



Center for Open Access in Science

Open Journal for
Sociological Studies

2026 • Volume 10 • Number 1

<https://doi.org/10.32591/coas.ojss.1001>

ISSN (Online) 2560-5283

OPEN JOURNAL FOR SOCIOLOGICAL STUDIES (OJSS)

ISSN (Online) 2560-5283

<https://www.centerprode.com/ojss.html> * ojss@centerprode.com

Publisher: Center for Open Access in Science (COAS), Belgrade - SERBIA

Co-publisher: South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Faculty of Philosophy, Blagoevgrad, BULGARIA

Editor-in-Chief:

Christiana Constantopoulou (PhD)

Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences, School of Social Sciences, Athens, GREECE

Editorial Board:

Georgios Stamelos (PhD)

University of Patras, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, GREECE

Cristian Ortega Caro (PhD)

Arturo Prat University, Faculty of Humanities, Iquique, CHILE

Valentina Marinescu (PhD)

University of Bucharest, Faculty of Sociology and Social Work, ROMANIA

Valentina Milenkova (PhD)

South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Faculty of Philosophy, Blagoevgrad, BULGARIA

Katerina Dalakoura (PhD)

University of Crete, Faculty of Letters, Rethimno, GREECE

Esteban Nicholls (PhD)

Simon Bolivar Andean University, Social and Global Studies, Quito, ECUADOR

Alexis Ioannides (PhD)

Democritus University of Thrace, Department of Social Policy, Komotini, GREECE

Ivan Nachev (PhD)

New Bulgarian University, Faculty of Basic Education, Sofia, BULGARIA

Ninel Nesheva-Kiosseva (PhD)

New Bulgarian University, Faculty of Distance, Electronic and Upgrading Education, Sofia, BULGARIA

Anica Dragovik (PhD)

Ss. Cyril and Methodius University of Skopje, Faculty of Philosophy, NORTH MACEDONIA

Antoanela Petkovska (PhD)

Ss. Cyril and Methodius University of Skopje, Faculty of Philosophy, NORTH MACEDONIA

Maria Kontochristou (PhD)

Greek Open University, School of Social Sciences, Patras, GREECE

Tatyana Kotzeva (PhD)

Burgas Free University, Faculty of Humanities, BULGARIA

Executive Editor:

Goran Pešić

Center for Open Access in Science, Belgrade, SERBIA

CONTENTS

- 1 Religion as a Catalyst: A Sociological Reappraisal of Social Change in Africa
Olusola Ayomide Asaju & Elizabeth Oluwatosin Alagbe
- 13 Weaving Social Memory: A Revisit of Alappuzha's Coir Labor Heritage
Padath Pratheesh
- 27 The Yeshiva as a Social-Cultural Institution: A Comparison Between the
Lithuanian and Eastern Models in the 18th-19th Centuries
Shai Danino



Religion as a Catalyst: A Sociological Reappraisal of Social Change in Africa

Olusola Ayomide Asaju & Elizabeth Oluwatosin Alagbe
Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, NIGERIA

Received: 4 January 2026 ▪ Revised: 22 March 2026 ▪ Accepted: 22 April 2026

Abstract

This sociological reconsideration of religion as a transformational social force in Africa challenges classical paradigms that view religion as a tool for social conservation or ideological dominance. While analytically valid, Functionalist and Marxist approaches fail to explain the dynamic, contentious, and contextually rooted roles religious institutions play in continental social development. The paper shows how Christian, Islamic, and Indigenous religious traditions have catalyzed social change by supporting anti-colonial resistance, democratic governance, critical social welfare services, and peacebuilding in ethnically plural societies through a critical synthesis of historical analysis and contemporary case studies. Religion in Africa provides moral frameworks, social capital, and organizational networks for collective action and ethical languages to solve socio-political issues, according to the report. Thus, the study proposes a complex theoretical framework that respects religion's power to reinforce stability and promote transition depending on historical context, interpretive agency, and institutional practice. This rethinking contributes to scholarly debates on modernity, development, and social change by encouraging a more empirically grounded and context-sensitive understanding of the complex relationship between religion and societal systems in the Global South.

Keywords: sociology of religion, social change, Africa, Functionalism, Marxist critique, religious agency, democratization, social welfare, peacebuilding.

1. Introduction

Religion shapes values, social interactions, morality, and collective identities in human communities. Religion is a powerful social institution integrated in political, economic, and cultural systems that influences individual and group behavior.¹ Religion has organized and changed social life by interpreting meaning, authority, and social order. Religion affects governance, education, family organization, health, and community cohesion in Africa.² Religious influence on social development is a key sociological topic due to its pervasiveness.

Religion is typically seen as a conservative or even regressive force that maintains social order and prevents structural change in classical sociological theories like Functionalism and Traditional Marxism. Functionalist theorists, much like Durkheim, emphasize religion's role in social cohesiveness and collective conscience, stabilizing social structures. Religion legitimizes

¹ Berger, P. L. (2014). *The Many Altars of Modernity*. De Gruyter.

² Ter Haar, G., & Ellis, S. (2019). Religion and politics revisited. *African Affairs*, 118(472), 1–18.

inequality, mystifies material realities, and promotes passive acceptance of injustice, according to Marxist analyses. These viewpoints affected early sociological assumptions, leading to the belief that religion hinders growth, modernization, and critical consciousness, especially in non-Western societies.³

Modern sociological and religious studies study challenges such deterministic interpretations. Recent research suggest that classical models underestimate religion's adaptable, disputed, and context-dependent nature.⁴ Religion is today seen as a site of agency, negotiation, and resistance, not only social domination. Religious institutions and movements have been forums for moral critique, social mobilization, and political participation in many African nations, especially during colonial rule, authoritarian rule, and socioeconomic inequality.⁵

Therefore, this study challenges reductionist notions by re-examining religion as a dynamic and transformative social force in African communities. It claims that religion in Africa has actively promoted societal transformation through moral reform, political mobilization, social advocacy, and community development. Christian, Muslim, and indigenous religious groups have promoted education, health care, peacebuilding, gender advocacy, and democratic engagement across the continent, according to empirical studies. This study shows that religious actors and institutions have driven Africa's societal transitions by positioning religion in its historical, cultural, and socio-political contexts.

This article argues that religion, when contextualized and critically interpreted, may drive constructive social change and unite Africans to solve modern problems. Religion can supply moral languages, mobilize social capital, and build collective responsibility across ethnic and socioeconomic divisions in multiple and fractured societies.⁶ Recognizing religion's transformative power provides a more complex and empirically grounded view of societal development in Africa and challenges orthodox sociological theory.

2. Conceptual clarifications: Religion and social change

Structured ideas, practices, symbols, and institutional arrangements orientated towards the sacred and transcendent are called religion. This classical definition remains influential, but modern scholarship emphasizes that religion is a powerful social institution that organizes collective meaning, legitimizes authority, and regulates social behavior.⁷ Religion has both manifest and latent functions in sociological analysis, including moral guidance, ritual cohesion, identity formation, and social order stabilization or transformation.⁸

However, social transformation involves long-term changes in social structures, cultural patterns, norms, and institutions. Economic dynamics, political fights, technical advances, demographic shifts, and ideological movements often cause incremental or rapid transformations⁹. Social transformation depends on historical context, power relations, and social actors.

Thus, religion's ability to reinterpret, negotiate, and adapt to changing social settings is essential to understanding it as a social force. Religion is increasingly seen as a dynamic area of

³ Norris, P., & Inglehart, R. (2011). *Sacred and Secular*. Cambridge University Press.

⁴ Beyers, J. (2021). Religion as a political instrument. *HTS Teologiese Studies*, 77(4).

⁵ Haynes, J. (2016). Religion and development in Africa. *Third World Quarterly*, 37(6), 1–17.

⁶ Ter Haar, G., & Ellis, S. (2019). Religion and politics revisited. *African Affairs*, 118(472), 1–18.

⁷ Casanova, J. (2018). The politics of religion and secularism. *Social Research*, 85(1), 5–25.

⁸ Marshall, K. (2020). Religion and global development. *World Development*, 126.

⁹ Haralambos, M., & Holborn, M. (2017). *Sociology: Themes and Perspectives*. HarperCollins.

meaning-making that can perpetuate and challenge social systems in sociological and religious studies. Religion serves as a moral compass, social capital, and mobilizing ideology in Africa, offering symbolic resources for collective action and societal critique. Thus, religious organizations and movements have promoted advocacy, community development, and political participation, framing religion as a social change agent rather than a preserver of tradition.¹⁰

This article agrees with recent sociological views that religion is an active participant in social transformation by admitting its adaptive and context-sensitive nature. Religion remains high and deeply embedded in African life, shaping moral discourses, public life, and collective responses to social challenges, making it relevant to 21st-century analyses of social change.¹¹¹²

3. Classical sociological perspectives on religion and social change

3.1 *Functionalist interpretations*

Functionalist theorists have seen religion as essential to societal cohesiveness, morality, and solidarity. This approach is based on Émile Durkheim's *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life*. Durkheim (1912) described religion as a shared set of holy ideas and practices that form a moral community. Religious rituals and symbols reinforce collective conscience by asserting common values, norms, and social meanings, stabilizing and sustaining social institutions.

Religion integrates by providing a moral framework that governs behavior and maintains societal standards. Religion legitimizes power and encourages compliance by sacralizing societal ideals, reducing anomie and social disintegration.¹³ Later functionalist scholars stressed religion's significance in social tension management and existential meaning in ambiguity. Some scholars believed that religion provides moral guidance and emotional support, especially during societal upheaval. Merton's distinction between manifest and latent functions shows how religion can foster moral order while unintentionally supporting social hierarchies and institutional continuity.

Although analytically sound, the functionalist approach has been criticized for prioritizing societal stability over change. Functionalism emphasizes religion's integrative and conservative roles, seeing it as a force that reproduces societal systems rather than questioning them. This emphasis risks reducing religion to a passive social cohesion tool, ignoring its inherent diversity, interpretive flexibility, and contestability. Functionalism fails to account for past religious movements that transformed or disrupted society.

Functionalist theories fail to explain how religion drives social critique, resistance, and reform, critics say. Religious beliefs and institutions have often motivated political emancipation, moral reform, and social justice movements in many socioeconomic circumstances. Religious organizations helped anti-colonial, democratization, and authoritarian resistance in Africa.¹⁴ Such incidents dispute the functionalist idea that religion largely maintains the status quo.

Modern sociological theory emphasizes that religion is a dynamic social practice shaped by power relations, historical events, and human activity.¹⁵ Functionalism underestimates

¹⁰ Haynes, J. (2016). Religion and development in Africa. *Third World Quarterly*, 37(6), 1–17.

¹¹ Pew Research Center (2018). *The future of world religions*.

¹² Marshall, K. (2020). Religion and global development. *World Development*, 126.

¹³ Giddens, A., & Sutton, P. (2021). *Sociology* (9th ed.). Polity Press.

¹⁴ Ellis, S., & Ter Haar, G. (2004). *Worlds of Power*. Oxford University Press

¹⁵ Bourdieu, P. (2011). *Religion*. Polity Press

religion's ability to challenge societal regimes with alternative moral views by ignoring these features. Depending on context and interpretation, religion can promote social integration and political mobilization.

In light of these concerns, current study pushes for a more nuanced definition of religion that recognizes its stabilizing and transforming powers. The functionalist perspective can explain religion's role in societal cohesiveness and moral regulation, but it must be supplemented by methods that account for agency, conflict, and change. An integrative paradigm provides for a more complete examination of religion's multidimensional function in society.

3.2 *Marxist critiques of religion*

Karl Marx's "opium of the people" (Marx, 1844/1975)¹⁶ critique of religion is still influential in sociological thought. Religion is seen as an intellectual tool that hides material inequality, supports power systems, and hinders revolutionary consciousness among oppressed groups. Religion can comfort the exploited by promising an afterlife and distracting from structural causes of pain.¹⁷ Classical Marxists believe religious structures and ideologies legitimize ruling classes, normalize exploitation, and maintain social hierarchies.

The Marxist critique highlights religion's ideological aspects, but it tends to be reductionist, prioritizing structural determinants over human action and historical diversity. Contemporary studies shows that religion is a socially disputed sphere where different actors debate meaning, authority, and power. Despite the standard Marxist claim that religion maintains dominance, religious groups often spark resistance to oppression.

