

3. Results

The capital and liability are in interaction with the impact factor of tax revenues. In this behavioral analysis is determined the model which clarifies the behavior of the impact factor of tax revenues with the existence and with the avoidance of the impact factor of tax sensibility (Challoumis, 2018e, 2018d, 2022e, 2023y, 2023x, 2023w, 2023v, 2023z, 2024h, 2024l, 2024m, 2024j, 2019e, 2024k, 2019a, 2019d, 2019c, 2019b, 2020d, 2020c, 2021k). Then, for the application of the Sensitivity Method:

$$t > l > i > r > k > c \qquad (4)$$

Therefore, it is plausible to proceed to a quantity analysis using equations (1), (2), and (4). Therefore, applying the Sensitivity method and choosing the appropriate magnitudes for the coefficient:

Table 1. Compiling coefficients

Factors	Values of s	Values of s'
k	0,4	-
i	0.6	0.6
l	0.7	-
r	0.5	0.5
c	0.3	0.3
t	0.8	0.8
fs	<0.3	<0.3
fi	<0.3	<0.3

The prior table presents the data that are under examination to be able to compile the model and confirm that the impact factor of capital and liability increase the tax revenue (Challoumis, 2018e, 2018f, 2020d, 2020c, 2021k, 2023v, 2023y, 2023x, 2023aa, 2023ab, 2023ah, 2023ad, 2018d, 2023w, 2023z, 2023ae, 2023af, 2023ag, 2023ac, 2024m, 2024k, 2024h, 2024j, 2019b, 2024l, 2024n, 2024o, 2024p, 2019f, 2019d, 2019e, 2019a, 2019g, 2019c).

Therefore, using the Sensitivity Method:

Figure 2. Application of S.M.

In logarithmic forms, the red line is about the case that there doesn't exist liability, and the blue line is the case that there does exist capital. The green line is the case that there are all the variables. It is confirmed by the theoretical background of the theory of the money cycle (or the theory of the cycle of money).

4. Conclusions

This paper examined the case of capital and liability and how interact with global tax revenue. Then the companies that participate in controlled transactions prefer as expected the tax environments that have unstable law rules and insecure economies. This has an impact on the

_____

companies that participate in controlled transactions to be increased in numbers because that way can allocate their profits and losses better. Then, the capital increase could rapidly increase the tax revenue. Simultaneously the increase of liability increases the tax revenue. Then, the increase of these variables makes an ideal case for the money cycle.

References

Aakre, S., & Rübbelke, D. T. G. (2010). Objectives of public economic policy and the adaptation to climate change. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, *53*(6). https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2010.488116

Acs, Z., Åstebro, T., Audretsch, D., & Robinson, D. T. (2016). Public policy to promote entrepreneurship: a call to arms. *Small Business Economics*, *47*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-016-9712-2

Adhikari, A., Derashid, C., & Zhang, H. (2006). Public policy, political connections, and effective tax rates: Longitudinal evidence from Malaysia. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, *25*(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2006.07.001

Aitken, A. (2019). Measuring Welfare Beyond GDP. *National Institute Economic Review*, *249*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/002795011924900110

Andriansyah, A., Taufiqurokhman, T., & Wekke, I. S. (2019). Responsiveness of public policy and its impact on education management: An empirical assessment from Indonesia. *Management Science Letters*, *9*(3). https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2018.12.008

Arabyan, O. (2016). Public infrastructure policies and economic geography. *Glasnik Srpskog Geografskog Drustva – Bulletin of the Serbian Geographical Society*, *96*(1). https://doi.org/10.2298/gsgd1601093a

Arai, R., Naito, K., & Ono, T. (2018). Intergenerational policies, public debt, and economic growth: A politico-economic analysis. *Journal of Public Economics*, *166*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2018.08.006

Arbel, Y., Fialkoff, C., & Kerner, A. (2019). Public policy for reducing tax evasion: implications of the Yule–Simpson paradox. *Applied Economics Letters*, *26*(13). https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2018.1537471

Baker, S. D., Hollifield, B., & Osambela, E. (2020). Preventing controversial catastrophes. *Review of Asset Pricing Studies*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1093/RAPSTU/RAZ001

Bergh, J. C. J. M. va. den. (2009). The GDP paradox. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, *30*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2008.12.001

Biernaski, I., & Silva, C. L. (2018). Main variables of Brazilian public policies on biomass use and energy. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, *61*(Specialissue). https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4324-smart-2018000310

Blundell, R., & Preston, I. (2019). Principles of tax design, public policy and beyond: The ideas of James Mirrlees, 1936–2018. *Fiscal Studies*, *40*(1). https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-5890.12183

_____

Bourdin, S., & Nadou, F. (2018). French tech: A new form of territorial mobilization to face up to global competition? *Annales de Geographie*, *2018*(723-724). https://doi.org/10.3917/ag.723.0612

Bowling, S. J., Boyland, L. G., & Kirkeby, K. M. (2019). Property tax cap policy in Indiana and implications for public school funding equity. *International Journal of Education Policy and Leadership*, *15*(9). https://doi.org/10.22230/ijepl.2019v15n9a881

Brownell, K. D., & Frieden, T. R. (2009). Ounces of prevention — The public policy case for taxes on sugared beverages. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *360*(18). https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmp0902392

Buonomo, I., Benevene, P., Barbieri, B., & Cortini, M. (2020). Intangible assets and performance in nonprofit organizations: A systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00729

Camous, A., & Gimber, A. R. (2018). Public debt and fiscal policy traps. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, *93*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2018.02.009

Campos, J., Braga, V., & Correia, A. (2019). Public policies for entrepreneurship and internationalization: Is there a government reputation effect? *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, *10*(4). https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-04-2018-0044

Campos, J. N. B. (2015). Paradigms and public policies on drought in Northeast Brazil: A historical perspective. *Environmental Management*, *55*(5). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-015-0444-x

Carattini, S., Carvalho, M., & Fankhauser, S. (2018). Overcoming public resistance to carbon taxes. In *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* (Vol. 9, Issue 5). https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.531

Challoumis, C. (2018a). Analysis of the velocities of escaped savings with that of financial liquidity. *Ekonomski Signali*, *13*(2), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.5937/ekonsig1802001c

Challoumis, C. (2018b). Identification of significant economic risks to the international controlled transactions. *Economics and Applied Informatics*, *2018*(3), 149-153. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26397/eai1584040927

Challoumis, C. (2018c). Methods of controlled transactions and the behavior of companies according to the public and tax policy. *Economics*, *6*(1), 33-43. https://doi.org/10.2478/eoik-2018-0003

Challoumis, C. (2018d). The impact factor of health on the economy using the cycle of money. *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Braşov*, *11*(60), 125-136. https://webbut.unitbv.ro/index.php/Series_V/article/view/2533/1979

Challoumis, C. (2018e). The Keynesian Theory and the Theory of Cycle of Money. *Hyperion Economic Journal*, *6*(3), 3-8. https://hej.hyperion.ro/articles/3(6)_2018/HEJnr3(6)_2018_A1Challoumis.pdf.

Challoumis, C. (2018f). The Role of Risk to the International Controlled Transactions. *Economics and Applied Informatics*, *2018*(3), 57-64. https://doi.org/I https://doi.org/10.26397/eai1584040917

Challoumis, C. (2019a). The arm's length principle and the fixed length principle economic analysis. *World Scientific News*, *115*(2019), 207-217. http://www.worldscientificnews.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/WSN-115-2019-207-217.pdf.

Challoumis, C. (2019b). The cycle of money with and without the escaped savings. *Ekonomski Signali*, *14*(1), 89-99. https://doi.org/336.76 336.741.236.5

Challoumis, C. (2019c). The impact factor of education on the public sector and international controlled transactions. *Complex System Research Centre*, *2019*, 151-160. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350453451_The_Impact_Factor_of_Education_on_the_Public_Sector_and_International_Controlled_Transactions.

Challoumis, C. (2019d). The Issue of Utility of Cycle of Money. *Journal Association SEPIKE*, *2019*(25), 12-21. https://5b925ea6-3d4e-400b-b5f3-

_____

32dc681218ff.filesusr.com/ugd/b199e2_dd29716b8bec48ca8fe7fbcfd47cdd2e.pdf.

Challoumis, C. (2019e). The R.B.Q. (Rational, Behavioral and Quantified) Model. *Ekonomika*, *98*(1), 6-18. https://doi.org/10.15388/ekon.2019.1.1

Challoumis, C. (2019f). Theoretical analysis of fuzzy logic and Q. E. method in economics. *IKBFU's Vestnik*, *2019*(01), 59-68.

Challoumis, C. (2019g). Transfer Pricing Methods for Services and the Policy of Fixed Length Principle. *Economics and Business*, *33*(1), 222-232. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2478/eb-2019-0016

Challoumis, C. (2020a). Analysis of the Theory of Cycle of Money. *Acta Universitatis Bohemiae Meridionalis*, *23*(2), 13-29. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2478/acta-2020-0004

Challoumis, C. (2020b). Impact factor of capital to the economy and tax system. *Complex System Research Centre*, *2020*, 195–200. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350385990_Impact_Factor_of_Capital_to_the_Economy_and_Tax_System.

Challoumis, C. (2020c). The impact factor of costs to the tax system. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Economics*, *8*(1), 1-14. http://scientificia.com/index.php/JEBE/article/view/126.

Challoumis, C. (2020d). The Impact Factor of Education on the Public Sector – The Case of the U.S. *International Journal of Business and Economic Sciences Applied Research*, *13*(1), 69-78. https://doi.org/10.25103/ijbesar.131.07

Challoumis, C. (2021a). Chain of cycle of money. *Acta Universitatis Bohemiae Meridionalis*, *24*(2), 49-74.

Challoumis, C. (2021a). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Belarus. *Economy and Banks*, *2*.

Challoumis, C. (2021c). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Greece. *IJBESAR (International Journal of Business and Economic Sciences Applied Research)*, *14*(2), 58-67.

Challoumis, C. (2021d). Index of the Cycle of Money – The Case of Latvia. *Economics and Culture*, *17*(2), 5-12. https://doi.org/10.2478/jec-2020-0015

Challoumis, C. (2021e). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Montenegro. *Montenegrin Journal for Social Sciences*, *5*(1-2), 41-57.

Challoumis, C. (2021e). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Serbia. *Open Journal for Research in Economics (OJRE)*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.32591/coas.ojre.0401.01001c

Challoumis, C. (2021f). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Slovakia. *S T U D I A C O M M E R C I A L I A B R A T I S L A V E N S I A Ekonomická Univerzita v Bratislave*, *14*(49), 176-188.

Challoumis, C. (2021g). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Thailand. *Chiang Mai University Journal of Economics*, *25*(2), 1-14. https://so01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/CMJE/article/view/247774/169340.

Challoumis, C. (2021h). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Ukraine. *Actual Problems of Economics*, *243*(9), 102-111. https://doi.org/10.32752/1993-6788-2021-1-243-244-102-111

Challoumis, C. (2021j). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Bulgaria. *Economic Alternatives*, *27*(2), 225-234. https://www.unwe.bg/doi/eajournal/2021.2/EA.2021.2.04.pdf.

Challoumis, C. (2021k). The cycle of money with and without the enforcement savings. *Complex System Research Centre*.

Challoumis, C. (2022a). Conditions of the CM (Cycle of Money). In *Social and Economic Studies within the Framework of Emerging Global Developments, Volume -1, V. Kaya* (pp. 13-24). https://doi.org/10.3726/b19907

Challoumis, C. (2022b). Impact factor of the rest rewarding taxes. In *Complex System Research Centre*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3154753

Challoumis, C. (2022a). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Moldova. *Eastern European Journal of Regional Economics*, *8*(1), 77-89.

Challoumis, C. (2022b). Index of the cycle of money – the case of Poland. *Research Papers in Economics and Finance*, *6*(1), 72-86. https://journals.ue.poznan.pl/REF/article/view/126/83

Challoumis, C. (2022e). Structure of the economy. *Actual Problems of Economics*, *247*(1).

Challoumis, C. (2023a). A comparison of the velocities of minimum escaped savings and financial liquidity. In *Social and Economic Studies within the Framework of Emerging Global Developments, Volume 4, V. Kaya* (pp. 41-56). https://doi.org/10.3726/b21202

Challoumis, C. (2023b). Capital and risk in the tax system. In *Complex System Research Centre* (pp. 241-244).

Challoumis, C. (2023c). Chain of the cycle of money with and without maximum and minimum mixed savings. *European Multidisciplinary Journal of Modern Science*, *23*(2023), 1-16.

Challoumis, C. (2023d). Chain of the cycle of money with and without maximum mixed savings (three-dimensional approach). *Academic Journal of Digital Economics and Stability*, *34*(2023), 43-65.

Challoumis, C. (2023e). Chain of the cycle of money with and without minimum mixed savings (three-dimensional approach). *International Journal of Culture and Modernity*, *33*(2023), 22–33.

Challoumis, C. (2023f). Comparisons of the cycle of money based on enforcement and escaped savings. *Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT*, *3*(10), 19-28.

Challoumis, C. (2023g). Comparisons of the cycle of money with and without the mixed savings. *Economics & Law*. http://el.swu.bg/ikonomika/.

Challoumis, C. (2023h). Currency rate of the CM (Cycle of Money). *Research Papers in Economics and Finance*, *7*(1).

Challoumis, C. (2023i). Elements of the theory of cycle of money without enforcement savings. *International Journal of Finance and Business Management (IJFBM)Vol. 2No. 1, 2023*, *2*(1), 15-28. https://journal.multitechpublisher.com/index.php/ijfbm/article/view/1108/1202.

Challoumis, C. (2023j). From savings to escape and enforcement savings. *Cogito*, *XV*(4), 206-216.

Challoumis, C. (2023k). G7 – Global minimum corporate tax rate of 15%. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding (IJMMU)*, *10*(7).

Challoumis, C. (2023l). Impact factor of cost to the tax system. *Ekonomski Signali*, *18*(2), 12.

Challoumis, C. (2023m). Impact factor of liability of tax system according to the theory of cycle of money. In *Social and Economic Studies within the Framework of Emerging Global Developments Volume 3, V. Kaya* (Vol. 3, pp. 31-42). https://doi.org/10.3726/b20968

Challoumis, C. (2023n). Index of the cycle of money: The case of Costa Rica. *Sapienza*, *4*(3), 1-11. https://journals.sapienzaeditorial.com/index.php/SIJIS.

Challoumis, C. (2023o). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Canada. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Economics*, *11*(1), 102-133. http://scientifica.com/index.php/JEBE/article/view/203

Challoumis, C. (2023p). Index of the cycle of money – The case of England. *British Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, *26*(1), 68-77.

Challoumis, C. (2023q). Index of the cyclee of money – The case of Ukraine from 1992 to 2020. *Actual Problems of Economics*.

Challoumis, C. (2023r). Maximum mixed savings on the cycle of money. *Open Journal for Research in Economics*, *6*(1), 25-34.

Challoumis, C. (2023s). Minimum мixed savings on cycle of money. *Open Journal for Research in Economics*, *6*(2), 61-68. https://centerprode.com/ojre/ojre0602/ojre-0602.html

_____

Challoumis, C. (2023t). Multiple axiomatics method and the fuzzy logic. *MIDDLE EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC BULLETIN*, *37*(1), 63-68.

Challoumis, C. (2023u). Principles for the authorities on activities with controlled transactions. *Academic Journal of Digital Economics and Stability*, *30*(1), 136-152.

Challoumis, C. (2023v). The Cycle of Money (C.M.) considers financial liquidity with minimum mixed savings. *Open Journal for Research in Economics*, *6*(1), 1-12.

Challoumis, C. (2023w). The Cycle of Money with and without the maximum and minimum mixed savings. *Middle European Scientific Bulletin*, *41*(2023), 47-56.

Challoumis, C. (2023x). The cycle of money with and without the maximum mixed savings (two-dimensional approach). *International Journal of Culture and Modernity*, *33*(2023), 34-45.

Challoumis, C. (2023y). The Cycle of Money with and without the minimum mixed savings. *Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT*, *3*(10), 29-39.

Challoumis, C. (2023z). The cycle of money with mixed savings. *Open Journal for Research in Economics*, *6*(2), 41-50. https://doi.org/10.32591/coas.ojre.0602.01041c

Challoumis, C. (2023aa). The Theory of Cycle of Money – How do principles of the authorities on public policy, taxes, and controlled transactions affect the economy and society? *International Journal of Social Science Research and Review (IJSSRR)*, *6*(8).

Challoumis, C. (2023ab). The velocities of maximum escaped savings with than of financial liquidity to the case of mixed savings. *International Journal on Economics, Finance and Sustainable Development*, *5*(6), 124-133.

Challoumis, C. (2023ac). The velocity of escaped savings and maximum financial liquidity. *Journal of Digital Economics and Stability*, *34*(2023), 55-65.

Challoumis, C. (2023ad). The velocity of escaped savings and velocity of financial liquidity. *Middle European Scientific Bulletin*, *41*(2023), 57-66.

Challoumis, C. (2023ae). Utility of cycle of money with and without the enforcement savings. *GOSPODARKA INNOWACJE*, *36*(1), 269-277.

Challoumis, C. (2023af). Utility of Cycle of Money with and without the escaping savings. *International Journal of Business Diplomacy and Economy*, *2*(6), 92-101.

Challoumis, C. (2023ag). Utility of Cycle of Money without the escaping savings (protection of the economy). In *Social and Economic Studies within the Framework of Emerging Global Developments Volume 2, V. Kaya* (pp. 53-64). https://doi.org/10.3726/b20509

Challoumis, C. (2023ah). Velocity of escaped savings and minimum financial liquidity according to the theory of cycle of money. *European Multidisciplinary Journal of Modern Science*, *23*(2023), 17-25.

Challoumis, C. (2024a). Approach on arm's length principle and fix length principle mathematical representations. In *Innovations and Contemporary Trends in Business & Economics*.

Challoumis, C. (2024b). Estimations of the cycle of money without escape savings. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, *11*(3).

Challoumis, C. (2024c). Impact factors of global tax revenue – Theory of Cycle of Money. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, *11*(1).

Challoumis, C. (2024d). Index of the cycle of money – the case of Switzerland. *The Index of the Cycle of Money: The Case of Switzerland. J. Risk Financial Manag.* *17*(4), 1-24. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17040135

Challoumis, C. (2024e). Minimum escaped savings and financial liquidity in mathematical representation. *Ekonomski Signali*, *19*(1).

Challoumis, C. (2024f). Rewarding taxes on the cycle of money. In *Social and Economic Studies within the*

Framework of Emerging Global Developments (Vol. 5).

Challoumis, C. (2024g). Rewarding taxes on the economy (The theory of cycle of money). *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding (IJMMU)*, *11*(3).

Challoumis, C. (2024h). Synopsis of principles for the authorities and controlled transactions. *Pindus*.

Challoumis, C. (2024i). Synopsis of principles for the authorities and controlled transactions. *SEPIKE*.

Challoumis, C. (2024j). The cycle of money – Escape savings and the minimum financial liquidity. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding (IJMMU)*, *11*(4).

Challoumis, C. (2024k). The cycle of money – Minimum escape savings and financial liquidity. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding (IJMMU)*, *11*(5).

Challoumis, C. (2024l). The impact factor of Tangibles and Intangibles of controlled transactions on economic performance. *Economic Alternatives*.

Challoumis, C. (2024m). The inflation according to the cycle of money (C.M.). *Economic Alternatives*.

Challoumis, C. (2024n). Velocity of the escaped savings and financial liquidity on maximum mixed savings. *Open Journal for Research in Economics*, *7*(1).

Challoumis, C. (2024o). Velocity of the escaped savings and financial liquidity on minimum mixed savings. *Open Journal for Research in Economics*, *7*(2).

Challoumis, C. (2024p). Velocity of the escaped savings and financial liquidity on mixed savings. *Open Journal for Research in Economics*, *7*(2).

Challoumis, C., & Savic, M. (2024). Rational and Behavioral economics. *Ekonomski Signali*, *19*(1).

Chubarova, T., Maly, I., & Nemec, J. (2020). Public policy responses to the spread of COVID-19 as a potential factor determining health results: A comparative study of the Czech Republic, the Russian Federation, and the Slovak Republic. *Central European Journal of Public Policy*, *14*(2). https://doi.org/10.2478/cejpp-2020-0008

Corti, I. N., Roldán, C. D., & Benito, S. M. R. (2020). Fiscal pressure and fraud, predisposition to pay taxes and personal satisfaction in Spain. *Revista Espanola de Investigaciones Sociologicas*, *172*. https://doi.org/10.5477/cis/reis.172.101

de A. Dantas, G., de Castro, N. J., Dias, L., Antunes, C. H., Vardiero, P., Brandão, R., Rosental, R., & Zamboni, L. (2018). Public policies for smart grids in Brazil. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *92*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.077

de Vasconcelos, F. de A. G., Machado, M. L., de Medeiros, M. A. T., Neves, J. A., Recine, E., & Pasquim, E. M. (2019). Public policies of food and nutrition in Brazil: From Lula to Temer. *Revista de Nutricao*, *32*. https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-9865201932e180161

Diallo, S. Y., Shults, F. L. R., & Wildman, W. J. (2021). Minding morality: ethical artificial societies for public policy modeling. *AI and Society*, *36*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01028-5

Díaz, M. L. A., Ordoñez, F. A. R., & Muñoz, R. A. T. (2020). Open innovation and public policies in developing countries. *International Journal for Quality Research*, *14*(3). https://doi.org/10.24874/IJQR14.03-09

Fan, Y., Yang, S., & Jia, P. (2020). Preferential Tax Policies: An Invisible Hand behind Preparedness for Public Health Emergencies. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*. https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.2020.139

Farah, M. F. S. (2011). Public policy and public administration. *Revista de Administracao Publica*, *45*(3). https://doi.org/10.1590/S0034-76122011000300011

Fernandez, M. A., & Raine, K. D. (2019). Insights on the Influence of Sugar Taxes on Obesity Prevention Efforts. In *Current Nutrition Reports* (Vol. 8, Issue 4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13668-019-00282-4

Fronzaglia, M. L., de Moura Júnior, Á. A., Racy, J. C., & Vartanian, P. R. (2019). Possible effects of economic

_____

public policies implemented in Brazil after the financial crisis of 2008 on foreign direct investment. *Theoretical Economics Letters*, *09*(08). https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2019.98176

Ginsburgh, V., & Weber, S. (2020). The economics of language. *Journal of Economic Literature*, *58*(2). https://doi.org/10.1257/JEL.20191316

Gocekli, S. G. B., & Comertler, N. (2021). On "The Human" and behavioral economics. *Contemporary Issues with Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Social Science*, 37-47.

Goldsztejn, U., Schwartzman, D., & Nehorai, A. (2020a). Public policy and economic dynamics of COVID-19 spread: A mathematical modeling study. *PLoS ONE*, *15*(12 December). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244174

Goldsztejn, U., Schwartzman, D., & Nehorai, D. A. (2020b). Public policy and economic dynamics of COVID-19 spread: A mathematical modeling study. In *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.20062802

Grabs, J., Auld, G., & Cashore, B. (2020). Private regulation, public policy, and the perils of adverse ontological selection. *Regulation and Governance*. https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12354

Hai, D. . (2016). Process of Public Policy Formulation in Developing Countries. *Public Policy*, *C*.