African empirical evidence shows the limits of Marxism. Christian missions and indigenous religious movements typically mobilized anti-colonial consciousness and political consciousness under colonialism.¹⁸ Religious leaders condemned colonial exploitation and rallied communities for social and political change. Post-colonial faith-based organizations have challenged authoritarian regimes and promoted civic involvement by advocating for human rights, social justice, and democracy.¹⁹ These historical and present examples show that religion can empower and unite rather than oppress.

Recent theoretical advances integrate agency, symbolic power, and context-specific dynamics to refine Marxism. Bourdieu (2011) defines religion as social and symbolic capital, which can reinforce hierarchies or mobilize, resist, and innovate. Casanova (2018) emphasizes religion in public spheres as an autonomous sector that can influence political, economic, and social developments, showing that religious actors can challenge dominance and reshape society.

3.3 *Reconsidering religion as an agent of social change*

Sociological science increasingly views religion as a complex social force that may sustain and transform. Recent studies emphasize religion's ability to mobilize communities, generate agency, and dynamically modify social structures, moving beyond traditional arguments

¹⁶ Marx, K. (1844/1975). *Contribution to the Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Right*. Moscow: Progress Publishers.

¹⁷ McLeod, H. (2018). *Religion and Society in Contemporary Sociology*. Routledge.

¹⁸ Ranger, T. (1986). Religious movements and politics in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Studies Review*, 29(2), 1–69

¹⁹ Agbiji, O. M., & Swart, I. (2015). Religion and social transformation in Africa. *Scriptura*, 114(1), 1–20.

that considered it a conservative or oppressive institution. Religion is now seen as a context-dependent phenomena whose social implications vary by historical, political, and cultural context.

Max Weber's work on the Protestant ethic and capitalism shape our understanding of religion's transforming power. Weber²⁰ showed that religious beliefs can promote economic development, rationalization, and social modernization through ethical alignment. Weber's work concentrated on Western Europe, but his insight that religious worldviews impact social activity and institutional arrangements applies to African religion. Religion has influenced economic, social, and political behavior across the continent.²¹

Religion stores symbolic resources, moral narratives, and organizational capacities for collective action and social coordination. Communities can address social crises, lobby for justice, and mobilize communal responses to structural injustices by reinterpreting sacred texts, contextual theology, and locally rooted religious practices. African Independent Churches and Pentecostal organizations have used morality to promote education, health, gender advocacy, and political engagement.²² These examples show that religion may help communities negotiate, confront, and change social norms.

From congregations to international religious networks, religion's organizational structures enable ongoing societal involvement. Religious networks spread information, mobilize volunteers, and legitimize reform activities, which boosts their impact on local and national development objectives.²³ In culturally multiple cultures, religious actors can influence government, arbitrate conflicts, and promote ethical norms that support social goals due to their moral authority.

Religion has historically and now shaped African society. Religious actors have mobilized communities during anti-colonial campaigns and promoted democratization, social justice, and public health. Religion builds community resilience and promotes participatory development by offering moral guidance, social capital, and organizational structures. Religion should be seen as a flexible, context-sensitive instrument of social transformation, not a barrier.

4. Religion and social change in Africa: Historical and contemporary dynamics

4.1 Religion and anti-colonial resistance

As religious organizations and centers of political consciousness and social mobilization, religious institutions have helped Africa resist colonial rule. Christian missions, Islamic reform movements, and indigenous religious traditions helped African communities express their desires for justice, dignity, and self-determination.²⁴ These institutions provided a lexicon and organizational framework for anti-colonial resistance by combining religious ethics with political critique.

While Christian missions were initially used for colonial expansion, African communities used them to promote political consciousness and challenge European powers. Ethiopianism, which emerged in Southern Africa in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, described this process. Ethiopianist groups directly challenged European missionaries'

²⁰ Weber, M. (1905/2002). *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*. Routledge.

²¹ Kalu, O. (2008). *African Pentecostalism: An Introduction*. Oxford University Press.

²² Marshall, K. (2013). *Global Institutions of Religion*. Routledge.

²³ Tomalin, E. (2015). Religion and development: Challenges for sustainable social transformation. *World Development*, 65, 1–13.

²⁴ Beyers, J. (2021). Religion as a political instrument. *HTS Teologiese Studies*, 77(4).

hierarchical and racially discriminatory institutions by encouraging African church leadership and moral and spiritual equality.²⁵ African Independent Churches (AICs) integrated indigenous religious rituals with Christian teachings to affirm African cultural identity and fight colonial ecclesiastical rule.

Anti-colonial struggles included Islamic reform movements. West African reformist orders like the Tijaniyya and Qadiriyya mobilized communities through religious instruction, social justice campaigning, and moral discipline to resist local tyranny and colonial meddling.²⁶ These movements show that religion supplied ethical instruction, social networks, and organizational frameworks for political action.

Indigenous religious traditions strengthened communal cohesion and morality without foreign monitoring, undermining colonial authority. Local priests, diviners, and spiritual leaders sometimes linked ritual and spiritual leadership to political engagement by criticizing economic exploitation, land dispossession, and cultural marginalization.

The combination of religion and anti-colonial resistance shows how faith may change African communities. Religious frameworks provided symbolic resources, moral validity, and organizational coherence for community mobilization against external dominance. This shows that religion in Africa is not primarily a conservative factor, as classical Functionalist or Marxist viewpoints suggest. Religion maintained moral order, asserted cultural identity, and facilitated political change.

Furthermore, African religious institutions Christian, Islamic, or indigenous promoted anti-colonial conscience and collective struggle. Ethiopianism and African Independent Churches demonstrate how religion can empower African leadership, cultural autonomy, and nationalism movements. By understanding these historical dynamics, researchers can see religion as a moral and socio-political force that can change social trajectories in oppressed and marginalized communities.²⁷

4.2 Religion, governance, and democratization

Religious organizations have often mediated between the state and civil society in post-colonial Africa, using their moral authority and social legitimacy to influence governance and democratization. Faith-based institutions including Christian, Islamic, and indigenous religious traditions have been advocates for openness, accountability, and human rights, filling gaps created by weak or challenged state structures.²⁸ Their involvement shows that religion in Africa is a societal force that shapes political discourse and public life.

Evidence shows religious actors' tangible contributions to democratic consolidation and political transformation. Religious coalitions like the Christian Association of Nigeria (CAN) and the Nigeria Inter-Religious Council (NIREC) have mediated electoral disputes, condemned corruption, and promoted interfaith dialogue to sustain national cohesion in Nigeria.²⁹ In South Africa, churches mobilized opposition to apartheid and shaped democratic norms through moral

²⁵ Chitando, E. (2018). Religion, politics, and social mobilization in Africa. *Journal of Religion in Africa*, 48(3), 1–25.

²⁶ Last, M. (2018). *The Sokoto Caliphate and Islamic Reform Movements in West Africa*. Routledge.

²⁷ Kalu, O. (2008). *African Pentecostalism: An Introduction*. Oxford University Press.

²⁸ Haynes, J. (2016). Religion and development in Africa. *Third World Quarterly*, 37(6), 1–17.

²⁹ Agbibo, D. E. (2017). Religion, civil society, and political activism in Nigeria. *Journal of Religion in Africa*, 47(4), 375–400.

advocacy, civic education, and transitional justice.³⁰ Faith-based organizations have led election monitoring, peacebuilding, and constitutional reform in Kenya, demonstrating religion's effect on government and policy.³¹

Religious institutions can criticize governmental abuses and inspire nonviolent social action due to their moral influence. Religious actors legitimize civic engagement and accountability by framing political issues in ethical and moral discourses. Political instability, corruption, and human rights violations can challenge state legitimacy and public trust, making this ethical framing crucial.

Religious networks' organizational and infrastructure capacities boost their political impact. Churches, mosques, and religious societies offer civic education, leadership training, and collective deliberation, helping communities participate in politics.³² These networks show that religion can foster inclusive government and participatory democracy across sectarian lines.

Religion-democratization interactions are complex and context-dependent. Religious institutions can encourage accountability and civic responsibility, but when politicized, they can be co-opted by political elites or incite sectarian tensions.³³ Thus, institutional independence, ethical leadership, and the ability to reconcile moral power with political neutrality are necessary for religion to reform government and democratization.

Post-colonial African experiences show that religion may guide morality and politics. Religious institutions strengthen democracy and social accountability by fostering human rights, civic engagement, and ethical governance. Recognizing religion's ability to stabilize and transform helps explain its role in African government and democratization.

4.3 Religion and social welfare

Religious groups have traditionally promoted social welfare and human development in Africa. Faith-based institutions provide education, healthcare, and social services across the continent, especially in areas with low state capability. Religious institutions provide immediate material necessities and long-term human capital development and community resilience by running schools, hospitals, vocational training centers, and humanitarian initiatives.

Religion emphasizes education. Christian missions, Islamic foundations, and African Independent Churches have founded basic and secondary schools that teach morality, ethics, and literacy.³⁴ Faith-based schools are the main source of formal education in many rural and impoverished areas, increasing learning and social mobility. According to Marshall (2013) and Pew Research Centre (2018), faith-based schools surpass government schools in Nigeria and Ghana in enrolment, teacher commitment, and community participation.

Healthcare is another important aspect of religious social welfare. Religious hospitals and clinics have provided preventative care, mother and child health services, and epidemic response. Faith-based organizations disseminated health information, provided logistical support, and mobilized volunteer networks during the West African Ebola and COVID-19

³⁰ Gifford, P. (2015). *Christianity, Politics, and Public Life in Africa*. Routledge.

³¹ Smidt, C. (2017). *Religion and Democratization in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Palgrave Macmillan

³² Agbiji, O. M., & Swart, I. (2015). Religion and social transformation in Africa. *Scriptura*, 114(1), 1–20.

³³ Chitando, E. (2018). Religion, politics, and social mobilization in Africa. *Journal of Religion in Africa*, 48(3), 1–25.

³⁴ Agbiji, O. M., & Swart, I. (2015). Religion and social transformation in Africa. *Scriptura*, 114(1), 1–20.

pandemics.³⁵ These approaches demonstrate how religious institutions can combine moral authority with practical service delivery to boost public trust and health initiative compliance.

Religious organizations provide poverty alleviation, food aid, skills training, and support for orphans, refugees, and women in socioeconomic disadvantage in addition to formal education and healthcare. These programs create community networks, promote social cohesion, and enable vulnerable groups to engage in social and economic life. Faith-based projects boost community resilience and sustainable development by supplementing state assistance.

Religious social welfare is boosted by religious communities' organizational structures and social networks. Congregations and religious associations mobilize volunteers, fundraise, and distribute resources for scalable and sustained actions in challenging situations. Religious actors' moral authority legitimizes social programs and stimulates civic involvement, combining spiritual ideals with practical efforts to improve well-being and social fairness.

Religion in Africa improves community resilience and bridges gaps in education, healthcare, and social services. Religious organizations improve human growth and society by combining moral instruction with practical initiatives. comprehending faith-based actors' multidimensional role in social welfare is crucial to comprehending their contributions to continental development, governance, and social transformation.

5. Religion as a unifying social force

Ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity in Africa threatens social cohesion and identity. Fragmented communities, historical grudges, and resource competitiveness often increase social tensions and hinder growth.³⁶ Religion often unites ethnic and linguistic groups by offering shared moral frameworks, ethical norms, and collective identities that promote social integration.

Interfaith cooperation and religious discussion are crucial to African peacebuilding and conflict resolution. Religious organizations can arbitrate disputes, reconcile, and promote non-violent solutions in conflict-prone countries using their moral authority and broad networks. Interfaith conversation in Nigeria, Kenya, and the Democratic Republic of Congo has reduced communal tensions and increased civic participation.³⁷ These examples show how religion can unite society and heal severe differences.

Beyond mediation, religion promotes justice, compassion, and solidarity, which strengthens society. Religion promotes social trust and cooperation by emphasizing communal responsibilities, moral accountability, and care for disadvantaged groups. These ethical imperatives promote communal accountability and civic involvement, which are necessary for sustainable development.³⁸ Religion provides normative frameworks for diverse societies to resolve differences, foster mutual understanding, and cooperate towards societal goals.