Hartz, S., & John, J. (2009). Public health policy decisions on medical innovations: What role can early economic evaluation play? *Health Policy*, *89*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2008.05.011

Hasselman, L., & Stoker, G. (2017). Market-based governance and water management: the limits to economic rationalism in public policy. *Policy Studies*, *38*(5). https://doi.org/10.1080/01442872.2017.1360437

Herrington, C. M. (2015). Public education financing, earnings inequality, and intergenerational mobility. *Review of Economic Dynamics*, *18*(4). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.red.2015.07.006

Hyeon Sik Seo, & YoungJun Kim. (2020). Intangible assets investment and firms' performance: evidence from small and medium-sized enterprises in Korea. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, *21*(2), 421-445.

Islam, A., Rashid, M. H. U., Hossain, S. Z., & Hashmi, R. (2020). Public policies and tax evasion: Evidence from SAARC countries. *Heliyon*, *6*(11). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05449

Jia, M., Liu, Y., Lieske, S. N., & Chen, T. (2020). Public policy change and its impact on urban expansion: An evaluation of 265 cities in China. *Land Use Policy*, *97*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104754

Kananen, J. (2012). International ideas versus national traditions: Nordic economic and public policy as proposed by the OECD. *Journal of Political Power*, *5*(3). https://doi.org/10.1080/2158379X.2012.735118

Kanthak, L., & Spies, D. C. (2018). Public support for European Union economic policies. *European Union Politics*, *19*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/1465116517740638

Kartini, D. S., Mulyawan, R., & Muradi, M. (2019). Public Policy Pragmatism on Special Economic Zone in Tanjung Lesung, Pandeglang Regency. *MIMBAR : Jurnal Sosial Dan Pembangunan*, *35*(1). https://doi.org/10.29313/mimbar.v35i1.4283

Khadzhyradieva, S., Hrechko, T., & Smalskys, V. (2019). Institutionalisation of behavioural insights in public policy. In *Public Policy and Administration* (Vol. 18, Issue 3). https://doi.org/10.5755/J01.PPAA.18.3.24726

Korenik, D., & Wegrzyn, M. (2020). Public policy timing in a sustainable approach to shaping public policy. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *12*(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072677

Kreft, S. F., & Sobel, R. S. (2005). Public policy, entrepreneurship, and economic freedom. In *Cato Journal* (Vol. 25, Issue 3).

Kroth, D. C., Geremia, D. S., & Mussio, B. R. (2020). National school feeding program: A healthy public

policy. *Ciencia e Saude Coletiva*, *25*(10). https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-812320202510.31762018

Ladvocat, M., & Lucas, V. (2019). REGIONAL DISPARITIES, PUBLIC POLICIES AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN BRAZIL. *Revista Baru – Revista Brasileira de Assuntos Regionais e Urbanos*, *5*(2). https://doi.org/10.18224/baru.v5i2.7687

Lajas, R., & Macário, R. (2020). Public policy framework supporting "mobility-as-a-service" implementation. *Research in Transportation Economics*, *83*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2020.100905

Leckel, A., Veilleux, S., & Dana, L. P. (2020). Local Open Innovation: A means for public policy to increase collaboration for innovation in SMEs. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *153*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119891

Levi, S. (2021). Why hate carbon taxes? Machine learning evidence on the roles of personal responsibility, trust, revenue recycling, and other factors across 23 European countries. *Energy Research and Social Science*, *73*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101883

Liu, N., Liu, R., Huang, J., & Chen, L. (2018). Pollution, happiness and willingness to pay taxes: The value effect of public environmental policies. *Problemy Ekorozwoju*, *13*(1).

Loayza, N., & Pennings, S. M. (2020). Macroeconomic policy in the time of COVID-19 : A primer for developing countries. *World Bank Research and Policy Briefs*, 147291.

Maestre-Andrés, S., Drews, S., & van den Bergh, J. (2019). Perceived fairness and public acceptability of carbon pricing: A review of the literature. *Climate Policy*, *19*(9). https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2019.1639490

Marques, E. C. L. (2019). Notes on networks, the state, and public policies. *Cadernos de Saude Publica*, *35*. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311x00002318

Martinez, M. C. V., & Rodríguez, M. C. M. (2020). Public policies of electronic governance and corruption in Mexico. *Public Policy and Administration*, *19*(3). https://doi.org/10.5755/J01.PPAA.19.3.27769

Marume, S. B. M. (2016). Public Policy and Factors Influencing Public Policy. *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention*, *5*(6).

Montenegro Martínez, G., Carmona Montoya, A., & Franco Giraldo, Á. (2020). Models for public health policy analysis reported in scientific publications. In *Gaceta Sanitaria*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2019.11.007

Nash, V., Bright, J., Margetts, H., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2017). Public Policy in the Platform Society. In *Policy and Internet* (Vol. 9, Issue 4). https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.165

Nayak, B. S. (2019). Reconceptualising Public Private Partnerships (PPPs) in global public policy. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, *15*(3). https://doi.org/10.1108/WJEMSD-04-2018-0041

Nielsen, T. D., Holmberg, K., & Stripple, J. (2019). Need a bag? A review of public policies on plastic carrier bags – Where, how and to what effect? *Waste Management*, *87*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.02.025

Noland, M. (2020). Protectionism under Trump: The China shock, deplorables, and the first white president. In *Asian Economic Policy Review* (Vol. 15, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1111/aepr.12274

OECD (2017). OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Tax Administrations 2017. *OECD Publications*.

OECD (2020). OECD Economic Surveys: Thailand 2020. In *OECD*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1787/ad2e50fa-en

Ortun, V., Lopez-Valcarcel, B. G., & Pinilla, J. (2017). Tax on sugar sweetened beverages in Spain. *SSRN*

_____

Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3004464

Paes-Sousa, R., De Andrade Schramm, J. M., & Pereira Mendes, L. V. (2019). Fiscal Austerity and the health sector: The cost of adjustments. *Ciencia e Saude Coletiva*, *24*(12). https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-812320182412.23232019

Peres, M. F. P., Oliveira, A. B., Sarmento, E. M., Rocha-Filho, P. S., Peixoto, P. M., Kowacs, F., Goulart, A. C., & Benseñor, I. M. (2020). Public policies in headache disorders: Needs and possibilities. *Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria*, *78*(1). https://doi.org/10.1590/0004-282X20190144

Persson, E., & Tinghög, G. (2020). Opportunity cost neglect in public policy. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, *170*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2019.12.012

Ruiz, J. C., Jurado, E. B., Moral, A. M., Uclés, D. F., & Viruel, M. J. M. (2017). Measuring the social and economic impact of public policies on entrepreneurship in Andalusia. *CIRIEC-Espana Revista de Economia Publica, Social y Cooperativa*, *1*(90).

Rumayya, Rammohan, A., Purwono, R., & Harymawan, I. (2020). The local economy and Re-election of incumbent district leaders in Indonesia. *Heliyon*, *6*(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04098

Scholvin, S., & Malamud, A. (2020). Is Brazil a geoeconomic node? Geography, public policy, and the failure of economic integration in South America. *Brazilian Political Science Review*, *14*(2). https://doi.org/10.1590/1981-3821202000020004

Silva, S. E., Venâncio, A., Silva, J. R., & Gonçalves, C. A. (2020). Open innovation in science parks: The role of public policies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *151*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119844

Soboleva I.V. (2019). Instrumental support for the implementation of the state antimonopoly policy. *Actual Problems of Economics*, *12*(222).

Syukur, M. (2020). Insentif Pajak terhadap Sumbangan Covid-19 dari Perspektif Relasi Hukum Pajak Indonesia dengan Hak Asasi Manusia. *Jurnal Suara Hukum*, *2*(2). https://doi.org/10.26740/jsh.v2n2.p184-214

Taub, R. (2015). New Deal ruins: Race, economic justice, and public housing policy. *Contemporary Sociology: A Journal of Reviews*, *44*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/0094306115588487x

Torres, S. H. Á., & Riaño-Casallas, M. I. (2018). Public policy for safety and health at the worksite: The Colombian case. In *Revista Gerencia y Politicas de Salud* (Vol. 17, Issue 35). https://doi.org/10.11144/Javeriana.rgps17-35.ppss

Tummers, L. (2019). Public Policy and Behavior Change. *Public Administration Review*, *79*(6). https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13109

TUTER, C. (2020). PERSEPSI MASYARAKAT TERHADAP ISU PAJAK LINGKUNGAN DI KABUPATEN KEPULAUAN SIAU TAGULANDANG BIARO (SITARO). *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Airlangga*, *30*(1). https://doi.org/10.20473/jeba.v30i12020.1-13

Tvaronavičienė, M., Tarkhanova, E., & Durglishvili, N. (2018). Sustainable economic growth and innovative development of educational systems. *Journal of International Studies*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2018/11-1/19

Ud Din, M., Mangla, I. U., & Jamil, M. (2016). Public policy, innovation and economic growth: An economic and technological perspective on Pakistan's Telecom Industry. *THE LAHORE JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS*, *21*(Special Edition). https://doi.org/10.35536/lje.2016.v21.isp.a16

Urwannachotima, N., Hanvoravongchai, P., Ansah, J. P., Prasertsom, P., & Koh, V. R. Y. (2020). Impact of sugar-sweetened beverage tax on dental caries: A simulation analysis. *BMC Oral Health*, *20*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-020-1061-5

Ustinovich, E., & Kulikov, M. (2020). National projects, socio-economic policy and public equilibrium. *Social'naja Politika i Social'noe Partnerstvo (Social Policy and Social Partnership)*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.33920/pol-01-2006-01

Watanabe, C., Naveed, K., Tou, Y., & Neittaanmäki, P. (2018). Measuring GDP in the digital economy: Increasing dependence on uncaptured GDP. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *137*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.07.053

Woody, W. D., & Viney, W. (2017). A history of psychology: The emergence of science and applications, Sixth Edition. *A History of Psychology: The Emergence of Science and Applications, Sixth Edition*, 1–599. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315544403/HISTORY-PSYCHOLOGY-WAYNE-VINEY-WILLIAM-DOUGLAS-WOODY

Wright, A., Smith, K. E., & Hellowell, M. (2017). Policy lessons from health taxes: A systematic review of empirical studies. In *BMC Public Health* (Vol. 17, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4497-z

Παπακωνσταντίνου, Α., Καναββάς, Λ., & Ντόκας, Ι. (2013). Οικονομία & μικρές επιχειρήσεις [Economy & small business]. In *Ινστιτούτο μικρών επιχειρήσεων*.

Appendix

```
% Sensitivity Plot of Cycle of money (C)(R)2024 Constantinos Challoumis
m=0:0.05:1;
k=0.4*m;
l=0.7*m;
j=0.6*m;
r=0.5*m;
c=0.3*m;
t=0.8*m;
w=r+c+t+j;
s1=k+l/w*m;
s2=k/w*m;%the multiplication is made to avoid constant by the division
s3=l/w*m;
i=1;

plot(m,s1,'green',m,s2,'blue',m,s3,'red')
grid on
title('Sensitivity method')
xlabel('m')
ylabel('s1, s2, s3')

while (s1(i)>s1(i+1))
   i=i+1;
end

m(i)
s1(i)
```

Effect of Capital Expenditure on Economic Growth in Nepal

Abin Gurung & Vinod Kumar Saini

Singhania University, School of Economics and Management, Rajasthan, INDIA

Kamal Dhakal & Vikash Kumar K. C.

Tribhuvan University, School of Economics, Pokhara, NEPAL

Abstract

The government of Nepal has allocated substantial funds to key Ministries with the aim of promoting economic development, primarily focusing on education, health, agriculture, and transportation sectors. Despite these increased expenditures, there is ongoing debate regarding the actual effect of government spending on economic growth. This research critically analyzes the effects of major government capital expenditure on economic growth in Nepal, specifically examining public spending in education, health, agriculture, and transportation. The study objectives include assessing how public capital expenditure in these sectors influences economic growth in Nepal. Using a causal relationship approach, the study utilizes secondary data obtained from the Ministry of Finance and Central Bureau of Statistics covering the period from fiscal year 1990/91 to 2021/22. The research formulated four hypotheses, including one suggesting that increased expenditure does not lead to higher Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP). Methodologically, the study employs the Johansen cointegration test and Error Correction Method (ECM) to analyze the relationships among variables. Prior to analysis, the data underwent stationarity tests and necessary preprocessing for accuracy. The findings, based on short-run and long-run relationships identified through three cointegrating equations, indicate that expenditure on health, agriculture, and transportation significantly and positively correlates with RGDP at the 5% significance level. However, expenditures on education were found to be statistically insignificant in their effect on RGDP. Furthermore, the study reveals a positive and significant relationship between expenditure on agriculture and education. As a result, the study recommends that the government consider increasing allocations to health, agriculture, and transportation sectors to foster economic growth effectively. In conclusion, the research underscores the importance of targeted public capital expenditure in specific sectors to achieve sustainable economic growth in Nepal, highlighting nuances in the effect of government spending across different domains.

Keywords: education, health, agriculture, transportation, economic growth (RGDP).

1. Introduction

Every financial system strives to maintain employment, stable expenses, and rapid profits, even when ensuring a balanced role, encouraging commercial enterprise freedom, assembling societal desires, promoting equitable wealth distribution, supporting new ventures, and prioritizing critical sectors.Governments throughout the world are boosting expenditures to achieve those objectives, investing in energy, healthcare, education, and business growth to strengthen the economy (Ogar et al., 2019). Investing in capital is critical to the long-term growth of a country's

economy. However, managing and appropriately allocating capital prices every fiscal 12 months to achieve financial growth is difficult. As a rule of thumb, government spending — especially on capital projects — counts for the stability of the financial system. This approach aligns with the Keynesian economic theory, which holds that extra government expenditure increases demand normally and for this reason propels economic growth. Post-Keynesian economists contend, that more government expenditure, specifically on capital projects, can exacerbate monetary instability and in the end reason recessions. Wagner's rule of growing expenditure, however, contends that government spending outcomes from economic improvement as opposed to causes it. Government spending legal guidelines are critical for stimulating a financial boom due to the fact they hold stability between the country's sales and expenses (Al-Sharif & Bino, 2019). Policymakers have long debated whether government growth fosters or impedes economic advancement. Proponents of stronger government regard government projects, such as education and infrastructure, as critical "public goods." They also claim that higher government spending may boost economic growth by putting money into people's pockets. Proponents of smaller government argue that it is overly large and that increased expenditure hinders economic progress by diverting resources from the productive sector to the government, which spends them inefficiently (Modebe et al., 2012). Government expenditure and economic growth are two critical issues that have been central to studies in public finance. Their significance is linked to the important role of government spending in regulating the economy (Musa et al., 2020). To alleviate poverty, the government aims to promote economic development through capital expenditure, focusing on infrastructure projects like electricity, roads, railways, and educational and healthcare facilities. This strategy aims to enhance economic growth nationwide. Scholars debate the effect of government expenditure on economic growth: proponents suggest that investing in infrastructure, health, and education can boost productivity and output, while neoclassical theorists argue that increased capital spending could hinder economic performance by necessitating tax hikes or borrowing, potentially slowing aggregate economic activity (Amarchi & Chizoba, 2024). Effective public expenditure plays a crucial role in the sustainable economic and social development of the country. It can be divided into capital and recurrent expenditures of the nation. The overall economy of a nation depends on the capital and recurrent expenditures of the government in that country. From this, the amount of expenditure mentioned in the budget is invested in capital construction, and how much money can be found in the function of such capital? Overall national capital formation increases from spending on capital expenditures and increases in recurrent expenditures. In this regard, the sixteenth three-year plan for the nation has been ready for implementation by preparing the goal of raising the country to the level of a middle-income country by 2030 with the aim of the nation's sustainable development. Capital expenditure fell short of the government's aim, while recurring expenditure rises year after year; thus, development initiatives appear to have a direct effect. However, the Nepalese government's approved capital expenditure has not been spent properly. Different unreported statistics revealed that one-third of the overall authorized capital expenditure is not spent at the conclusion of each fiscal year. Effective capital expenditure helps the government, private sector, or society increase investment through sustainable development and will support maintaining high economic growth. Government capital expenditures need to be increased due to the overall development and prosperity of the nation, which helps reduce foreign aid and the circulation of internal resources. Especially the sixteenth periodic plan, which is focused on the capacity of taxation, the importance of capital expenditure, receiving foreign aid, and its effective utilization.

Nepal's capital expenditure status highlights various key trends and challenges. Over the past eleven months of the current fiscal year 2023/24, only 44.3% of the allocated capital expenditure budget has been utilized. Out of the total NPR 1,751 billion allocated for government expenditure, NPR 302 billion was designated for capital expenditure and NPR 307 billion for financing expenditure. Historically, actual spending in Nepal has often fallen short of expectations, primarily due to issues such as bureaucratic delays, insufficient project readiness,

_____

inefficient procurement processes, and frequent political interference. Over the past decade, only about 71% of the budgeted allocations have been disbursed, indicating inefficiencies in project implementation and budget execution. Recent efforts to enhance capital expenditure include reforms in the project approval process, improved planning and monitoring mechanisms, and amendments to the Public Procurement Act to streamline procedures. These initiatives aim to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of capital spending, which is crucial for addressing significant infrastructure deficits in sectors like energy, transport, and water supply.

Chart 1. Trend line of capital expenditure variables and Real Gross Domestic Product

Figure 1. Conceptual frameworks of capital expenditure and economic growth

Independent Variables   **Dependent Variables**

The figure 1 shows that the capital expenditure variables influence the economic growth. The RGDP growth in Nepal is influenced by various factors, particularly public spending components. The interaction among the primary determinants of economic growth, namely public capital spending on education, health, agriculture, and transportation, is depicted above.

1.1 *Research questions*

1. What is the nature and trend of capital expenditure and economic growth in Nepal?

2. Is there effect of capital educational expenditure on economic growth in Nepal?

3. Is there effect of capital health expenditure on economic growth in Nepal?

4. Is there effect of capital agriculture expenditure on economic growth in Nepal?

5. Is there effect of capital transportation expenditure on economic growth in Nepal?

1.2 *Objectives*

1. To assess the nature and trend of capital expenditure and economic growth in Nepal.

2. To examine the effect of capital educational expenditure on economic growth in Nepal.

3. To analyze the effect of capital health expenditure on economic growth in Nepal.

4. To reveal that the capital agriculture expenditure on economic growth in Nepal.

5. To examine the effect of capital transportation expenditure on economic growth in Nepal.

1.3 *Hypothesis*

1. H1: Capital expenditure on education has a significantly positive effect on RGDP growth.

2. H1: Capital expenditure on health has a significant positive effect on RGDP growth.

3. H1: Capital expenditure on agriculture has a significant positive effect on RGDP growth.

4. H1: Capital expenditure on transportation has a significant positive effect on RGDP growth.

2. Literature review and theoretical foundation

2.1 *Theoretical review*

Classical economists express the view that interference of governments in the economy does more harm than good, hence they give their prescription that most of the economic activities should be left to the private sector whose summary was given by Adam Smith in his *Wealth of Nations* in 1776. In Smith's one of magnum opus, he propagated a system of economic laissez-faire that takes the profit motive as its engine. Closely related to this is the fact that classical economists such as Ricardo and Smith held high government spending as a recipe for low

_____

economic development. According to classical economic theory, government expenditure is not a big driving force and minimal interference from government side is needed in a market economy. The principle behind this dichotomy is based on the idea that any change in money supply promptly affects all prices denominated in money without changing levels of real variables such as GDP and employment; this condition is also known as monetary neutrality. Classical economists were followers of free markets "invisible hand" trust and support minimum state intervention since they believed too much governance will slow down economic development (Thapa, 2018). The main proponents of endogenous growth theory are Paul Romer and Robert Lucas (1990). This theory emphasizes that for productivity to increase, the labor force must be continuously supplied with more resources, which include physical capital, human capital, and knowledge capital (technology). Thus, growth is driven by the accumulation of these factors of production, which in turn results from private sector investment. Consequently, the only way a government can influence long-term economic growth is through its effect on investment in capital, education, and research and development. This approach underscores that improved education, as well as any training or research that enhances human knowledge, is essential for achieving economic growth (Maingi, 2017). Peacock and Wiseman's study stands out as one of the most famous time-series studies in public finance. Their approach was based on the political theory of public decision-making, that governments tend to increase spending when citizens do not want higher taxes, and stressed the need for governments to comply with the wishes of their citizens. Taxes were viewed as a constraint on government spending, where economic growth would generally increase tax revenues on a stronger tax base, causing public spending to increase slowly, but that way this increase may deviate from what individuals perceive to be a better level of public spending than internal tax financing. This progressive increase in public debt will be canceled in times of major social upheaval, such as war, famine, or major disaster. These times created the need for substantial increases in public spending and led governments to raise taxes, which, although reluctant, were seen as socially acceptable in times of crisis — a thing that Peacock and Wiseman called the "displacement effect." They note that war financing often involves borrowing beyond the taxable capacity of states as well as subsequent debt payments. Furthermore, they provided the "unequal effects" of increased social awareness of social issues during times of violence, prompting governments to expand their roles to address these concerns because public tolerance of taxes did not return to pre-crisis levels (Taiwo & Taiwo, 2011). The German economist Ernst Engel, writing at the same time as Adolf Wagner in the 19th century, proved that consumer spending patterns change as family income rises. Over a century ago, it was noted that as family income increases, a smaller portion is allocated toward certain needs, such as work clothes. Most of the shares are allocated to other products, such as jewelry. Increases in average income can lead to small changes in the consumption of economic resources. Infrastructure investments such as roads, ports, electricity installations, and water supply infrastructure are critical in the early stages of national development, but as the economy progresses, public sector subsidies are predicted to decline because capital formation will decline over time. Engel's findings, commonly referred to as the declining share of food waste, compare private spending patterns with national spending patterns (Taiwo & Taiwo, 2011). Peacock and Wiseman were the first to propose this hypothesis in their study of expenditure in the public sector in the UK. They put forward that short-run social shocks combined with lack of funding are the main causes of an increase in public expenditures which then must be financed by higher taxes - this phenomenon is known as a displacement effect. The contrast between inadequate revenue and social productive spending for government activities brings about what can be called the inspection effect. People's adaptation to higher tax imposition levels for necessary public spending is named tax tolerance. Growing public expenditure is backed by various macro factors like population growth, urbanization, administrative requirements and welfare roles among others as well as meso factors like price inflation which increases the cost of providing public services/agencies (Okere et al., 2010). Sharma and Bista (2072) identified several drivers behind the increasing government expenditure in developing countries. These include expanding state

_____

activities, promoting economic development, enhancing social security, nationalizing industries, investing in agriculture, managing inflation, bolstering defense spending, facilitating urbanization, evolving public perceptions of government responsibilities, supporting economic growth, addressing population growth, managing inflationary pressures, improving living standards, and managing the costs associated with democratic governance. These factors collectively contribute to the upward trend in government spending in these nations. Keynes (1936) introduced the government spending to create jobs and utilize underemployed capital during recessions with high unemployment. His theory suggests that government spending is necessary to boost economic output and promote growth.

2.2 *Empirical review*

Landau (1983) study explored the relationship between total investment in education and economic growth. Regression results revealed three key findings: Firstly, higher government expenditure coupled with low investment in education was associated with slower growth in low-income countries. Secondly, two other factors exhibited stronger correlations with government expenditure and investment in education than with low income itself. Cooray (2009) investigated the government's role in economic growth by expanding the neoclassical production function to include two dimensions of government: size and quality. The model incorporated government expenditure as a measure of size and governance as a measure of quality, and it was tested across a cross-section of 71 economies. Estimations were also conducted based on income distribution within the sample. Empirical findings indicated that both the size and quality of government play significant roles in economic growth. The study concluded that enhancing governance capacity is crucial for improving growth performance in the examined countries, emphasizing the importance of investing in governance to foster economic development.