Religious networks also help marginalized groups integrate with society. Faith-based organizations often collaborate on community development, education, and health programs

³⁵ World Bank. (2020). *Faith-based organizations and COVID-19 response in Africa*.

³⁶ Adebayo, A. (2018). Ethnicity, religion, and social cohesion in Africa. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 12(4), 45–58.

³⁷ Agbiboa, D. E. (2017). Religion, civil society, and political activism in Nigeria. *Journal of Religion in Africa*, 47(4), 375–400

³⁸ Ter Haar, G., & Ellis, S. (2019). Religion and politics revisited: Continuity and change in Africa. *African Affairs*, 118(472), 1–18.

across ethnic and socioeconomic lines. Religion strengthens local and national cohesiveness by integrating social solidarity into daily life and institutions.

Religion in Africa promotes social cohesiveness and reduces violence. Religious institutions foster trust, ethics, and community through common moral frameworks, interfaith discussion, and community-oriented projects. These contributions have real effects on peacebuilding, social integration, and sustainable development in culturally and ethnically diverse cultures. Recognizing religion's ability to unite rather than divide helps explain its position in African politics and society.

6. Harnessing religion for sustainable social change

For religion to effectively contribute to social change, it must be critically engaged and contextually grounded. This requires:

- i. *Theological Reinterpretation*: Theological reinterpretation emphasizes social justice, human dignity, and gender equity by reinterpreting religious texts, teachings, and traditions. The social and historical construction of religion allows for reinterpretation in response to modern societal issues, according to scholars. African religious leaders and communities have used contextual theology to challenge patriarchal conventions, promote fair social policies, and inspire moral and civic responsibility. Theological reinterpretation uses faith traditions' ethical values to transform society rather than dominate it.
- ii. *Institutional Accountability*: Transparency, ethical leadership, and responsible governance are key to religious institution accountability. Researchers say religious institutions' reputation and societal influence depend on their capacity to model integrity and preserve ethical norms in administration and decision-making. Accountable faith-based organizations in Africa may mobilize communities, provide social services, and advocate for justice and good governance. Integrating accountability into institutional structures helps religious organizations combine moral power with social responsibility and transform society.
- iii. *Interdisciplinary Collaboration*: Integrating religious endeavors with development programs and civic organizations improves societal effect. Scholarship notes that faith-based organizations' social networks, moral authority, and community trust can complement government and non-government development projects. Partnerships between religious groups, local governments, and foreign development agencies have improved education, healthcare, disaster response, and poverty in Africa. Interdisciplinarity ensures that religious initiatives are matched with evidence-based policy frameworks, enabling sustained social change and exploiting faith-based actors' strengths.
- iv. *Education and Civic Engagement*: Religious institutions encourage literacy, political awareness, and social responsibility through their platforms, promoting education and civic engagement. Faith-based education provides communities with the knowledge and values needed for active citizenship through ethical formation, civic ethics, and moral growth. In Africa, churches, mosques, and other religious organizations promote democracy and social reform through literacy, voter education, and civic awareness campaigns. Religious organizations help communities participate responsibly in public life and promote sustainable social development by providing moral direction and civic education. When these conditions are met, religion can function as a transformative force rather than a conservative restraint.

7. Conclusion

This analysis has sought to demonstrate that religion in Africa constitutes a complex and potent agent of social change, a reality that necessitates moving beyond the deterministic confines of classical sociological theory. By critically engaging with Functionalist and Marxist paradigms, which respectively emphasize religion's role in maintaining social order or legitimizing oppression, this study has argued for a more nuanced, historically grounded, and agency-centered understanding. The evidence presented spanning anti-colonial resistance, post-colonial democratization, social welfare provision, and peacebuilding reveals religion not as a monolithic force of conservation but as a dynamic field of meaning-making, moral critique, and collective mobilization.

The theoretical implication of this reappraisal is significant. It challenges sociology to adopt frameworks that accommodate the Janus-faced potential of religion: its capacity to simultaneously reinforce stability and drive transformation. As evidenced across the African continent, the social impact of religion is neither predetermined nor unilinear but is profoundly shaped by contextual factors including historical moment, political economy, interpretive leadership, and institutional praxis. Religious beliefs and organizations provide indispensable symbolic resources, ethical languages, organizational networks, and social capital that communities harness to negotiate, challenge, and reshape their social realities.

From a practical and policy perspective, recognizing religion's transformative potential offers crucial insights for sustainable development. The documented contributions of faith-based actors in education, healthcare, governance, and conflict mediation underscore the necessity of engaging religious institutions as legitimate partners in the public sphere. However, this engagement must be critical and clear-eyed. To maximize its constructive potential, religion requires contextual grounding, theological reinterpretation towards justice and equity, and robust institutional accountability to guard against co-option, sectarianism, and authoritarian tendencies.

Ultimately, this study contends that any comprehensive analysis of social change in Africa must account for the central, yet contested, role of religion. To dismiss it as merely an "opium of the people" or a functionalist glue is to overlook a fundamental engine of historical agency and contemporary societal transformation. The future of African societies will undoubtedly continue to be shaped by the interplay of sacred and secular forces. A scholarly approach that acknowledges religion's multifaceted power as a source of both cohesion and critique, tradition and innovation is therefore essential for understanding and navigating the continent's ongoing journey toward more just, equitable, and resilient social orders.

Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Adebayo, A. (2018). Ethnicity, religion, and social cohesion in Africa. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 12(4), 45–58.
- Agbiboa, D. E. (2017). Religion, civil society, and political activism in Nigeria. *Journal of Religion in Africa*, 47(4), 375–400.
- Agbiji, O. M., & Swart, I. (2015). Religion and social transformation in Africa. *Scriptura*, 114(1), 1–20.
- Berger, P. L. (2014). *The many altars of modernity: Toward a paradigm for religion in a pluralist age*. De Gruyter.
- Beyers, J. (2021). Religion as a political instrument. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 77(4), a6682. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v77i4.6682>
- Bourdieu, P. (2011). *Religion*. Polity Press.
- Casanova, J. (1994). *Public religions in the modern world*. University of Chicago Press.
- Casanova, J. (2018). The politics of religion and secularism. *Social Research*, 85(1), 5–25.
- Chitando, E. (2018). Religion, politics, and social mobilization in Africa. *Journal of Religion in Africa*, 48(3), 1–25.
- Durkheim, E. (1912). *The elementary forms of religious life*. Free Press.
- Ellis, S., & Ter Haar, G. (2004). *Worlds of power: Religious thought and political practice in Africa*. Oxford University Press.
- Giddens, A. (2009). *Sociology* (6th ed.). Polity Press.
- Gifford, P. (2015). *Christianity, politics, and public life in Africa*. Routledge.
- Haralambos, M., & Holborn, M. (2017). *Sociology: Themes and perspectives* (9th ed.). HarperCollins.
- Haynes, J. (1998). *Religion in global politics*. Longman.
- Haynes, J. (2016). Religion and development in Africa. *Third World Quarterly*, 37(6), 1–17.
- Kalu, O. (2008). *African pentecostalism: An introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Last, M. (2018). *The Sokoto Caliphate and Islamic reform movements in West Africa*. Routledge.
- Marshall, K. (2013). *Global institutions of religion: Ancient movers, modern shakers*. Routledge.
- Marshall, K. (2020). Religion and global development. *World Development*, 126, 104730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104730>
- Marx, K. (1844/1975). *Contribution to the critique of Hegel's philosophy of right*. Progress Publishers. (Original work published 1844)
- McLeod, H. (2018). *Religion and society in contemporary sociology*. Routledge.
- Norris, P., & Inglehart, R. (2011). *Sacred and secular: Religion and politics worldwide* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Pew Research Center. (2018). The future of world religions: Population growth projections, 2010-2050. <https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/2015/04/02/religious-projections-2010-2050/>
- Ranger, T. (1986). Religious movements and politics in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Studies Review*, 29(2), 1–69.
- Smidt, C. (2017). *Religion and democratization in sub-Saharan Africa*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ter Haar, G. (2011). *Religion and development: Ways of transforming the world*. Hurst & Company.

- Ter Haar, G., & Ellis, S. (2019). Religion and politics revisited: Continuity and change in Africa. *African Affairs*, 118(472), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1093/afraf/ady051>
- Tomalin, E. (2015). Religion and development: Challenges for sustainable social transformation. *World Development*, 65, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.10.003>
- Weber, M. (1905/2002). *The Protestant ethic and the spirit of capitalism* (T. Parsons, Trans.). Routledge. (Original work published 1905)
- World Bank (2020). “Faith-based organizations and COVID-19 response in Africa”. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/afr/publication/faith-based-organizations-and-covid-19-response-in-africa>.



Weaving Social Memory: A Revisit of Alappuzha's Coir Labor Heritage

Padath Pratheesh

St. Michael's College, Department of History, Alappuzha, INDIA

Received: 19 January 2026 ▪ Revised: 22 March 2026 ▪ Accepted: 22 April 2026

Abstract

This article re-examines the coir industry of Alappuzha not merely as an economic activity or declining traditional sector, but as a living archive of labor, identity, and collective experience. Drawing on field narratives, archival traces, and institutional histories, the study explores how coir work has shaped everyday life, social relations, and cultural meanings within coastal communities. It argues that coir functions as a form of social memory through which work practices, gendered divisions of labor, skills transmission, and community solidarities have been historically constituted and remembered. At the same time, processes of mechanization, market restructuring, and policy-driven modernization have disrupted these memory-bearing practices, producing dislocation, occupational erasure, and the gradual invisibilization of labor heritage. By analyzing how workers remember, reinterpret, and negotiate the loss and transformation of coir work, the article demonstrates that industrial decline is not only an economic phenomenon but also a cultural and social rupture. The study contends that the fading of coir labor in Alappuzha represents a broader crisis of recognition for traditional working communities, where technological change and development discourse marginalize embodied knowledge, everyday histories, and locally grounded identities. Reframing coir as social memory enables a critical understanding of labor heritage beyond nostalgia, revealing its continuing relevance for debates on work, dignity, and community in post-industrial contexts.

Keywords: social memory, labour heritage, coir industry, Alappuzha, working communities, industrial transformation, occupational identity, traditional knowledge.

1. Introduction

For several centuries, the coir industry has been one of the defining features of Alappuzha's economic, social, and cultural life. Rooted in the region's ecology of coconut cultivation and backwater networks, coir production evolved from a household-based craft into a major industrial activity, shaping patterns of employment, settlement, and community organisation. For generations, coir works structured everyday routines, local identities, and intergenerational transmission of skills, particularly among women who formed the backbone of spinning and weaving activities. The industry thus functioned not merely as a source of livelihood, but as a social institution embedded in the lived experience of coastal communities.

Historically, coir production in Alappuzha was characterised by labour-intensive methods, small-scale units, and close integration between work and domestic life. Workers

exercised a degree of autonomy over the production process, participating in multiple stages from fibre extraction to the creation of finished goods. This mode of production fostered strong occupational identities, collective work cultures, and shared moral frameworks that defined the dignity and meaning of labour. Coir, in this sense, was not only an economic activity but also a way of life, woven into the rhythms of community and the spatial organisation of the town and its surrounding villages.

However, the structure and nature of work in the coir industry have undergone profound transformations over recent decades. Pressures of global competition, changing market demands, and the drive for higher productivity have led to increasing mechanisation and reorganisation of production. What was once a craft-based and household-centred industry has been progressively reconstituted into a factory-oriented and machine-driven system. This shift has altered the very experience of work: labour has become fragmented, tasks have been specialised, and workers' roles have been reduced to narrowly defined operational functions within mechanised processes.

These changes have had far-reaching consequences for the workforce. The reconfiguration of production has weakened traditional forms of employment, reduced the relevance of artisanal skills, and displaced large sections of workers who are unable to adapt to new technological regimes. For many, especially women and elderly workers, the erosion of customary work practices has meant not only economic insecurity but also the loss of occupational identity and social recognition. The transformation of coir production has thus produced a growing disjunction between the industry's technological trajectory and the social worlds that once sustained it.