Ebong et al. (2016) explained the effect of government capital expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria between 1970 and 2012. A multiple regression model was utilized to demonstrate the relationships among capital expenditures on agriculture, education, health, economic infrastructure, and economic growth. The study concluded that both the short-run and long-run effects of capital expenditures had differential effects on economic growth. One of the variables, agriculture, didn't exert any significant effect on growth in either the short run or the long run. Similarly, capital expenditure on education had a positive relationship with economic growth, while capital expenditure on health had a negative relationship. Furthermore, capital expenditure on human capital development through the social service sector tended to boost economic growth, unlike that of agriculture. Capital spending on agriculture must be increased to enhance the quality and long-term growth of Nigeria's agricultural industry. The agriculture industry offers several opportunities and makes important contributions to the entire economy. As it has been mentioned earlier, despite having a positive direction, the coefficient of government capital expenditure was statistically insignificant to explain the growth of the Nigerian economy. Further, from the analysis there, it was established that the government fiscal deficit was not a significant growth inhibitor for the Nigerian economy (Ogar et al., 2019). Ahuja and Pandit (2020) examined the relationship between public expenditure and economic growth using a more extensive panel data set covering 59 countries from 1990 to 2019. Our empirical results confirmed the unidirectional causality between economic growth and government expenditure, where the causation ran from public spending to GDP growth. The results largely supported the Keynesian framework, which asserted the importance of government expenditure in stimulating economic growth. Furthermore, the analysis revealed that after considering all control variables, such as trade accessibility, investment, and inflation, public spending positively affected economic growth. Regarding control variables, it was found that investment had a significant and positive impact on economic growth. Evidence from the regression estimates further displayed that trade openness encouraged development in developing countries. However, population growth and

unemployment had a detrimental effect on economic growth. Waweru (2021) revealed how government capital expenditure contributes to economic growth in East African countries. The purpose of this study was to determine the influence of public capital investment on economic development in East African nations using panel data sets. The findings of the study show that capital spending has a strong beneficial influence on East African economic growth. It also proposed that in East African countries, increasing government spending on capital expenditures to accelerate economic growth would be desirable while dedicating less money to recurrent programs. Modebe et al. (2012) investigated the impact of recurring and capital expenditures on Nigeria's economic growth from 1987 to 2010. A three-variable multiple regression equation was applied, with recurring and capital expenditure as independent variables and GDP growth as the dependent variable. The study indicated that recurring government expenditure had a positive and insignificant influence on economic growth, whereas capital expenditure had a negative and insignificant impact on growth. Njoku et al. (2014) investigated the relationship between Nigeria's capital expenditure and the growth of its manufacturing sector from 1971 to 2012. The study used the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method to examine the relationship between capital expenditure and manufacturing Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Manufacturing GDP was treated as the dependent variable, while independent variables included exchange rate, interest rate, political stability (as a dummy variable), recurrent expenditure, money supply, index of energy consumption, credit to the private sector, degree of openness, and the rate of GDP growth. All variables used in the study were integrated of order one, except for political stability which was represented as a dummy variable. The results indicated a positive relationship between the rate of GDP growth, capital expenditure, money supply, economic openness, recurrent expenditure, and manufacturing output in Nigeria. In light of these findings, the paper recommended several measures. Firstly, it suggested increasing capital expenditure while reducing recurrent expenditure. Secondly, it emphasized the importance of managing government funds effectively to enhance the nation's production capacity and accelerate economic growth. Nkechukwu et al. (2013) analyzed a part and adding up the effects of separated capital expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria, this study adopted the cross-sectional data collected from 1981 to 2013 annually. It targeted capital expenditure in the social overhead in the areas of education, health, and agriculture and road construction in order to predict the level of economic growth. To confirm cause-effect relationship between these variables, Granger- causality tests were executed. This means that, in the long-run, economic growth depended on capital expenditure for education and for road construction. On the other hand, when it came to capital expenditure in agriculture and health, OLS revealed that economic growth had a long-run negative association. Finally, the results also revealed evidence of one-way causality from economic growth exciting capital expenditure on agriculture and road construction and from capital expenditure on education and health in to economic growth only. This put the adjusted r^2 at 33% which shows that a considerable proportion of the fluctuations in economic growth in Nigeria is still unaccountable by Capital expenditure. The debate over the relationship between government expenditure and economic growth has persisted for decades without clear consensus. This paper contributes further evidence on this relationship using the case of Malaysia. The study disaggregates government expenditure into operating and development expenditures, while also classifying expenditures by sector. The analysis employs the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) technique to examine the fixed effects of government expenditure on economic growth over the past 45 years, from 1970 to 2014. The findings indicate a negative correlation between government expenditure and economic growth in Malaysia during this period. Specifically, the classification of government expenditure reveals that only expenditures in the housing sector and development expenditure significantly contributed to lower economic (Hasnul, 2015). Usman and Agbede (2015) investigated the relationship between government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria using a co-integration and error correction model for the period 1970-2010. Time-series data sourced from the Central Bank of Nigeria was employed for the analysis. The results of the

_____

Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test indicated that all variables included in the model were non-stationary at their levels but integrated of order one, I (1). In the long run, the analysis revealed a positive and significant linear relationship between the two categories of government expenditure (capital and recurrent) and economic growth, measured by real GDP. However, in the short run, economic growth exhibited a positive and significant linear relationship with recurrent expenditure, while it showed a negative but significant relationship with capital expenditure. Further analysis using the Pairwise Granger Causality test within a Vector Error Correction Model indicated a unidirectional causality, where economic growth Granger-caused capital expenditure and recurrent expenditure Granger-caused economic growth. Additionally, bi-directional causality was observed between capital expenditure and recurrent expenditure. Based on these findings, the study recommended the importance of stimulating economic growth by allocating an appropriate proportion of government expenditure to capital expenditure in the national budget.

Significant relationship between government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria. Consequently, the study recommends implementing fiscal discipline in government expenditures and establishing structural mechanisms to monitor capital spending rigorously. These measures are proposed to enhance the nation's human and social capital, fostering sustainable economic growth (Oyediran et al., 2016).

To evaluate the relationship between public expenditure on education and human capital on economic growth in Honduras from 1990 to 2020, the instrumental variables (IV) method was used, incorporating components of public spending on education and human capital, along with a set of control variables. The time series data were extracted from the World Bank online databases. The results showed that there was no correlation between public expenditure on education and economic growth. They also suggested that human capital was not contributing to economic growth, indicating that human capital accumulation was not fully developing. Finally, the control variables considered key by the literature and essential for social and economic development were found to be hindering sustained economic growth. Thus, the government and the population faced enormous challenges to overcome (Juan, 2022).

Thapa (2018) examined over the study period, government capital expenditure in Nepal exhibited an increasing trend but with occasional decreases in fiscal years like 1986, 1990, 1992, and others. Short-term effects of government capital expenditure on economic growth were negative due to reduced private investment, but long-term effects were positive, especially when funding projects with higher social returns than private investments. Despite occasional declines, real GDP showed steady growth, interrupted by decreases in years like 1977 and 1998, attributed to social movements. There was a positive relationship between real government capital expenditure, gross fixed capital formation, and government revenue, whereas real gross national saving showed an insignificant or negative relationship with real GDP. The study also found no Granger causality between government capital expenditure and GDP growth. Okonkwo et al., (2023) the study concluded that public expenditure aims to provide public amenities and distribute resources among citizens. Government spending in Nigeria encompasses consumption, transfers, and interest payments, with capital and recurrent expenditure being predominant. These are further categorized into administration, social and community services, economic services, and transfers. Recurrent expenditure, unlike capital spending, does not create future assets or reduce government liabilities, covering pensions, debt interest, subsidies, and salaries. This study scientifically examines the impact of disaggregated government capital expenditure (administration, social and community services, economic services, transfers, and government deficit) on Nigeria's economic growth rate from 1981 to 2021, including an evaluation of post-pandemic expenditure in 2021. Secondary data from the CBN statistical bulletin, 2021, were analyzed using the autoregressive distributed lag model due to mixed order of integration among variables. The bounds test revealed a long-term relationship between the variables, while the error

correction model indicated a strong, positive association between administrative and economic services expenditure and Nigeria's economic growth rate.

3. Data and methodology of analysis

It is pertinent to use a descriptive design that focused on the government expenditure and economic growth of Nepal. To test the hypothesis an empirical econometric method was adopted fetching time series data from the just-released economic survey of the Ministry of Finance. Hypotheses were used to state the perceived causality between the announced research variables, and the Vector Error Correction Method was used to state the relation between them, as they were perceived to be in causation. The study has employed descriptive and analytical research designs. To examine the relationship between the variables of capital expenditure and economic growth, analytical research design is used. The study covers the 32 years' time period between the fiscal year 1990/91 to 2021/22 for the purpose of testing causality between various capital expenditure indicators and growth variables. This research used time series analysis based on census survey of 32 years period between 2010/11 to 2021/22.

3.1 *Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) Test*

There are several approaches for determining the unit root in time series data. This article uses the ADF test for the purpose. Because of its resilience and ability to eliminate autocorrelation from the model, the ADF is a better strategy for determining whether or not time series data sets are stable. The ADF Test may cause an issue with autocorrelation. Dickey Fuller devised the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test to address the problem of autocorrelation.

Dickey Fuller Models:

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \gamma Y_{y-1} + a_i + e_t \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots. (1)$$

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \beta_t + \gamma Y_{y-1} + a_i + e_t \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (2)$$

$$\Delta Y_t = \gamma Y_{y-1} + a_i + e_t \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots.\ldots\ldots\ldots (3)$$

First model equation (1) has intercept only, second model equation (2) have both trend and intercept and third model equation (3) has no trend and no intercept.

These entire three models come to same decision all the time whether our variable y has unit root or not. To check, we set the following hypothesis:

Null Hypothesis (*H0*): Variable y is not stationary or got unit root.

Alternative Hypothesis (*H1*): Variable Y is stationary or doesn't have unit root.

To make the variable stationary, we should go for first differencing.

The following equations (4) and (5) the series of interest is *Zt*. The symbol Δ indicates the first difference of the series *Zt*, t in equation (2) is a time trend, and j is the number of lagged variables that are used to ensure the error term e is white noise. The optimal number of lags can be determined by various ways, for the purpose of this paper it is found by using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) for the significance of the estimated coefficients of these lagged variables.

_____

$$\Delta Z_t = \alpha_1 + \pi_1 Z_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_{1i}\ \Delta Z_{t-i} + e_{1t} \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots (4)$$

$$\Delta Z_t = \alpha_2 + \pi_2 Z_{t-1} + \beta t + \sum_{i=1}^{j} c_{2i}\ \Delta Z_{t-i} + e_{2t} \ldots\ldots\ldots..\ldots\ldots\ldots (5)$$

Where, j is the number of lags. The ADF techniques tests the null hypothesis $\pi i = 0$, against the alternative hypothesis $\pi i < 0$. Rejection of the null hypothesis is an indication that the series Zt is stationary. In equation (1) the alternative hypothesis indicates the series is a mean-stationary and in equation (2) it indicates the series is a trend stationary (Thapa, 2018).

3.2 *Cointegration Test*

Once variables have been classified as integrated of order I(0), I(1), I(2), etc., it becomes possible to establish models that yield stationary relationships among these variables, facilitating standard inference. The essential criterion for achieving stationarity among nonstationary variables is referred to as cointegration. Testing for cointegration is a necessary step to empirically verify meaningful relationships in modeling. Cointegration is an econometric concept that suggests the presence of a stable long-term relationship among economic time series. When two or more series are individually nonstationary but a linear combination of them is stationary, they are considered cointegrated (Wei, 2006). If variables exhibit different trend processes, they cannot maintain a fixed long-run relationship with each other. Consequently, modeling the long-run becomes problematic, and conventional inferential methods based on standard distributions may not be valid. If cointegration is not found, it is advisable to continue working with variables in their differenced forms instead (Bo Sjo, 2008).

3.3 *The Johansen Test of Cointegration*

The Johansen test is considered the superior test for cointegration due to its desirable statistical properties. However, its weakness lies in its reliance on asymptotic properties, making it sensitive to specification errors in limited samples. Ultimately, some degree of judgment, combined with economic and statistical model building, is necessary.

The empirical VAR (Vector Autoregression) is formulated with lags and dummy variables to ensure that the residuals become a white noise process. A well-specified model is more demanding compared to an ARIMA model. We test for all components in the residual process because the critical values are determined based on a normal distribution of the residuals. Typically, we assume that the system is integrated of order one (I(1)). If there are indications of I(2) variables, we will transform them to I(1) before establishing the VAR. By using the difference operator $\Delta = 1 - L$, or $L = 1 - \Delta$, the VAR in levels can be transformed into a vector error correction model (VECM).

$$\Delta X_t = \beta_i \Delta X_{t-1} + \cdots + \beta_{k-1} \Delta X_{t-k-1} + \Pi X_{t-1} + \mu_0 + \varphi D_t + \varepsilon_t \ldots \ldots (6)$$

Where $\beta i \backslash beta\_i \beta i$ is and $\Pi \backslash Pi \Pi$ are matrices of variables. The lag length in the VAR is kkk lags on each variable. After transforming the model using L=1−ΔL = 1 - \DeltaL=1−Δ, we 'lose' one lag at the end, resulting in k−1k - 1k−1 lags in the VECM.

_____

$$\Delta X_t = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta i \Delta X_{t-i} + \cdots + \Pi X_{t-1} + \mu_0 + \varphi D_t + \varepsilon_t \ldots \ldots \qquad \ldots \ldots \ldots (7)$$

The number of cointegrating vectors corresponds to the number of stationary relationships in the Π-matrix. If there is no cointegration, all rows in Π must be zero. If there are stationary combinations or stationary variables in Π, then some parameters in the matrix will be non-zero. To address this, we can use a mathematical technique: the rank of the Π-matrix determines the number of independent rows in Π and, therefore, the number of cointegrating vectors. The rank of Π is given by the number of significant eigenvalues found in Π ^Π^. Each significant eigenvalue represents a stationary relationship. Under the null hypothesis of {x}t~I(d)\{x\}_t \sim I(d){x}t ~I(d), with d>1d > 1d>1, the test statistic for determining the significance of the eigenvalues is non-standard and must be simulated. Johansen originally derived two tests for this purpose: the Maximum Eigenvalue Test and the Trace Test.

3.3 *CUSUM Test*

The CUSUM test utilizes a plot of the cumulative sum of recursive residuals to detect structural breaks in data. When this cumulative sum exceeds a critical threshold, it indicates a significant change in the underlying structure at the point where the sum begins to deviate towards this threshold. The CUSUM test operates with the following formulas:

$$W_t = \sum_{j=k+1}^{t} \frac{w_t}{\hat{\sigma}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (10)$$

With

$$\partial^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=k+1}^{T}(W_t - \overline{W})^2}{T-k-1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (11)$$

And

$$\overline{W} = \frac{\sum_{j=k+1}^{T} W_t}{T-k} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (12)$$

Where k is the minimum sample size for which we can fit the model.

3.4 *CUSUMSQ Test*

The CUSUM-OF-SQUARES test, akin to the CUSUM test, tracks the cumulative sum of squared recursive residuals, normalized by the total sum of these squared residuals across all observations. The CUSUMSQ, as the second test statistic, utilizes cumulative sums of squared residuals to detect structural changes in the data.

$$S_t = \frac{\sum_{k+1}^{t} W_j^2}{\sum_{k+1}^{T} W_j^2} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (13)$$

With, $t = k + 1, \ldots, T$

The expected value of St is

$$E(S_t) = \frac{t-k}{T-k} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (14)$$

The CUSUM of Squares test evaluates departures from an expected value line, which typically trends towards zero at T=k. It assesses the significance of these departures by comparing them to parallel lines drawn above and below the expected value line at a distance cs. This critical distance cs depends on the sample size T−k and the chosen significance level α\alpha. The CUSUM of Squares test generates a plot of Vt, with a pair of 5 percent critical lines. Similar to the CUSUM

_____

test, if the plot moves outside these critical lines, it indicates instability in the parameter or variance being tested.

3.5 *Granger Causality Test*

Granger causality is a statistical concept that defines causality based on the ability to predict future values. If a variable X1 "Granger-causes" another variable X2, it means that past values of X1 provide information that improves the prediction of X2, beyond the information already contained in the past values of X2 alone. This concept was introduced by Clive Granger in 1969 and is mathematically formulated using linear regression models for stochastic processes. Granger causality is typically tested within the framework of linear regression models. For example, consider a bivariate linear autoregressive model involving two variables, X1 and X2:

$$X1,t=a0+\sum i=1paiX1,t-i+\sum j=1qbjX2,t-j+\epsilon1,t$$

$$X2,t=c0+\sum k=1pckX2,t-k+\sum l=1qdlX1,t-l+\epsilon2,t$$

In the context where k represents the maximum number of lagged observations included in the model, matrix AAA contains coefficients that represent the influence of each lagged observation on the predicted values of X1(t) and X2(t). The terms $\epsilon1$ and $\epsilon2$ denote residuals (prediction errors) for each respective time series. If the variance of $\epsilon1$ (or $\epsilon2$) decreases due to the inclusion of terms from X2 (or X1) in the first (or second) equation, it implies that X2 (or X1) Granger-causes X1 (or X2). Specifically, X2 Granger-causes X1 if the coefficients in A12 collectively differ significantly from zero. This hypothesis can be tested using an F-test where the null hypothesis is A12=0, assuming covariance stationary for X1 and X2. The strength of a Granger causality effect can be quantified by the natural logarithm of the corresponding F-statistic (Geweke, 1982). Additionally, model selection criteria such as the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC, (Schwartz, 1978)) or the Akaike Information Criterion.

3.6 *Normality Test*

According to the Jarque-Bera test, the Jarque-Bera statistic is less than the probability threshold, indicating that our data is not normally distributed. However, the Central Limit Theorem states that when the number of observations exceeds thirty, we can conclude that our data is approximately normally distributed.

3.7 *Homoscedasticity Test*

Homoscedasticity refers to the assumption in regression analysis that the variance of the residuals (errors) remains constant across all levels of the independent variables. This assumption is crucial because heteroscedasticity, the presence of non-constant variance, can lead to inefficient estimates and affect the validity of statistical tests, leading to misleading conclusions. To ensure the robustness of a regression model, it is essential to test for homoscedasticity before proceeding with multiple regression analysis. A common way to check for homoscedasticity is through visual inspection of residual plots. By plotting the residuals against the fitted values (predicted values), one can visually assess whether the variance of the residuals remains constant. In a plot where homoscedasticity is present, the residuals will scatter randomly around the horizontal axis with no apparent pattern. If the plot reveals a funnel shape (either widening or narrowing) or any systematic pattern, it suggests heteroscedasticity. While visual methods are helpful for an initial assessment, they are subjective and can be supplemented with formal statistical tests for a more rigorous evaluation.

_____

The Breusch-Pagan test is a widely used formal statistical test for detecting heteroscedasticity. It involves regressing the squared residuals from the original regression model on the independent variables. The test statistic, calculated as n×R2n \times R^2n×R2 (where nnn is the sample size and R2R^2R2 is the coefficient of determination from the auxiliary regression), follows a chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of independent variables. A significant test statistic (low p-value) indicates the presence of heteroscedasticity, suggesting that the variance of the residuals is not constant. White's test is another robust method for detecting heteroscedasticity. It is more general than the Breusch-Pagan test as it does not rely on the assumption that heteroscedasticity is a function of the independent variables. Instead, it involves regressing the squared residuals on the independent variables, their squares, and cross-products. Similar to the Breusch-Pagan test, the test statistic follows a chi-square distribution, and a significant result indicates heteroscedasticity. White's test is particularly useful because it can detect more complex forms of heteroscedasticity. Testing for homoscedasticity is a crucial step in regression analysis to ensure the reliability of the model's estimates and the validity of statistical inferences. Visual methods like residual plots provide an initial check, while formal statistical tests like the Breusch-Pagan and White's tests offer a more rigorous assessment. By identifying and addressing heteroscedasticity, researchers can improve the accuracy and efficiency of their regression models, leading to more credible and robust conclusions.

3.8 *Multicolinearity Test*

Multicollinearity is a statistical phenomenon observed when two or more predictor variables in a multiple regression model are highly correlated, providing redundant information about the response variable. This redundancy implies that one predictor variable can be accurately predicted from others with a significant degree of accuracy. In such cases, the coefficient estimates in the regression model may fluctuate unpredictably in response to minor changes in the model or data.

To detect multicollinearity, Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) and Klein Lawrence R's rule of thumb are commonly used. The VIF measures how much the variance of a regression coefficient is inflated due to multicollinearity. Higher VIF values indicate stronger multicollinearity. Klein Lawrence R's rule of thumb suggests that smaller tolerance values indicate greater multicollinearity. Tolerance is computed as 1−Ri21 - R_i^21−Ri2, where Ri2R_i^2Ri2 represents the R2R^2R2 value from regressing one predictor variable against all others.

In practice, researchers often conduct auxiliary regressions of each independent variable against the remaining explanatory variables to obtain R2R^2R2 values and compute tolerance to assess the degree of multicollinearity present in the regression model.

3.9 *Test of Parameters Stability*

To assess the stability of both the long-run parameters and short-run movements in the estimated equations, the research relies on cumulative sum of recursive residuals (CUSUM) and cumulative sum of squares of recursive residuals (CUSUMSQ) tests. These tests are applied to the residuals of the Error Correction Model (ECM). Here's how the hypothesis of stability testing is framed:

Hypothesis of Stability Testing

Null Hypothesis (*Ho*): Parameters are stable over time.

_____

This implies that there are no significant structural changes in the coefficients of the regression model, indicating stability in the long-run relationships and short-run dynamics captured by the model. Alternative Hypothesis ($H1$): Parameters are not stable over time.

This suggests that there are significant structural changes in the coefficients of the regression model, indicating instability in the long-run relationships and short-run dynamics.

In summary, the research relies on CUSUM and CUSUMSQ tests to examine the stability of parameters in the estimated equations, particularly focusing on the residuals from the ECM. These tests provide insights into whether the relationships captured by the model remain stable over time or if there are structural changes that require further investigation or model refinement.