At the same time, dominant narratives of modernisation and development tend to interpret these changes primarily in terms of efficiency, competitiveness, and market expansion. Such perspectives often overlook the deeper social and cultural costs of industrial restructuring. They obscure the ways in which labour practices encode collective histories, community values, and embodied knowledge, and how the dismantling of these practices reshapes social memory itself. In Alappuzha, the decline of traditional coir work has not only altered patterns of employment but has also disrupted long-standing relationships between work, place, and identity.

This study approaches the coir industry of Alappuzha as a site where labour, memory, and social life intersect. Rather than treating coir solely as an industrial sector in transition, it examines how work practices have functioned as repositories of shared experience and collective meaning. By tracing the transformation of coir labour from craft-based production to mechanised organisation, the article explores how communities remember, reinterpret, and negotiate the erosion of a historically embedded way of life. In doing so, it argues that the decline of traditional coir labour is not merely an economic shift but a social rupture that redefines how work, dignity, and belonging are understood in post-industrial contexts.

2. Review of literature

Scholarship on Alappuzha's coir industry has largely examined it through the lenses of urban transformation, technological change, labour displacement, and institutional governance. Historical studies identify coir as central to the city's emergence as an industrial port, showing how canal networks, port infrastructure, and commercial expansion structured labour settlements and urban morphology (Padath, 2024). Subsequent work situates Alappuzha within peripheral industrialization, arguing that global integration through coir exports simultaneously generated dependency, labour segmentation, and uneven development (Padath, 2025). While this literature establishes coir's economic and spatial significance, labour is mainly treated as a structural category rather than as a site of lived experience.

A substantial body of research analyses technological modernization in the sector. Mechanization is shown to enhance productivity, product diversification, and export competitiveness, particularly in value-added segments (Pratheesh & Florence, 2024). At the same time, modernization restructures labour processes, replacing integrated craft production with fragmented, specialized tasks that reduce worker autonomy and control over output (Pratheesh, 2021). These studies demonstrate how technology reshapes labour relations but largely interpret change in terms of efficiency and competitiveness.

Another key strand addresses labour displacement and spatial reorganization. Empirical studies document declining employment, closure of traditional units, weakening of cooperatives, and relocation of production to neighbouring regions, particularly Tamil Nadu (Pratheesh & Nair, 2022). Export-oriented analyses further reveal a structural paradox: national growth in coir exports has occurred alongside employment contraction, stagnant wages, and declining job security in Kerala's traditional clusters (Padath, 2025). Although these works rigorously map economic outcomes, labour is primarily conceptualized as an input within production systems.

Some scholarship engages with the experiential dimensions of work. Studies on alienation argue that mechanization reduces workers to facilitators of machines, eroding autonomy, occupational identity, and ownership over the labour process (Pratheesh, 2021; Padath, 2025). Research on changing work portfolios similarly shows how artisanal skills are narrowed into specialized operations with limited mobility (Pratheesh & Nair, 2021; Padath, 2025). These contributions are significant in foregrounding subjective dimensions of labour but stop short of interpreting work practices as repositories of collective meaning.

Socio-economic and health-based research highlights low wages, seasonal unemployment, informality, and gendered vulnerability, particularly among women workers (Nair, 1993; Pratheesh, 2025b)). Health studies document chronic illness, respiratory disorders, and musculoskeletal problems arising from physically demanding and unsanitary working conditions (Nair, 1993; Pratheesh, 2025a)). While vital in exposing embodied and gendered costs of labour, this literature remains largely welfare-oriented.

Policy-focused studies evaluate cooperatives, wage structures, and state interventions, showing that institutional frameworks struggle to reconcile modernization with labour protection (KILE, 2016). Recent research (2024–2025) acknowledges the “dual-edged” nature of growth, where export expansion and technological upgrading coexist with labour exclusion, erosion of cooperatives, and rising precarity (Pratheesh & Florence, 2024; Padath, 2025).

Across these strands, existing scholarship convincingly documents industrial growth, technological change, labour displacement, health risks, and institutional decline. However, labour is overwhelmingly treated as an economic variable, policy problem, or social vulnerability. The literature rarely conceptualizes work as a cultural practice through which communities transmit skills, construct identity, and sustain collective memory. This study addresses this gap by reinterpreting coir labour in Alappuzha as social memory, examining how the transformation of work constitutes not only economic restructuring but also a reconfiguration of meaning, dignity, and belonging.

3. Theoretical frame

This study is organised around the premise that labour is not merely a factor of production but a socially embedded practice through which communities produce meaning, continuity, and identity. Work is approached as a historically constituted activity in which skills, routines, and workplace relations function as carriers of collective experience. Within this perspective, coir labour in Alappuzha is understood as a form of social practice that has structured

everyday life, gendered roles, intergenerational knowledge, and community belonging (Pratheesh, 2025).

Industrial transformation is therefore interpreted not only as economic restructuring but as a reconfiguration of meaning. Technological change, spatial relocation of production, and institutional decline are read as processes that unsettle established forms of work, fragment integrated practices, and weaken the symbolic and moral foundations of labour (Pratheesh & Nair, 2022). The shift from household-based and cooperative production to mechanised and market-oriented regimes is treated as a transformation in how work is organised, experienced, and socially valued.

Central to this frame is the idea that labour practices encode memory in embodied skills, work rhythms, spatial arrangements, and shared norms of dignity. When such practices are marginalised or displaced, what is lost is not only employment but also a historically sedimented way of knowing and being. The coir industry in Alappuzha is thus analysed as a site where economic change intersects with cultural continuity, and where the erosion of traditional work forms represents a broader process of social forgetting.

All analytical sections of the article are organised around this lens. Patterns of mechanisation, displacement, and institutional restructuring are interpreted in terms of how they reshape everyday work, reconfigure occupational identities, and transform community-based meanings attached to labour. Rather than treating coir as a declining industry alone, the study reads it as a social world whose transformation reveals the tension between development-oriented rationalities and historically grounded forms of work and belonging.

4. Methodological note

The study is guided by a central research problem: how has the transformation of the coir industry in Alappuzha reconfigured labour not only as employment but as a socially meaningful practice through which memory, identity, and community were historically sustained? The primary objectives are to examine how traditional coir work was embedded in everyday social life, to analyse how technological change and market restructuring have altered work relations and occupational identities, and to understand how workers interpret, remember, and negotiate these changes.

To address these aims, the research adopts a qualitative, interpretive design that aligns with the theoretical emphasis on labour as social practice. Three mutually complementary sources of evidence are integrated. First, archival materials, policy documents, and historical accounts are used to reconstruct the institutional, spatial, and economic contexts within which coir production developed and later transformed. This provides the structural background necessary for interpreting change beyond individual experience.

Second, existing empirical studies on modernization, displacement, health, gendered labour, cooperatives, and export restructuring are systematically reviewed to identify dominant patterns of continuity and rupture in the industry. These sources establish the material conditions—technological shifts, employment contraction, and institutional weakening—within which meanings of work are reconfigured.

Third, qualitative fieldwork was conducted in selected coir-producing localities in and around Alappuzha through semi-structured interviews and informal conversations with workers, cooperative members, and local stakeholders. These narratives were not treated as illustrative anecdotes but as interpretive data through which social meanings of work are articulated. Data were thematically analysed, with attention to skill transmission, workplace relations, dignity of labour, experiences of displacement, and memories of earlier production practices.

Methodologically, the study is designed to complement the theoretical frame: documentary analysis captures structural transformation, while worker narratives reveal how such changes are experienced, remembered, and culturally processed. This triangulation enables the analysis to move beyond outcome-based indicators such as productivity or employment levels and instead examine how industrial change reshapes social memory, occupational identity, and community life. The results and analysis sections are therefore organised around this integrated framework, interpreting economic restructuring through its effects on meaning, belonging, and the lived moral worlds of labour in Alappuzha.

5. Results and analysis

This section presents the findings of the study in relation to the central research problem: how the transformation of the coir industry in Alappuzha has reconfigured labour not only as employment but as a socially meaningful practice embedded in memory, identity, and community life. Rather than treating change as a purely economic outcome, the analysis interprets patterns of technological restructuring, displacement, and institutional decline through their effects on work practices, occupational identities, and the cultural continuity of labour. The results are organised thematically, moving from transformations in the organisation of work to their implications for skills, dignity, and collective memory.

5.1 Reconfiguration of work and the erosion of traditional labor practices

The first and most visible transformation concerns how coir work is organised and experienced. Field narratives, documentary evidence, and secondary studies converge in showing that production has shifted from integrated, household-based and cooperative modes to mechanised, segmented systems. This section analyses how this reorganisation has altered the meaning of work by fragmenting skills, weakening social relations within production, and marginalising practices that once carried shared memory and identity.

Table 1. Transformation of coir work in Alappuzha: Organization, skills, and social meaning

Dimension	Traditional Production Regime	Mechanised / Reorganised Regime	Social Implication
Organisation of work	Household units, cooperatives, community-based production	Factory units, machine-centred processes, outsourcing	Work detached from community life
Skill structure	Multi-stage craft skills; workers involved from fibre extraction to finished goods	Task specialisation; machine operation	Loss of holistic skill and embodied knowledge
Labour autonomy	Worker control over pace and method of production	Standardised operations, machine-led rhythm	Reduced agency and decision-making
Gendered roles	Women central to spinning and weaving; work integrated with domestic space	Limited access to mechanised units; exclusion of older women	Intensified vulnerability and occupational exit
Social relations at work	Collective routines, mutual dependence, shared norms	Individualised tasks, weakened solidarities	Erosion of occupational community
Meaning of work	Source of dignity, identity, and intergenerational continuity	Instrumental employment or residual activity	Decline of labour as social memory

The findings demonstrate that the transformation of coir production in Alappuzha is not merely a technological or organisational shift but a reconstitution of the social meaning of work. Under earlier production regimes, labour was embedded in domestic spaces, cooperative

structures, and everyday community life. Workers exercised control over multiple stages of production, allowing skills to be transmitted across generations and reinforcing a shared sense of dignity and occupational identity. Coir work functioned as a social practice through which collective memory was sustained.

In contrast, the mechanised and reorganised regime fragments production into specialised tasks governed by machine rhythms. Workers' roles are narrowed to operational functions, significantly reducing autonomy and weakening interdependence among workers (Pratheesh, 2021a). This fragmentation has cultural consequences: the disappearance of holistic craft knowledge undermines the continuity of skills as lived traditions, while the relocation of work from households and cooperatives to factory spaces detaches labour from community life.

The gendered impact of this shift is particularly significant. Women, who historically constituted the core of spinning and weaving, face structural exclusion due to skill mismatches, age barriers, and limited access to mechanised units. For many, this represents not only job loss but the erasure of a socially valued identity rooted in work. Consequently, labour ceases to operate as a carrier of memory and becomes a precarious or residual activity.

Overall, this segment establishes that the decline of traditional coir practices involves a transformation in how work is experienced and remembered. The erosion of integrated labour forms marks a shift from work as a culturally embedded way of life to work as a detached economic function. This finding provides the analytical foundation for the subsequent sections, which examine how displacement, institutional weakening, and worker narratives further illuminate the loss of labour as social memory in Alappuzha.

5.2 Displacement, mobility, and the reconstitution of occupational identity

The reorganisation of production in Alappuzha has been accompanied by large-scale labour displacement and altered mobility patterns that extend beyond the loss of jobs. Workers' narratives and institutional records indicate that the decline of household units and cooperatives, combined with the relocation of production to neighbouring regions, has fragmented long-standing occupational pathways. For many workers, particularly those with skills tied to traditional processes, exit from coir work has been involuntary rather than transitional. Employment opportunities in mechanised units require technical competencies and age profiles that exclude a substantial segment of the existing workforce, producing what is experienced locally as occupational closure rather than labour mobility.

Displacement has also been spatial (Pratheesh & Nair, 2021). The migration of production bases to other states has reconfigured the geography of work, converting Alappuzha from a production hub into a peripheral node within a wider supply chain (Pratheesh & Nair, 2021a). This spatial shift weakens the embeddedness of labour in place: work is no longer organised within neighbourhoods, canal-side settlements, and cooperative spaces that once integrated production with social life. As a result, the relationship between occupation and locality—central to workers' sense of belonging—has been disrupted. Workers who remain in the region increasingly depend on irregular, low-intensity engagements or exit the sector altogether, often into informal or casual employment that bears little continuity with their previous skills.