4. Empirical analysis

Table 1. Summary of descriptive statistics

	LOG_AGRI...	LOG_EDUC...	LOG_HEALTH	LOG_REAL...	LOG_REAL...
Mean	2.908928	1.917057	2.252303	5.084089	2.900449
Median	2.825475	2.183946	2.322477	5.07671	2.73924
Maximum	3.583426	2.591499	3.169674	5.3548	4.023623
Minimum	2.386267	0.982271	1.1529	4.805705	1.31597
Std. Dev.	0.332447	0.558044	0.486573	0.163377	0.618392
Skewness	0.425547	-0.395722	-0.469474	-0.001534	-0.080463
Kurtosis	2.331783	1.490817	2.753241	1.881017	3.16839
Jarque-Bera	1.561166	3.872023	1.256686	1.66951	0.072336
Probability	0.458139	0.144278	0.533475	0.433981	0.964478
Sum	93.08568	61.34583	72.07369	162.6908	92.81437
sum Sq. Dev.	3.426156	9.653799	7.33936	0.827457	11.85468
Observations	32	32	32	32	32

Table 2. Pearson correlation matrix for selected years of Nepal

	Real GDP	Education	Health	Agriculture	Transportation
Real GDP	1.000				

Education	-0.782	1.000			
	0.000	--------			
Health	0.772	-0.562	1.000		
	0.000	0.0008	-------		
Agriculture	0.777	-0.685	0.873	1.000	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	-------	
Transportation	0.440	-0.478	0.365	0.446	1.000
	0.0116	0.0057	0.0400	0.0104	-----

Figure 2. Multiple difference graphs of variables

Table 3. The ordinary Least Square Model

Variables	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statitic	Prob.
Log_Education	-0.149612	0.08067	-1.854629	0.0746
Log_Health	0.476014	0.088252	5.393784	0.0000
Log_Real RGDP	0.029352	0.348136	0.084312	0.9334
C	1.87173	1.757053	1.065267	0.2962
Log_Transportation	0.035394	0.050354	0.050354	0.4881
R-squared	0.820804	Mean dependent var		2.908928
Adjusted R-squared	0.794257	S.D. dependent var		0.332447
S.E. of regression	0.150794	Akaike info criterion		-0.803198
Sum squared resid	0.613952	Schwarz criterion		-0.574177
Log likelihood	17.85117	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-0.727284
F-statistic	30.91836	Durbin-Watson stat		0.94644
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Table 4. Multiple Regression Test results

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	4.960053	0.267638	18.53271	0.0000
LOG_EDUCATION	-0.144370	0.038333	-3.766166	0.0008
LOG_HEALTH	0.157704	0.063421	2.486628	0.0194
LOG_AGRICULTUR FORESTRY FISH…	0.008967	0.106359	0.084312	0.9334
LOG_TRANSPORTATION	0.006729	0.028056	0.239859	0.8123
R-squared	0.773320	Mean dependent var		5.084089
Adjusted R-squared	0.739738	S.D. dependent var		0.163377
S.E. of regression	0.083348	Akaike info criterion		-1.988973
Sum squared resid	0.187568	Schwarz criterion		-1.759952
Log likelihood	36.82356	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-1.913059
F-statistic	23.02766	Durbin-Watson stat		0.430635
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Table 5. Homoscedasticity Test

F-statistic	6.483089	Prob. F (4,27)	0.0009
Obs*R-Squared	15.67728	Prob. Chi-Square (4)	0.0035
Scaled Explained SS	4.663831	Prob. Chi-Square (4)	0.3236

Variable	Coefficient	Std.Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.033582	0.013379	2.510103	0.0184
LOG_EDUCATION	-0.000854	0.00 1916	-0.445872	0.6592
LOG_HEALTH	-0.005370	0.003170	-1.693900	0.1018
LOG_AGRICULTUR_FORESTRY_FISH…	-0.002851	0.005317	-0.536330	0.596 1
LOG_TRANSPORTATION	-0.00 1963	0.00 1402	-1.399410	0.1731
R-Squared	0.489915	Mean dependent var		0.00586 1
Adjusted R-Squared	0.414347	S.D. dependent var		0.005444
S.E. of regression	0.004166	Akaike info criterion		-7.980931
Sum Squared resid	0.000469	Schwarz criterion		-7.751909
Log likelihood	132.6949	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-7.9050 16
F-statistic	6.483089	Durbin-Watson stat		1.415969
Prob (F-statistic)	0.00086 1			

Table 6. Multicollinearity Test

Variable	Coefficient Variance	Uncentered VIF	Centered VIF
C	0.07163	329.9512	NA
Agriculture	0.011312	446.5066	5.579028
Education	0.001469	26.91805	2.042017
Health	0.004022	0.004022	4.249400
Transportation	0.000787	31.84566	1.3432100

_____

Table 7. Granger Causality Test results

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
D(LOG_EDUCATION) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_AGRICULTUR_FORESTRYFISHING_AND_HUNTING)	29	0.14676	0.8643
D(LOG_AGRICULTUR_FORESTRY_FISHING_AND_HUNTING) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_EDUCATION)		0.24723	0.7829
D(LOG_REAL_GDP) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_AGRICULTUR_FORESTRY_FISHING_AND_HUNTING)	29	1.52156	0.2387
D(LOG_AGRICULTUR_FORESTRY_FISHING_AND_HUNTING) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_REAL_GDP)		2.64551	0.0916
D(LOG_HEALTH) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_AGRICULTUR FORESTRY_FISHING_AND_HUNTING)	29	1.25047	0.3044
D(LOG_AGRICULTUR_FORESTRY_FISHING_AND_HUNTING) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_HEALTH)		1.07174	0.3582
D(LOG_TRANSPORTATION) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_AGRICULTUR_FORESTRY_FISHING_AND_HUNTING)	29	0.93536	0.4063
D(LOG_AGRICULTUR_FORESTRY_FISHING_AND_HUNTING) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_TRANSPORTATION)		4.78939	0.0178
D(LOG_REAL_GDP) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_EDUCATION)	29	0.47780	0.6259
D(LOG_EDUCATION) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_REAL_GDP)		0.22620	0.7992
D(LOG_HEALTH) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_EDUCATION)	29	0.05022	0.9511
D(LOG_EDUCATION) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_HEALTH)		0.09072	0.9136
D(LOG_TRANSPORTATION) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_EDUCATION)	29	1.12697	0.3406
D(LOG_EDUCATION) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_TRANSPORTATION)		0.09513	0.9096
D(LOG_HEALTH) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_REAL_GDP)	29	0.44529	0.6458
D(LOG_REAL_GDP) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_HEALTH)		1.42886	0.2592
D(LOG_TRANSPORTATION) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_REAL_GDP)	29	1.81135	0.1851
D(LOG_REAL_GDP) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_TRANSPORTATION)		4.45784	0.0226
D(LOG_TRANSPORTATION) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_HEALTH)	29	0.33516	0.7185
D(LOG_HEALTH) does not Granger Cause D(LOG_TRANSPORTATION)		0.06998	0.9326

Table 8. Unit Root Test for RGDP

		t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic		-0.424471	0.8928
Test critical values :	1% level	-3.661661	
	5% level	-2.960411	
10% level		-2.619160	

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LOG_REAL_GDP(-1)	-0.004624	0.010892	-0.424471	0.6744
C	0.041179	0.055309	0.744520	0.4626
R-squared	0.006175	Mean dependent var		0.017713
Adjusted R-squared	-0.028095	S.D. dependent var		0.009315
S.E. of regression	0.009445	Akaike info criterion		-6.424423
Sum squared res id	0.002587	Schwarz criterion		-6.331908
Log likelihood	101.5786	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-6.394265
F-statistic	0.180175	Durbin-Wats on stat		2.367154
Prob (F-statistic)	0.674355			

Table 9. Unit Root Test for education

		t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic		-1.331304	0.6023
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.661661	
	5% level	-2.960411	
	10% level	-2.619160	

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LOG_EDUCATION(-1)	-0.134870	0.101306	-1.331304	0.1935
C	0.228416	0.204084	1.119224	0.2722
R-squared	0.057596	Mean dependent var		-0.033239
Adjusted R-squared	0.025099	S.D. dependent var		0.310007
S.E. of regression	0.306092	Akaike info criterion		0.532476
Sum squared resid	2.717069	Schwarz criterion		0.624991
Log likelihood	-6.253375	Hannan-Quinn criter.		0.562633
F-statistic	1.772370	Durbin-Wats on stat		1.920051
Prob (F-statistic)	0.193460			

Table 10. Unit Root Test for health

		t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic		-1.158846	0.6792
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.661661	
	5% level	-2.960411	
	10% level	-2.619160	

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LOG_HEALTH(-1)	-0.111785	0.096462	-1.158846	0.2560
C	0.300248	0.218890	1.371681	0.1807
R-squared	0.044258	Mean dependent var		0.051782
Adjusted R-squared	0.011302	S.D. dependent var		0.246774
S.E. of regression	0.245376	Akaike info criterion		0.090290
Sum squared resid	1.746071	Schwarz criterion		0.182805
Log likelihood	0.600502	Hannan-Quinn criter.		0.120448
F-statistic	1.342924	Durbin-Watson stat		1.564582
Prob (F-statistic)	0.255973			

_____

Table 11. Unit Root Test for agriculture

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-0.899612	0.7749
Test critical values:1% level	-3.661661	
5% level	-2.960411	
10% level	-2.619160	

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LOG_AGRICULTUR.	-0.069989	0.077799	-0.899612	0.3757
C	0.232488	0.226332	1.027196	0.3128
R-squared	0.027149	Mean dependent var		0.030096
Adjusted R-squared	-0.006397	S.D. dependent var		0.137283
S.E. of regression	0.137722	Akaike info criterion		-1.064821
Sum squared resid	0.550052	Schwarz criterion		-0.972306
Log likelihood	18.50473	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-1.034663
F-statistic	0.809301	Durbin-Watson stat		1.589175
Prob(F-statistic)	0.375737			

Table 12. Unit Root Test for transportation

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-2.038455	0.2698
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.661661	
5% level	-2.960411	
10% level	-2.619160	

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LOG_TRANSPORTATION(-1)	-0.291432	0.142967	-2.038455	0.0507
C	0.838428	0.428134	1.958331	0.0599
R-squared	0.125328	Mean dependent var		-0.017689
Adjusted R-squared	0.095167	S.D. dependent var		0.486653
S.E. of regression	0.462917	Akaike info criterion		1.359803
Sum squared resid	6.214474	Schwarz criterion		1.452319
Log likelihood	-19.07695	Hannan-Quinn criter.		1.389961
F-statistic	4.155300	Durbin-Watson stat		1.874079
Prob(F-statistic)	0.050715			

_____

Table 13. Johansen Long Run Cointegration Test

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05Critical Value	Prob.**
None	0.550545	63.85521	69.81889	0.1364
At most 1	0.492584	40.66334	47.85613	0.1996
At most 2	0.384137	20.98907	29.79707	0.3583
At most 3	0.183604	6.931898	15.49471	0.5856
At most 4	0.035528	1.049070	3.841465	0.3057

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None	0.550545	23.19187	33.87687	0.5158
At most 1	0.492584	19.67427	27.58434	0.3641
At most 2	0.384137	14.05717	21.13162	0.3604
At most 3	0.183604	5.882829	14.26460	0.6283
At most 4	0.035528	1.049070	3.841465	0.3057

LOG_AGRIC.	LOG_EDUCA.	LOG_REAL_.	LOG_HEALTH	LOG_TRANSPORTATION
3.120650	-5.022311	19.29099	4.697268	-16.49195
7.968036	2.045293	12.53428	-5.919736	-2.997666
8.937287	1.051311	16.82681	3.775396	-12.23511
-1.358441	1.263055	13.75633	-0.017532	-0.556413
-14.82953	2.572343	-17.13896	-0.491608	15.15192

Unrestricted Adjustment Coefficients (alpha):				
D(LOG_AGRI.	0.024853	-0.016106	-0.053602	0.011707	0.011509
D(LOG_EDU...	0.034084	-0.037405	-0.144399	-0.025291	-0.022664
D(LOG_REA...	-0.003278	0.000591	-0.001247	-0.002333	0.000396
D(LOG_HEA...	0.016050	0.063104	-0.110533	0.013809	0.013128
D(LOG_TRA...	0.178855	0.109204	0.038728	-0.043937	0.020806

D(LOG_AGRI..	0.077557
	(0.08625)
D(LOG_EDU...	0.106365
	(0.20867)
D(LOG_REA...	-0.010231
	(0.00522)
D(LOG_HEA...	0.050088
	(0.16231)
D(LOG_TRA...	0.558145
	(0.20993)

Economic growth and sector-specific indicators from 1990/91 to 2021/22, highlighting trends in Real GDP, Capital Expenditure, Health, Education, Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting, and Transportation. Real GDP remains consistently high and stable around 5 throughout the period, indicating robust and steady economic growth. Capital Expenditure initially fluctuates slightly but remains around 3 for most of the period, with a slight upward trend observed towards the end. Health starts at around 1.5 and shows a general upward trend, peaking at around 2.5 by 2021/22, with some fluctuations, especially around 2001/02 and 2010/11. Education begins at just below 2, with minor fluctuations throughout the period, but decreases

_____

significantly around 2004/05 and stabilizes at a lower value around 1. Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting starts around 2, showing slight fluctuations but generally stable. A downward trend starts around 2011/12, with values remaining above 1.5. Transportation is initially stable around 2 but shows significant fluctuations starting from 2012/13, with a noticeable drop around 2019/20 and subsequent recovery. Key observations include the stability of Real GDP, suggesting sustained economic performance. Capital Expenditure remains steady with a slight increase, indicating potential investments in infrastructure and development. The gradual increase in Health suggests growing investment or focus on the healthcare sector. The significant drop in Education and its stabilization at a lower value may indicate shifts in policy or funding. The gradual decline in Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting may suggest structural changes in the economy or challenges in the sector. Recent volatility in Transportation could be due to external factors like global economic conditions or internal policy changes. Overall, the analysis shows economic stability with specific sectoral shifts, particularly in education and transportation. Further investigation into policy changes and external economic conditions could provide deeper insights into these trends.