The social consequences of displacement are unevenly distributed. Women, older workers, and those with craft-specific competencies face the steepest barriers to re-entry (Florence & Pratheesh, 2024). Where traditional coir work once provided stable if modest livelihoods embedded in household and community routines, the new regime offers limited points of access. For many, this has meant not merely income loss but the erosion of a socially recognised role. Work that once conferred identity—being a spinner, weaver, or cooperative member—has been replaced by occupational ambiguity or dependency on precarious alternatives. The reconstitution

of occupational identity is therefore marked by a shift from craft-based self-definition to a condition of uncertain attachment to work.

At the institutional level, weakening cooperatives and shrinking collective production have further accelerated this transformation (Pratheesh, 2021). Cooperative spaces historically mediated employment, training, and mutual support; their contraction has removed a key mechanism through which displaced workers might have retained continuity with the industry. Without such intermediating structures, labour adjustment is individualized, and displacement is experienced as personal failure rather than as a systemic outcome of industrial restructuring. This individualisation of risk contributes to the fading of collective narratives that once framed coir work as a shared livelihood.

Taken together, these patterns indicate that displacement in Alappuzha is not simply a matter of labour reallocation. It entails a redefinition of what it means to be a coir worker, severing the link between skill, place, and identity. As work exits community spaces and re-enters as distant, mechanised, or inaccessible employment, the social memory embedded in occupational practice is weakened. The transformation of mobility—from locally rooted continuity to forced exit and precarious substitution—thus represents a fundamental shift in how labour is lived, remembered, and valued.

5.3 Institutions, cooperatives, and the fragmentation of collective work

The transformation of coir labour in Alappuzha has been accompanied by a parallel weakening of institutional structures that historically sustained collective work. Cooperative societies, small production units, and community-based arrangements once functioned not only as mechanisms of employment but also as social spaces where skills were shared, work norms negotiated, and occupational identities reproduced. The contraction of these institutions under conditions of market restructuring and technological upgrading has fundamentally altered how labour is organised and socially experienced.

Evidence from field narratives and policy records indicates that many cooperatives have either ceased operations or shifted toward limited, mechanised production with reduced labour absorption. Where cooperatives once provided relatively stable work, mediated access to markets, and buffered workers from volatility, their present role is increasingly residual. This institutional decline has disrupted long-standing networks of mutual dependence among workers, replacing collective coordination with individualized employment relations. As a result, labour is no longer embedded in shared organisational frameworks that enabled collective bargaining, informal training, and intergenerational continuity of practice.

The erosion of cooperative structures has also transformed the moral economy of work. Earlier forms of production were governed by norms of reciprocity, shared responsibility, and recognition of skill acquired through experience rather than formal certification. These norms shaped how workers evaluated effort, fairness, and dignity. In contrast, the contemporary production environment privileges standardisation, output metrics, and machine compatibility, marginalising experiential knowledge that cannot be easily codified or integrated into mechanised systems. Institutional spaces that once validated such knowledge have diminished, leaving workers with few avenues through which to assert the value of their labour beyond immediate productivity.

This institutional fragmentation further intensifies the individualisation of risk. Without cooperative mediation, workers confront market fluctuations, technological displacement, and employment uncertainty as isolated actors. The disappearance of collective platforms also reduces opportunities for skill transmission and shared learning, accelerating the erosion of embodied practices that historically anchored coir work within community life. For

younger generations, entry into the industry increasingly occurs through detached, short-term engagements rather than through apprenticeship within household or cooperative settings, weakening the continuity between past and present forms of labour.

Importantly, the decline of collective institutions has implications beyond employment. Cooperative spaces once functioned as sites where occupational identity was collectively produced and publicly recognised. Their contraction removes a key arena through which workers could articulate belonging to a shared labour tradition. What remains is a fragmented landscape in which work is experienced as episodic and instrumental, rather than as participation in a socially meaningful practice. In this context, institutional weakening contributes directly to the fading of labour as social memory: as organisational forms that once preserved and reproduced work cultures disappear, the histories embedded in everyday practice lose their social anchorage.

Overall, the restructuring of institutions in Alappuzha reveals that industrial transformation is not confined to production techniques or market orientation. It entails a reconfiguration of the social infrastructures through which labour was collectively organised, valued, and remembered. The fragmentation of cooperative and community-based work thus represents a critical dimension of how coir labour has been culturally and socially displaced in the contemporary period.

5.4 Gender, generation, and the disruption of intergenerational labor continuity

The transformation of coir production in Alappuzha has had uneven consequences across gender and generations, reshaping who participates in the industry and how labour knowledge is transmitted. Historically, women constituted the backbone of spinning, weaving, and household-based production, while skills were passed through familial and community networks that linked work with everyday domestic and social life. These arrangements enabled the continuity of labour practices not through formal training but through embodied learning, shared routines, and observation across generations.

Technological restructuring has weakened these pathways. Mechanised production systems prioritise speed, standardisation, and technical compatibility over experiential knowledge, reducing the relevance of skills developed within household and cooperative settings. Many women workers, particularly those trained in traditional processes, encounter structural barriers to participation in mechanised units due to skill mismatches, age constraints, and spatial relocation of work sites. As a result, exit from the industry is not primarily a matter of choice but of exclusion, disproportionately affecting those whose labour was once most central to production.

Generational discontinuity is equally pronounced. Younger workers are increasingly absent from traditional coir work, either because viable employment within the sector has narrowed or because entry points no longer exist within community-based production spaces. Where earlier generations acquired skills through apprenticeship within households or cooperatives, contemporary engagement tends to occur, if at all, through short-term or peripheral roles in mechanised units. This shift weakens the transmission of craft knowledge and disrupts the social reproduction of occupational identity. Coir work is no longer learned as a way of life but encountered, when it is encountered at all, as fragmented and instrumental labour.

The combined effect of gendered exclusion and generational withdrawal is the erosion of labour continuity as a social process (Pratheesh et al., 2024). Skills that once circulated through families, neighbourhoods, and cooperative networks are increasingly rendered obsolete or unrecognised within dominant production regimes. Workers' narratives frequently frame this loss not simply in terms of income or employment but as the disappearance of a meaningful

inheritance—of knowledge, pride, and belonging associated with work. For women in particular, the decline of household-based production severs the historical integration of labour and domestic life, reconfiguring both economic roles and social identities.

These shifts also alter how work is remembered. In the absence of sustained practice and intergenerational transmission, coir labour persists primarily as recollection rather than as living activity. What remains are narratives of past routines, tools, and collective rhythms that once structured everyday life but now lack institutional and economic support. Memory, in this context, is no longer reproduced through practice but through retrospective accounts of loss and displacement.

The gendered and generational restructuring of the workforce thus reveals a deeper dimension of industrial change. Beyond employment contraction or skill obsolescence, it signals a breakdown in the social processes through which labour traditions are sustained. As women's work is marginalised and younger generations disengage, coir ceases to function as a shared occupational culture and becomes instead a residual or symbolic reference. This transformation underscores that the decline of the industry in Alappuzha is not only a matter of economic transition but also a reconfiguration of how work, identity, and collective memory are socially reproduced.

5.5 Work, narrative, and the persistence of social memory

Although institutional decline, displacement, and technological restructuring have transformed the material conditions of coir production, workers' accounts reveal that labour continues to persist as a form of social memory. In interviews and informal conversations, coir work is repeatedly recalled not only as employment but as a moral and relational practice—structured by shared routines, embodied skills, and collective rhythms of everyday life. These narratives do not merely describe past work; they actively reconstruct its meaning in the present.

Workers frequently frame their experiences through contrasts between “earlier work” and “present conditions.” Descriptions of walking with spinning wheels, collective retting, shared production spaces, and cooperative routines function as mnemonic anchors that link labour to place, family, and community. Such recollections foreground values of mutual dependence, experiential knowledge, and dignity acquired through practice rather than certification. Even among those who have exited the industry, coir work remains central to self-understanding, indicating that occupational identity persists beyond material participation.

These narrative patterns demonstrate that memory is not simply retrospective but socially produced through storytelling, comparison, and evaluation. In recounting the erosion of traditional practices, workers do not present loss as individual misfortune alone but as a collective rupture. The decline of household units, the disappearance of cooperative spaces, and the inaccessibility of mechanised production are interpreted as the dismantling of a shared way of life. Memory thus becomes a critical resource through which workers interpret change, assign responsibility, and preserve the significance of their labour in the absence of institutional recognition.

Importantly, these narratives also reveal selective continuity. While material practices have been displaced, symbolic elements of work—such as pride in craft, identification with specific tasks, and moral claims about “real work”—are actively maintained. In this sense, social memory does not merely record what has vanished; it reorganises meaning under conditions of rupture. Workers' accounts convert embodied labour into narrative heritage, ensuring that coir remains a referent for dignity, belonging, and community even when the industry no longer provides stable livelihoods.

From a methodological standpoint, this demonstrates the value of qualitative narratives as more than descriptive supplements. They function as analytic sites where structural transformation is culturally processed. By thematically analysing how workers remember, compare, and morally evaluate past and present work, the study shows how labour is reconstituted as social memory. This confirms the study's central claim: that industrial change in Alappuzha must be understood not only through employment outcomes or institutional decline but through the ways in which work is remembered, narrated, and endowed with meaning in everyday life.

The persistence of memory in narrative form also reveals the limits of development-centred rationalities. Even as modernization renders traditional skills economically obsolete, workers' recollections preserve alternative valuations of labour grounded in experience, reciprocity, and community. The coir industry thus survives as a social memory long after its material foundations have been weakened. This continuity in meaning, despite discontinuity in practice, underscores that the transformation of coir in Alappuzha is as much a cultural and moral reordering as it is an economic one.

6. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that the transformation of the coir industry in Alappuzha cannot be understood solely in terms of productivity, employment decline, or institutional efficiency. Instead, the restructuring of production has reconfigured the social meaning of work itself. The shift from household and cooperative systems to mechanised, market-oriented regimes has not only fragmented labour processes but has also disrupted the cultural and relational foundations through which work was historically experienced as a shared way of life. What is at stake is therefore not merely occupational change but the erosion of labour as a socially embedded practice.

Across the results, technological reorganisation emerges as a key driver of this transformation. While mechanisation has enabled standardisation and export expansion, it has also narrowed the scope of skills, reduced worker autonomy, and detached production from community life. The replacement of integrated craft labour with segmented machine operations represents a fundamental shift in how work is valued: experiential knowledge and embodied skill give way to technical compatibility and output metrics. This transition explains why displacement is experienced not simply as job loss but as a loss of occupational identity. Workers' inability to re-enter the industry is shaped less by individual deficiency than by structural redefinition of what counts as legitimate labour.

The weakening of cooperatives and collective institutions further intensifies this process. Earlier organisational forms provided more than employment; they sustained norms of reciprocity, facilitated intergenerational learning, and publicly recognised the dignity of craft-based work. Their decline has individualised risk, fragmented labour relations, and removed spaces in which workers could negotiate meaning, rights, and belonging. As institutional mediation disappears, labour adjustment becomes a private burden rather than a shared social concern. This helps explain why restructuring is narrated locally as abandonment rather than transition.

Gendered and generational effects reveal how deeply these changes penetrate everyday life. Women, once central to production through household and cooperative arrangements, face systematic exclusion under mechanised regimes. Younger generations, encountering coir work only as peripheral or precarious activity, no longer inherit the skills or identities embedded in earlier production systems. Together, these dynamics interrupt the social reproduction of labour traditions, transforming coir from a lived occupational culture into a residual or symbolic reference. The industry's decline thus involves not only economic contraction

but also the breakdown of pathways through which work-based identities were historically sustained.

Most significantly, workers' narratives show that labour persists as social memory even after its material foundations have weakened. Accounts of past routines, tools, and collective rhythms do more than recall history; they actively reinterpret industrial change, assign moral value to work, and preserve alternative understandings of dignity and worth. Memory here is not passive remembrance but a cultural practice through which communities make sense of displacement and loss. By foregrounding these narratives, the study demonstrates that industrial restructuring is culturally processed, and that what development frameworks treat as obsolete continues to live on in social meaning.

These findings contribute to broader debates on industrial transition, labour heritage, and development. Much contemporary scholarship evaluates industrial change through indicators of growth, competitiveness, and technological adoption. While such measures capture economic outcomes, they overlook how work is embedded in social relations, identities, and moral worlds. This study shows that when labour practices are dismantled, what is displaced is not only employment but a historically sedimented form of knowledge and belonging. In this sense, the case of Alappuzha illustrates a wider pattern observable in traditional and community-based industries facing global restructuring: development produces not only efficiency but also cultural loss that remains analytically under-theorised.

The implications extend beyond the coir sector. Policies that prioritise modernization without engaging with the social organisation of work risk deepening marginalisation by rendering experiential knowledge invisible. The persistence of labour as social memory suggests that workers' attachments to craft, place, and collective identity cannot be addressed through reskilling or market integration alone. Without institutional spaces that recognise and incorporate these dimensions, industrial transformation is experienced as cultural dislocation rather than progress.

By interpreting coir labour through the lens of social memory, this study repositions industrial decline as a social and cultural rupture, not merely an economic adjustment. It highlights the need for analytical frameworks that account for how work produces meaning, how that meaning is disrupted by technological and institutional change, and how communities actively negotiate loss through memory and narrative. In doing so, the article contributes to an emerging body of work that treats labour heritage not as nostalgia, but as a critical site for understanding the human consequences of development and industrial transition.

7. Conclusion

This study has re-examined the coir industry of Alappuzha not simply as a sector in economic decline but as a social world in transformation. By analysing changes in work organisation, displacement, institutional weakening, and gendered and generational exclusion, it demonstrates that industrial restructuring has reconfigured labour from a socially embedded practice into a fragmented and increasingly marginal activity. What has been lost is not only employment but a historically constituted form of work through which identity, dignity, and community were sustained.

The findings show that technological upgrading and market integration, while enhancing productivity and export potential, have simultaneously eroded the cultural foundations of labour. Mechanisation narrows skills and detaches work from everyday social life; the decline of cooperatives dissolves collective structures of recognition; and gendered and generational shifts interrupt the transmission of embodied knowledge. Together, these processes transform coir from a lived occupational culture into a residual or symbolic reference.

Crucially, the study reveals that labour does not disappear with institutional decline. Through workers' narratives, coir persists as social memory—carried in recollections of practice, moral evaluations of work, and claims to dignity rooted in experience rather than certification. Memory thus becomes a site of continuity amid rupture, preserving meanings that economic frameworks render obsolete. This insight repositions industrial change as a cultural and social process as much as an आर्थिक or technical one.

By foregrounding labour as social memory, the article contributes a critical perspective to debates on development, heritage, and industrial transition. It argues that modernization policies that disregard the social organisation of work risk producing not only exclusion but also forms of cultural loss that remain unaccounted for in dominant assessments of progress. The case of Alappuzha suggests that sustaining livelihoods in traditional industries requires more than technological adaptation; it demands institutional arrangements that recognise embodied knowledge, collective identity, and the moral economies of labour.

Ultimately, this study calls for a rethinking of how industrial decline is understood and addressed. Coir in Alappuzha is not merely a fading industry but a repository of social meaning whose transformation reshapes how communities remember, value, and imagine work itself. Recognising this dimension is essential if development is to be evaluated not only by growth and efficiency, but by its capacity to preserve dignity, belonging, and the lived histories of labour.

Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The author declares no competing interests.

References

- KILE (Kerala Institute of Labour and Employment). (2016). *Current status of the coir industry in Kerala: A critique*. Thiruvananthapuram: Kerala Institute of Labour and Employment.
- Nair, B. B. (1993). *Living and working conditions of coir workers and their implications for health: A case study in Alleppey District* (M.Phil. dissertation). Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi.
- Florence, M. A., & Padath, P. (2024). Technological Modernization and its Challenges in Coir Industry in Alappuzha. *Journal of Social Sciences and Economics*, 3(2), 92–99. <https://doi.org/10.61363/cp35z418>
- Pratheesh, P. (2021). Modernization, Coir Worker & the Labour; a Case of Work Alienation. *IARJSET*, 8(9). <https://doi.org/10.17148/iarjset.2021.8905>
- Pratheesh, P. (2025a). Mechanization and market expansion: The Dual-Edged growth in India's COIR exports. In *BSSS Journal of Commerce: Vol. XVII* (Issue I, pp. 185–206).
- Pratheesh, P., Reema, M., & Florence, M. A. (2024). Addressing Unemployment Through the Coir Industry: An Analysis of National Efforts and Challenges. *Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences*, 22(9), 107–119. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjass/2024/v22i9579>
- Padath, P. (2025b). HUMAN TRAFFICKING, LAW AND SYSTEMIC GAPS [Journal-article]. *Indian Journal of Integrated Research in Law*, V(III), 1778–1780. <https://ijirl.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/HUMAN-TRAFFICKING-LAW-AND-SYSTEMIC-GAPS.pdf>

- Pratheesh, P. (2025b). Reframing Kerala's Archaeological Horizons within the Indian Ocean and Southeast Asian Maritime World. *SunText Review of Arts & Social Sciences*, 6–2, 196. <https://doi.org/10.51737/2766-4600.2025.096>
- Pratheesh, P. (2025). Peripheral Industrialization and Colonial Modernity: Coir Industry, Labour and Urban Transformation in Alappuzha. *HISTORICAL: Journal of History and Social Sciences*, 4(4), 486–507. <https://doi.org/10.58355/historical.v4i4.223>
- Padath, P. (2025a). Industrialization and Urban Growth: The History of Alappuzha through Coir industry. *Open Journal for Studies in History*, 8(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.32591/coas.ojsh.0801.01001p>
- Pratheesh, P. (2025c). The role of local policy frameworks in tourism growth in Alappuzha. In *BSSS Journal of Management: Vol. XVI* (Issue I, pp. 191–206).
- Pratheesh, P., & Nair, N. G. (2022). Performance of Coir Industry in Alappuzha and the Case of Labour Displacement. *HuSS International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences*, 9(2), 65. <https://doi.org/10.15613/hijrh/2022/v9i2/218192>
- Pratheesh, P., & Gopakumaran Nair, N. (2021). Evolution of coir industry in Alappuzha. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Cycle Research*, 651–652.
- Pratheesh, P. (2021a). PRESENT STATUS OF COIR INDUSTRY IN ALAPPUZHA, A STUDY ON HOUSEHOLD COIR UNITS [Journal-article]. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT)*, 9(8), 79–80. <https://www.ijert.org>
- Pratheesh, P. (2021). Modernization, Coir Worker & the Labour; A Case of Work Alienation. *IARJSET*, 8(9). <https://doi.org/10.17148/iarjset.2021.8905>
- P., P., Gopakumaran Nair, N., (2021). Changing portfolio of COIR workers; impact of modernisation. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR INNOVATIVE RESEARCH IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY FIELD*, 7(8), 81. <https://WWW.IJIRMF.COM>
- Pratheesh, P., Reema, M., & Francis, Z. (2024). Investigating Gender and Gender Identity; Views of Undergraduate Students on Gender Roles and their Value System. *Shanlax International Journal of Arts Science and Humanities*, 11(S1-June), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v11i1-june.7795>



The Yeshiva as a Social-Cultural Institution: A Comparison Between the Lithuanian and Eastern Models in the 18th-19th Centuries

Shai Danino

South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Faculty of Philosophy, Blagoevgrad, BULGARIA

Received: 18 January 2026 ▪ Revised: 15 June 2026 ▪ Accepted: 8 July 2026

Abstract

Since the Middle Ages, the yeshiva has served as a center for spiritual, educational, and leadership life in Judaism. Over the generations, different learning trajectories and organizational structures have crystallized. This work compares two major traditions—the Ashkenazi (Lithuanian) and the Sephardi (Eastern)—with emphasis on the 18th and 19th centuries and central examples: the Volozhin Yeshiva as representative of the Lithuanian tradition and Midrash Beit Zilkha as representative of the Eastern tradition. Lithuanian yeshivas tended toward institutional autonomy, intensive emphasis on Talmudic study through deep analysis (iyun) and comprehensive knowledge (bekiut), and an institutionalized organizational structure that supported the cultivation of “Talmudic excellence.” The Lithuanian model led to a pedagogical focus on independent study, chavruta learning (study in pairs), and in-depth lectures. In contrast, Eastern yeshivas displayed institutional flexibility, content pluralism (Talmud, Bible, philosophy, and sometimes sciences), community involvement, and enhanced economic support. These models integrated practical training for community roles. The differences stemmed from cultural and historical contexts: different attitudes toward the secular environment, the nature of funding, and social structure. The work concludes that the yeshiva is not a static institution—both traditions contributed differently to shaping the educational and leadership identity of the Jewish people, and each offered advantages and disadvantages relative to the social and economic needs of its time. This work positions the yeshiva as a living institution requiring balance between tradition and change.

Keywords: Jewish “yeshiva”, Jewish education, Lithuanian Jewish community, Jewish studies, Jewish history.

1. Introduction

The yeshiva has long been a central institution in the spiritual, educational, and leadership life of the Jewish people. From its role as a place for Torah study and its function as an institution for religious rulings and community—the yeshiva has evolved according to changing times, places, and varying social and religious needs. This work surveys the yeshiva institution in two traditions—the Ashkenazi Lithuanian and the Sephardi Eastern—with emphasis on the 18th and 19th centuries, examining Lithuanian yeshivas versus Eastern yeshivas.

For the purpose of comparison, central examples are presented, foremost among them the Volozhin Yeshiva as representative of the Ashkenazi Lithuanian tradition and Midrash Beit

Zilkha as representative of the Sephardi Eastern tradition, while analyzing organizational history, pedagogy, curriculum content, and the yeshiva's role in the community.

1.1 The yeshiva in Jewish tradition: Between teaching, learning, and spiritual leadership

The foundations for educating the younger generation among the Jewish people were laid as early as the Mishnaic and Talmudic periods. In these sources, guidelines appear regarding the age for beginning studies and the timing of transitions between learning stages. It was customary to begin learning around age five, and various ordinances established that the obligation to study applied to all boys at least until bar mitzvah age. After completing elementary studies, most children were sent to help support the family. However, a minority—primarily sons of rabbis and children from well-established families—continued to advanced studies at the yeshiva (Turniansky, 2010).

1.2 Sources and meaning of the term “yeshiva” in Jewish literature

The term “yeshiva” appears in ancient Jewish literature. The Torah recounts that before Jacob descended to Egypt, he sent Judah “ahead to Joseph, to direct him to Goshen.” The Midrash interprets the phrase “to direct him” as establishing a house of study in Goshen. The concept of “beit Talmud” (house of study) developed two primary meanings: the first—“beit hora’ah” (house of instruction)—a supreme council of sages dealing with matters of halakha, public leadership, ethics, and law, which functioned as a court. This was the original meaning of “yeshiva,” where senior students participated in court deliberations as part of their studies. The second meaning—“beit limud” (house of learning)—a place dedicated to Torah study, as expressed in terms such as metivta, beit midrash, and chavura (study group). Already in the Middle Ages, the term “yeshiva” was used in both these senses (Mills, 2019).

1.3 The role and development of the yeshiva

Over the generations, the term “yeshiva” came to refer primarily to a group of students studying before a teacher within an established framework. Learning took place in synagogues or dedicated rooms. In Eastern lands, places of study were called “midrash” or “beit midrash.” The yeshiva’s activity centered on the rosh yeshiva (dean) and the scholars who studied with him at defined hours during the day, accompanied by their students. The rabbis prepared students for discussions held within the yeshiva. The rosh yeshiva would open the discussion on a topic, and the students would participate in the debate. At the conclusion, the rosh yeshiva would summarize the discussion. Additionally, the yeshiva served as the community’s supreme decisor institution, with rabbis issuing halakhic rulings after joint deliberations with students and scholars. In this way, the yeshiva became a center both for Torah study and for spiritual and legal leadership (Mills, 2019; Galily, 2019).