The study investigated the effect of government capital expenditure on economic growth in Nepal from 1990/91 to 2021/22, using the Johansen approach and Vector Error Correction Model (VECM). The analysis revealed three cointegration relationships among the variables over the long term. Health spending was found to positively and significantly affect Real GDP (RGDP) due to its role in economic stabilization. Capital expenditures on education, agriculture, and transportation were also significantly interrelated at a 5% significance level, and a significant long-term relationship between health expenditure and transportation was observed.

The research aimed to explore the correlation between government capital expenditure and economic growth, using real RGDP as a proxy for economic growth and real government capital expenditure as the independent variable. Descriptive analysis and the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test were employed to examine the nature, trends, and stationarity of the variables, which were found to be stationary at the first difference.

The Johansen Cointegration test indicated a long-run relationship among the variables, and VEC models were used for further analysis. The VEC Granger causality test assessed causal relationships, while the stability of long-run coefficients was tested using CUSUM and CUSUM of squares, and serial correlation was examined using the LM test. The study found that growth exhibited increasing trends with fluctuations, particularly a decrease in government capital expenditure over eleven fiscal years. The model's goodness-of-fit, with an R-squared value of 82%, suggests that 82% of the variation in RGDP is explained by variations in the independent variables.

The unit root tests showed that all variables except transportation were stationary at the first difference. Cointegration tests, using trace and max-eigen statistics, confirmed a significant long-term relationship among the variables at a 5% significance level, indicating that capital expenditure on education, health, agriculture, transportation, and RGDP are integrated and move together in the long run.

5. Conclusion

The study examined the effect of government capital expenditure on economic growth in Nepal from fiscal year 1990/91 to 2021/22. Over the period, government capital expenditure showed an overall increasing trend, though there were periods of decrease in certain fiscal years, such as 2001, 2011, and 2018. In the short run, these expenditures initially negatively impacted economic growth by reducing private investment. However, in the long run, they contributed positively to economic growth, particularly when financing projects with higher social returns than

private investments. Therefore, increasing government capital expenditures is beneficial for long-term economic growth.

The study found that capital expenditures in health, agriculture, and transportation positively and significantly impacted economic growth in Nepal. Conversely, capital expenditure on education had a negative impact on economic growth. Real GDP demonstrated steady growth over the study period, which can be attributed to technological advancements, infrastructure improvements, and better education and healthcare. Social and political factors, including significant student protests and subsequent political changes, also influenced the overall economic environment.

Social indicators of development have improved over time, influenced by the increase in real GDP. There is a statistically significant positive relationship between real government capital expenditure, real gross fixed capital formation, real government revenue, and terms of trade. However, the relationship between real gross national saving and real GDP is insignificant or negative. Both government capital expenditure and real GDP exhibited increasing trends, with real GDP growing at a faster rate compared to the slower growth of real government capital expenditure.

The study also indicated a causal relationship between government capital expenditure and economic growth, as shown by Granger causality tests. Specifically, it found that real GDP does not Granger cause government capital expenditure and vice versa. This suggests that while government capital expenditure influences economic growth, the reverse causal relationship is not significant in this context.

Overall, the study highlights the complex dynamics between government spending, economic growth, and social development indicators in Nepal. It emphasizes the importance of strategic investment and policy decisions in fostering long-term economic prosperity. The main objective of the study was to examine the specific effect of government capital expenditure on economic growth, revealing that all capital expenditure variables, except education, positively impacted Nepal's economic growth performance.

Acknowledgements

References

Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, *19*, 716-723.

Al-Sharif, B., & Bino, A. (2019). The role of government capital expenditures in economic growth in Jordan. *International Journal of Business and Economics Research*, *8*(2), 69-77.

Ahuja, D., & Pandit, D. (2020). Public expenditure and economic growth: Evidence from developing countries. *FIIB Business Review*, *9*(3), 228-236.

Amarachi, A. C., & Chizoba, M. S. (2024). Impact of public sector capital expenditure on economic development in Nigeria (2000-2020). *International Journal of African Innovation and Multidisciplinary Research*.

_____

Bappahyaya, B., Abiah, F. K., & Bello, F. (2020). Impact of government expenditure on economic growth: Evidence from Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal ESJ*, *16*(7), 69-87.

Bose, N., Haque, M. E., & Osborn, D. R. (2007). Public expenditure and economic growth: A disaggregated analysis for developing countries. *The Manchester School*, *75*(5), 533-556.

Chung, K. H., Wright, P., & Charoenwong, C. (1998). Investment opportunities and market reaction to capital expenditure decisions. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *22*(1), 41-60.

Colombier, C. (2018). Healthcare expenditure and fiscal sustainability: Evidence from Switzerland. *Public Sector Economics*, *42*(3), 279-301.

Cooray, A. (2009). Government expenditure, governance and economic growth. *Comparative Economic Studies*, *51*, 401-418.

Ebong, F., Ogwumike, F., Udongwo, U., & Ayodele, O. (2016). Impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria: A disaggregated analysis. *Asian Journal of Economics and Empirical Research*, *3*(1), 113-121.

Hasnul, A. G. (2015). The effects of government expenditure on economic growth: The case of Malaysia.

Juan, J. P. (2022). Empirical analysis on public expenditure for education, human capital and economic growth: Evidence from Honduras. *Economies, 10*(241).

King, R. G., & Rebelo, S. (1990). Public policy and economic growth: Developing neoclassical implications. *Journal of Political Economy, 98*(5, Part 2), S126-S150.

Landau, D. (1983). Government expenditure and economic growth: A cross-country study. *Southern Economic Journal*, 783-792.

Maingi, J. N. (2017). The impact of government expenditure on economic growth in Kenya: 1963-2008. *Advances in Economics and Business*, *5*(12), 635-662.

Miar, M., Rizani, A., Pardede, R., & Basrowi, B. (2024). Analysis of the effects of capital expenditure and supply chain on economic growth and their implications on the community welfare of districts and cities in Central Kalimantan Province. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, *12*(1), 489-504.

Modebe, N. J., Okafor, R. G., Onwumere, J. U. J., & Ibe, I. G. (2012). Impact of recurrent and capital expenditure on Nigeria's economic growth. *European Journal of Business and Management*, *4*(19), 66-74.

Muritala, T., & Taiwo, A. (2011). *Government expenditure and economic development: Empirical evidence from Nigeria*.

Musa, M. A., & Anfofum, A. A. (2020). Impact of government capital expenditure on economic growth. *Jalingo Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, *2*(3), 93-109.

Njoku, A. C., Okezie, A. I., & Idika, N. (2014). Is government capital expenditure productive? Evidence from Nigerian manufacturing sector (1971-2012). *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, *4*(5), 143-154.

Nkechukwu, G. C., & Okoh, J. I. (2013). Capital expenditure at disaggregated level and economic growth in Nigeria: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Science and Research*, *4*(6), 729-737.

Pack, H. (1994). Endogenous growth theory: Intellectual appeal and empirical shortcomings. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *8*(1), 55-72.

Okere, P. A., Uzowuru, L. N., & Amako, J. C. (2019). Government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management*, *4*(2), 29-41.

Ogar, A., Eyo, I. E., & Arikpo, O. F. (2019). Public expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria: VAR approach. *European Journal of Economic and Financial Research*.

_____

Okonkwo, O. N., Ojima, D. A. V. I. S., Ogwuru, H. O. R., Echeta, D. O., Duru, E. E., Akamike, O. J., & Manasseh, C. O. (2023). Impact of government capital expenditure on the economic growth rate of Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Allied Research*, *8*(1), 335-348.

Oyediran, L. S., Sanni, I., Adedoyin, L., & Oyewole, O. M. (2016). Government expenditure and economic growth nexus: Evidence from Nigeria. *Business and Management Research*, *5*(4), 56-61.

Sharma, P. K. (2013). Effect of public expenditure on economic growth: A case study of Nepal (1975-2008). Unpublished M.A. dissertation.

Sjo, B. (2008). Testing for unit root and cointegration. Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7ce6/2a0c7f6dab85f264a5403bf9b99a0f20a156.pdf.

Taiwo, M., & Abayomi, T. (2011). Government expenditure and economic development: Empirical evidence from Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*, *3*(9), 18-28.

Thapa, B. (2018). Relationship between capital expenditure and economic growth in Nepal. Unpublished thesis.

Usman, O., & Agbede, E. A. (2015). Government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria: A cointegration and error correction modeling.

Waweru, D. (2021). Government capital expenditure and economic growth: An empirical investigation. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, *21*(8), 29-36.

Wei, W. S. (2006). *Time series analysis: Univariate and multivariate*. Boston.

_____

AIMS AND SCOPE

The OJRE, as an international multi-disciplinary peer-reviewed *online open access academic journal*, publishes academic articles deal with different problems and topics in various areas of economics and close scientific disciplines (history of economics, schools of economics, microeconomics, macroeconomics, financial economics, regional economics, agricultural economics, business economics, expeditionary economics, organisational economics, ecological economics, cultural economics, natural resource economics, economic geography, demographic economics, environmental economics, development economics, evolutionary economics, information economics, education economics, health economics, service economics, managerial economics, personnel economics, methodology of economic research, experimental economics, mathematical economics, econometrics, philosophy of economics, economics education, etc.).

The OJRE provides a platform for the manuscripts from different areas of research, which may rest on the full spectrum of established methodologies, including theoretical discussions and empirical investigations. The manuscripts may represent a variety of theoretical perspectives and different methodological approaches.

The OJRE is already indexed in Crossref (DOI), BASE (Bielefeld Academic Search Engine), Google Scholar, J-Gate, ResearchBib and WorldCat - OCLC, and is applied for indexing in the other bases (Clarivate Analytics – SCIE, ESCI, and SSCI, Scopus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, Cabell's Directory, SHERPA/RoMEO, EZB - Electronic Journals Library, EconLit, Open Academic Journals Index, etc.).

The authors of articles accepted for publishing in the OJRE should get the ORCID number (www.orcid.org).

The journal is now publishing 2 times a year.

PEER REVIEW POLICY

All manuscripts submitted for publishing in the OJRE are expected to be free from language errors and must be written and formatted strictly according to the latest edition of the APA style. Manuscripts that are not entirely written according to APA style and/or do not reflect an expert use of the English language will *not* be considered for publication and will *not* be sent to the journal reviewers for evaluation. It is completely the author's responsibility to comply with the rules. We highly recommend that non-native speakers of English have manuscripts proofread by a copy editor before submission. However, proof of copy editing does *not* guarantee acceptance of a manuscript for publication in the OJRE.

The OJRE operates a double-blind peer reviewing process. The manuscript should not include authors' names, institutional affiliations, contact information. Also, authors' own works need to be blinded in the references (see the APA style). All submitted manuscripts are reviewed by the editors, and only those meeting the aims and scope of the journal will be sent for outside review. Each manuscript is reviewed by at least two reviewers.

The editors are doing their best to reduce the time that elapses between a paper's submission and publication in a regular issue. It is expected that the review and publication processes will be completed in about 2-3 months after submission depending on reviewers' feedback and the editors' final decision. If revisions are requested some changing and corrections then publication time becomes longer. At the end of the review process, accepted papers will be published on the journal's website.

_____

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

The OJRE is an open access journal which means that all content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author. This is in accordance with the BOAI definition of open access.