1.4 Yeshivas in the Ashkenazi and Sephardi worlds in different periods

During the Middle Ages, yeshivas in Spain and Ashkenaz (Germany and France) were characterized by significant differences in study methods and intellectual approaches. In Ashkenaz, a dominant learning approach prevailed that focused primarily on the Talmud, pushing other subjects to the margins. Bible study was rare and not considered essential. In contrast, yeshivas in Spain engaged not only in Talmud study but also in biblical commentary, Hebrew grammar, philosophy, and poetry composition. This approach was pluralistic and included

interest in external fields of knowledge such as medicine and scientific methodologies. Spanish sages encouraged their students to engage in additional areas of wisdom (Feldchi, Rebecca et al., 1999).

The historical difference between the regions stemmed from different cultural contexts. Ashkenazi Jews lived in a world of sometimes hostile Christian culture, leading to reluctance toward intensive contact with the surrounding environment. By contrast, in Muslim Spain, scholars enjoyed more open dialogue with the regional culture. This possibility led to the assimilation of new ideas and the development of diverse learning approaches. The influence of Islamic culture facilitated the adoption of experiments in various scientific fields, enriching the curricula in Spanish yeshivas (Breuer, 1999).

Organizational and educational characteristics of both traditions revealed additional differences. In Ashkenazi lands, yeshivas were typically small; yeshiva heads received no salary and positions were inherited. The academic atmosphere was intimate, with open discussions and opportunities for students to debate with their teachers. The nature of study tended toward deep interpretation of the text. In Spain, however, yeshivas enjoyed community support and salaried positions for their heads, and they held greater public and educational standing (Mills, 2019).

After the expulsion from Spain in the 15th century, many Jews who left carried with them the patterns of study, organization, and institutionalization of the Sephardi yeshiva to Eastern lands. This tradition was integrated into Eastern learning frameworks and became the foundation for the development of Eastern yeshivas in subsequent periods.

The nineteenth century marked a turning point. The growth of Lithuanian yeshivas led to the organization of yeshivas as institutions separate from the community, sometimes in dedicated study buildings, creating a separation between the yeshiva and local community life. During this period, yeshivas were attended primarily by young unmarried men. A distinction emerged between “yeshiva” and “beit midrash”: yeshivas became independent institutions distinct from the community, while batei midrash remained connected to the communal sphere and served married men. Nevertheless, in both frameworks, a system of independent study according to the learners’ desires was practiced. Alongside this, community yeshivas continued to exist throughout Europe, and the yeshiva continued to develop as a central institution in Torah study and spiritual leadership (Mills, 2019).

2. The Lithuanian yeshiva in the 18th and 19th centuries

In the 18th and 19th centuries, the form of the Lithuanian yeshiva crystallized in several centers in Latvia, Lithuania, and the Russian Empire. It tended toward an elitist and aristocratic character and relied on the model of central yeshivas. These institutions were characterized by a complex organizational structure and a focus on academic excellence in the field of Talmud. Yeshiva leadership was based on a rosh yeshiva of distinguished Torah standing, supported by a group of emissaries responsible for fundraising and a treasurer who managed financial affairs. The yeshivas sometimes operated in tension with the local community; for their maintenance, they relied on external funding from diaspora communities.

The student population consisted primarily of young men who dedicated their time to study. In large yeshivas, enrollment sometimes ranged between 150 and 250 students, and occasionally reached 400-500 students. The age range of students spanned from 13 to 25 years. Learning proceeded within defined study periods throughout the year, with the Babylonian Talmud serving as the central study material. The pedagogical character tended toward individual study and a model of self-directed learning. The rosh yeshiva’s general lectures were considered focal points for deepening understanding and cultivating sharp analytical thinking; often attendance at these lectures was not mandatory. Status hierarchies within the yeshiva were

determined based on the level of breadth and depth of Talmudic knowledge, and outstanding students received special standing among the learning community (Stampfer, 1981).

3. The Volozhin Yeshiva: Founder of the Lithuanian tradition

The Volozhin Yeshiva is considered the mother of Lithuanian yeshivas. The characteristics of its study methods and teaching style served as the foundation for many Lithuanian yeshivas established in its wake. Although subsequent yeshivas developed unique emphases and differences, the foundational principles of Lithuanian learning were forged at the Volozhin Yeshiva, which was established by Rabbi Chaim Itzkowitz of Volozhin. An in-depth examination of the Volozhin Yeshiva and the principles that characterized its activity reflects the nature of the Lithuanian yeshivas that developed in its wake.

3.1 *The central goal: Torah study*

The establishment of the yeshiva in Volozhin by Rabbi Chaim Itzkowitz (hereinafter “R. Chaim”) in 1802 was a formative event in the Lithuanian Torah world and a response to the religious, social, and ideological crises of the period. The Volozhin Yeshiva was characterized by recognition of Torah study as a value in itself—engagement in Torah as an independent goal rather than as a means to obtaining a position or practical training. The yeshiva was founded to enable an intensive and continuous learning framework, day and night, and to combat the decline in study that characterized the preceding period.

The institution was intended to ensure the continuity of the Torah world as a central way of life, as well as to serve as an ideological stronghold against Haskalah (Enlightenment) movements that undermined the importance of Talmud and analytical study, and even against early Hasidic models that might diminish the value of deep learning. R. Chaim emphasized the need for thorough and consistent study rooted in fear of Heaven (Etkes, 1998).

3.2 *Organizational model of independence*

The Volozhin Yeshiva was considered an institution of supreme importance in the Jewish world, one that did not rely exclusively on local support. Already in the yeshiva’s founding stages, R. Chaim worked to secure support from broader sources, thereby distinguishing it from community yeshivas and batei midrash that were based on local funding. The establishment of a separate and organized building for the yeshiva—unlike activity in the community beit midrash—symbolized a transition from recognition as a “study group” to the status of a permanent and autonomous “public-Torah institution.”

The physical separation of the institution made it possible to establish an appropriate logistical framework, including dormitories for students, thereby facilitating their complete dedication to study without livelihood concerns. This economic independence enabled the yeshiva to provide socio-economic support to students and invest in profound educational activity. This framework was considered essential for imparting depth of learning and creating a select class of Torah scholars. The detachment from the local community allowed the yeshiva to grow and train rabbis and leaders to serve communities throughout the Jewish world. In this way, Volozhin became a supra-communal Torah center, and R. Chaim was perceived as a super-rabbinic figure who strengthened the yeshiva's central standing and its detachment from organic dependence on the Volozhin community (Stampfer, 2005).

3.3 Student characteristics

The student body at the Volozhin Yeshiva represented a unique and select stratum of Torah learners, constituting the learning elite of their generation and distinguishing themselves from learners in the regular traditional framework. Many of the students were “outsiders” in the sense that they came from communities outside the city. The yeshiva did not rely solely on local students, and recruitment focused on young students who had already excelled in relatively high Torah ability. Admission requirements included substantial Torah proficiency, while at the same time students were required to demonstrate absolute diligence within an intensive and organized study regimen, according to R. Chaim’s directives (Stampfer, 2005).

The increase in student numbers stemmed from Volozhin’s unique standing as a supra-communal Torah institution and from the guarantee of a high and organized level of study. R. Chaim and the roshai yeshiva who came after him developed pedagogical emphases and analytical teaching methods that created spiritual attraction for the yeshiva and established its status as supreme Torah authority. Students aspired to study under this Torah leadership in order to acquire high-level intellectual-spiritual training, motivated by aspiration for Torah excellence (Etkes, Emanuel et al., 2006).

3.4 Study arrangements

The study arrangements at the Volozhin Yeshiva were designed by R. Chaim with the goal of creating an educational framework that would train Torah scholars at a high level. The study arrangements were characterized by rigor, depth, and exceptional intensity, and constituted a significant innovation compared to previously existing institutions. The study sessions (“sedarim”) demanded absolute diligence and complete devotion to Torah from the students. The yeshiva functioned as a major learning center where intensive and organized studies were conducted: a regular study day continued into late evening study after the evening prayer—until the early evening hours—and in a night session that sometimes lasted until midnight. Morning prayers were set at a relatively late hour to allow for continued nighttime study (Etkes, Emanuel et al., 2006).

3.5 Fields of study

Academic activity at the Volozhin Yeshiva centered around sacred studies, particularly around the Babylonian Talmud, which was the central material. The method that developed at the yeshiva combined two central themes: “iyun”—deep and systematic study of the Talmudic text—and “bekiut”—broad and comprehensive knowledge and mastery of the Talmud. Study took place mostly in chavrutot (paired study) and in small study groups. The exclusive emphasis on sacred studies and the decisive rejection of secular studies characterized the yeshiva’s position. This opposition to implementing external enlightenment studies, including government demands to introduce languages or civic subjects, led to confrontations with the authorities and the yeshiva’s closure in 1892, when the yeshiva leadership refused to compromise on its Torah format (Stampfer, 2005).

3.6 Study method and pedagogical innovation

The pedagogy at the Volozhin Yeshiva relied heavily on the principle of independent and intensive study; independent learning through chavrutot received central standing in the educational process. The scope and nature of independent study were so prominent that it was sometimes claimed students were not obligated to attend the rosh yeshiva’s general lecture. This

lecture served primarily for guidance and presenting a guiding line, while most of the time was devoted to independent study. The innovation was not limited only to the number of hours, but also to the institutionalization of the framework and the establishment of strict and uniform halakhic-pedagogical requirements, in contrast to the practices of local batei midrash that preceded them. Thus, the system became binding and structured (Stampfer, 1981).

Later, a methodological innovation was introduced into the learning stream by Rabbi Chaim Soloveitchik of Brisk, who emphasized deep analytical study and precise distinction between reasoning and halakhic conceptual clarity. This methodology became central to study methods, although its lectures were not intended to be universally mandatory for all students—each student chose whether to participate in them at the designated hours. Nevertheless, independent and personal study remained the foundation of Torah training at the yeshiva. The study arrangements at Volozhin created a rigorous, intensive, and innovative framework that combined *iyun* and *bekiut* and kept external distractions at bay (Stampfer, 2005).

3.7 Classroom dynamics and relationship with the rosh yeshiva

In Lithuanian yeshivas, including Volozhin, the rosh yeshiva's general lecture was not characterized by one-directional lecturing or routine preaching; its features were distinguished by active student participation and intellectual debate. Students raised difficulties, made arguments, and attempted to test the rosh yeshiva's words; academic success was sometimes measured by a student's ability to convincingly refute or challenge the rosh yeshiva's position. During lectures, students displayed intellectual aggression toward the teacher, and sometimes exhibited a stance of non-submission or lack of overt reverence toward the rosh yeshiva—a phenomenon that characterized the freedom of thought and dialectical intensity in the educational system (Etkes, Emanuel et al., 2006).

3.8 Fundamental differences from other traditional learning frameworks

The Volozhin Yeshiva presented a significant innovation that distinguished it from traditional local batei midrash in several central aspects:

1. Institutional and organizational structure

While local batei midrash were integrated into the community fabric and operated with relative flexibility, Volozhin was an autonomous and institutionalized establishment with a centralized and intensive learning framework. The physical and organizational separation of the yeshiva enabled the establishment of regular study routines, rigorous pedagogical regimens, and functioning as a supra-communal educational center.

2. Economic independence and social status

The Volozhin Yeshiva positioned itself as an institution independent of local funding, thanks to the collection of diverse donations. This support enabled it to function as a supra-communal educational enterprise and to provide its students with socio-economic support, thereby granting them freedom from livelihood concerns and ongoing community involvement—a status that conferred upon them exclusivity as the learning elite.

3. Educational goals and study methods

Volozhin aspired to train an elite class of Torah scholars and great Torah authorities, while rejecting secular studies and insisting on an especially high level of study. Its study arrangements were systematic, rigorous, and binding—characteristics that did not always exist in

traditional batei midrash—following from an emphasis on deep analytical study, comprehensive knowledge, and pedagogical systematicity.

4. The Sephardi-Eastern yeshiva

4.1 *Characteristics of the Sephardi-Eastern yeshiva*

Sephardi-Eastern yeshivas that operated in Mediterranean and Middle Eastern communities from the 14th through the 20th centuries were characterized by unique features, particularly during the late Ottoman period. In the Eastern sphere, the term “yeshiva” typically did not indicate a formal and organized institution but rather a gathering of Torah scholars for study; they were often called “assemblies of sages.” Many of the yeshivas were freed from an institutionalized community framework and operated as private bodies supported by wealthy benefactors, who provided books, stipends, and economic support to the scholars, instead of the local community bearing these expenses. A central goal of many yeshivas was to ease the economic situation of scholars' families in order to enable focus on study.

Study content was pluralistic and included, alongside Talmud, Midrash, Hebrew grammar, philosophical works, Bible, philosophy, poetry, astronomy, and other sciences. Activity took place in a flexible format—sometimes in private homes—and study occurred during limited hours per day or on some days of the week. In late Ottoman Jerusalem, different types of yeshivas existed: yeshivas for distinguished Torah scholars; “householders’ yeshivas” for reading Psalms, Zohar, Midrash, and commentary on the Shulchan Aruch; yeshivas for studying Kabbalah such as “Beit El”; and communal yeshivas that catered to specific groups, such as those from Aleppo and Baghdad (Ben-Porat, 1973; Mills, 2019).

4.2 *Study methods and the iyun system*

The iyun (analytical) method developed in Spain was central to these yeshivas. Its primary characteristics—thorough depth in Talmudic topics to understand their language and reasoning—emphasized systematic and deep study while avoiding rapid learning. The method included focused use of logical tools and interpretive categories for analyzing arguments, identifying hidden assumptions, and distinguishing between different modes of reasoning. Emphasis was placed on developing independent interpretive ability: the learner did not settle for acquiring knowledge by rote but was required to formulate conclusions, examine arguments, and develop independent interpretive contemplation.

The methodology relied on clear rules and a fixed study order designed to promote slow and systematic progress and deepen understanding over time. Sometimes students were required to examine topics independently and present their interpretation before the group; the teacher commented, guided, and directed, and occasionally incorporated practice in formulating a “legal ruling” as an exercise in crystallizing halakhic conclusions. According to tradition, halakhic study focused on the final stage of the learning track—after deep understanding of the topic, students proceeded to codified law (Mills, 2019).

4.3 *Organizational and pedagogical characteristics*

In most Islamic lands, yeshivas operated as flexible gatherings of Torah scholars—sometimes several hours per day or a weekly meeting. However, there were also institutions that adopted more organized models: division into classes according to student level, a systematic teaching structure encouraging independent thinking, and learning in classes according to levels of proficiency. Practical training included halakhic studies and professional skills required for

religious-communal services such as rabbinate, religious judgeship, ritual slaughter, circumcision, and cantorial duties. On the margins of activity, some openness to secular studies was evident, though these were mostly studied at a basic level and in the lower classes (Mills, 2019).

4.4 Student population

The yeshivas included diverse populations: Torah scholars (avrechim), householders, and later also broader audiences. Torah scholars mostly operated in private frameworks supported by benefactors, who provided for their families' sustenance and the continuity of their engagement in study. Many yeshivas were intended for training in sacred roles such as rabbinate and religious judgeship (Ben-Porat, 1973). Additionally, yeshivas open to the public catered to householders and the general public and focused on reading Psalms, Zohar, and Midrash.

In advanced frameworks—for example, the General Sephardi Yeshiva—modern organizational models were implemented: division into departments and classes according to levels of proficiency, from the upper department for advanced students to a smaller yeshiva for younger students. This type of organization enabled the provision of Torah education tailored to students' levels and the creation of clear advancement tracks (Ben-Naeh, 2010).

4.5 The yeshiva's place in the community

In the late Ottoman period, many yeshivas operated as private frameworks supported by benefactors rather than directly by the community. Their explicit function was to provide economic support to scholars and enable them to dedicate time to study without livelihood concerns (Ben-Porat, 1973; Mills, 2019). Simultaneously, yeshivas became training centers for key community figures—rabbis, religious judges, ritual circumcisers, ritual slaughterers, cantors, and scribes of sacred texts—and spiritual centers where Torah compositions and legal rulings were written. Some yeshivas bore an open character for the public, such as “householders’ yeshivas,” where lessons and sermons were held adapted also for popular audiences (Ben-Naeh, 2010; Ben-Porat, 1973; Mills, 2019). The yeshivas were an integral part of the fabric of local life—educational, social, and religious—linking study to livelihood, strengthening community ties and a sense of belonging and mutual responsibility.

5. Representative model: “Midrash Beit Zilkha”

Midrash “Beit Zilkha” in Baghdad was a prominent institution in the Jewish landscape of Iraq in the 19th century and early 20th century. Its uniqueness lay in the combination of diverse learning tracks within a single compound, alongside providing social and economic support to learners. This model provides deep understanding of teaching patterns, organization, and community life in Eastern yeshivas.

The institution's development is connected to the figure of Rabbi Abdullah Somekh. The beit midrash began as a “Torah study center” through local initiatives and with the help of benefactors: in 1840, the benefactor Yehezkel ben-Reuven Menashe purchased a courtyard and established “Midrash Abu Menashi” for Rabbi Somekh's students. Later, after expansion of the compound with the help of Ezra Zilkha, its name was changed to “Midrash Beit Zilkha.” The donor family continued to support the institution; thousands of books were purchased and additional rooms and floors were built that increased the scope of activity (Ben-Yaakov, 1965; Mills, 2019; Sadon, 2002).

Beit Zilkha operated as a complex compound where ten to twelve small yeshivas functioned simultaneously, each headed by a sage or junior rosh yeshiva responsible for a group

of young men. At the head of the system stood Rabbi Somekh as spiritual leader, halakhic decisor, and supervisor of teaching programs; under him operated teachers who ran small yeshivas, some of whom later became heads of independent yeshivas (Ben-Yaakov, 1965; Shabbat, 2016).

Study was divided into morning and evening tracks: some yeshivas focused on Talmud at a high level during morning hours until noon, while others continued with halakhic studies in the afternoon and evening lessons. In additional classes—from age 12 to 20—Talmud study took place regularly on five days of the week; in certain tracks, lessons were also held at night and on Shabbat (Ben-Yaakov, 1965; Shabbat, 2016).

The composition of learners was diverse: alongside rabbis and religious judges, the study halls were used by scholars, businessmen, and merchants who studied in pairs and sometimes even stayed overnight on the premises to continue learning. The combination of professional occupation with Torah commitment created a communal atmosphere of continuous study (Twena, 1979).

The curriculum at Beit Zilkha included in-depth study of the Talmud, Chumash (Pentateuch) with Rashi's commentary, and practical halakhot. The teaching method focused on deep analytical study, active discussions, and composition of books of Talmudic innovations; emphasis was placed on deep textual engagement and deepening the ability for halakhic inference (Ben-Yaakov, 1965; Mills, 2019).

Beit Zilkha also served as a local judicial center: judges regularly adjudicated matters of personal status. The legal disputes, which sometimes disrupted the study routine, led to the relocation of the rabbinical court to an adjacent compound in 1922—a step that facilitated study life and separated judicial activity from educational activity (Twena, 1979).

In practical terms, the yeshiva provided social and economic assistance to its students—especially to the poor and orphans—through hot meals, clothing, economic support, and assistance in arranging marriages. Local donors served as the pillars of support enabling prolonged study (Sadon, 2002; Shabbat, 2016).

Beit Zilkha expanded in the 19th century: during peak periods, dozens of yeshivas operated within it with several hundred to thousands of students. According to one source, approximately 2,300 students studied there in 1920; other sources indicate extensive activity as early as 1869, when ten yeshivas operated from morning to evening. Graduates of the yeshiva were appointed as rabbis and assumed communal positions in Iraq, Persia, and the Land of Israel (Ben-Yaakov, 1965; Mills, 2019; Shabbat, 2016).

Beit Zilkha's independent status—as an autonomous institution compared to official community institutions—and the resources provided by donors made it a center of study and educational influence in Iraq. Under its influence, additional institutions and synagogues were established where public lectures were delivered; over the years, Beit Zilkha students served as rabbis and teachers in various regions (Mills, 2019; Shabbat, 2016).

In the Beit Zilkha tradition, a model was preserved whereby students of the *roshei yeshiva* studied with their teachers for extended periods, sometimes for their entire lives; the teacher was a figure for emulation and admiration. Some teachers continued teaching their students for many years, and learning included transmission of traditions, collecting notes and records, and discourse on customs and halakhot (Ben-Yaakov, 1965; Shabbat, 2016).

In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, new educational frameworks developed in Baghdad that offered professional and economic prospects. Established families began sending their children to these frameworks instead of the traditional ones previously intended primarily for the poor. A decline in community support and the expansion of educational opportunities and labor market integration led to a decrease in the number of Beit Zilkha students beginning in 1920;

this process intensified during the period of mass immigration to the Land of Israel (Mills, 2019; Sadon, 2002).

Despite the changes and numerical decline, the contribution of Beit Zilkha Yeshiva remained dominant: it trained generations of students and spiritual leaders whose influence was evident in many communities. The multi-departmental model, social support enabling prolonged study, and the in-depth teaching method influenced additional educational frameworks in Iraq and served as a source for deepening Torah study and training rabbis who also operated outside Baghdad (Ben-Yaakov, 1965; Mills, 2019; Sadon, 2002).

Beit Zilkha Yeshiva combined deep learning tradition, strong spiritual leadership, and focused social support for poor students—a system that established a stable and recognized learning center that served for many decades as a center of Torah influence in Iraq. Its decline stemmed from socio-economic changes and primarily from the expansion of secular and professional educational frameworks, but its influence on study patterns and the breadth of its graduates' dispersion is evident across generations.

6. Comparison between Lithuanian and Sephardi yeshivas

Lithuanian yeshivas and Eastern yeshivas represented two distinct educational traditions in Jewish history, each with unique organizational and pedagogical emphases. Lithuanian yeshivas were known as institutionalized, autonomous, and sometimes elitist establishments, at whose hierarchical head stood the figure of the rosh yeshiva possessing high Torah authority. This structure relied on teachers, a treasurer, and extensive connections to external funding sources, enabling an intensive study methodology and concentration of resources for training an elite class of Torah scholars. The organizational structure and economic independence deepened the Lithuanian yeshivas' ability to establish stringent educational standards and create prolonged learning tracks.

Curriculum content in Lithuanian yeshivas centered primarily on the Babylonian Talmud, analytical study, and the integration of broad expertise; secular studies were rejected or incorporated minimally. Pedagogy was largely based on self-study and deep discussions aimed at developing reflective thinking skills and profound textual analysis. The rosh yeshiva's lectures served as an intellectual model and general direction, but most time was devoted to independent and gradual learning where excellence was measured by the student's ability to present an argument and engage in debate.

In contrast, Eastern yeshivas were characterized by institutional flexibility and diversity of learning content. Many operated as private frameworks supported by benefactors, functioning as part of the local communal fabric more than as autonomous supra-communal bodies. The curriculum was pluralistic: alongside Gemara, students learned Chumash, Midrash, grammar, practical halakha, and sometimes external fields such as philosophy or basic sciences. This combination enabled Eastern yeshivas to fulfill practical communal roles and serve broad audiences—both Torah scholars and householders and the general public.

Another prominent difference was in status and the complexity of the relationship with the community. While Lithuanian yeshivas tended toward relative detachment from daily communal life for the sake of Torah specialization, Eastern yeshivas were active partners in community life: they trained rabbis, religious judges, ritual circumcisers, ritual slaughterers, and cantors, provided economic assistance to learners, and opened popular learning frameworks. This fabric created a close connection between Torah scholars and different population strata and strengthened the yeshiva's role as an educational-social center and not merely as an autonomous learning center.

In summary, while Lithuanian yeshivas aspired to build an institutionalized, rigorous educational system aimed at Torah excellence at the highest level, Eastern yeshivas tended to maintain curricular flexibility and broader communal involvement. Each model reflected different social and historical needs, and when their influence is measured across generations—both the deep learning models and the communal specialization left a significant mark on Torah life and Jewish society.

Table 1.

Category	Lithuanian Yeshiva	Sephardi-Eastern Yeshiva
Organizational Structure and Status	An institutionalized and autonomous establishment, with central leadership by the rosh yeshiva and dependence on external funding sources.	Sometimes operates within a private or communal framework, with dependence on benefactors, local support, and high communal involvement.
Curriculum Emphases	Focuses almost exclusively on analytical Talmud study and bekiut; secular studies are not studied.	Offers content pluralism including Talmud, Bible, Midrash, grammar, philosophy, and sometimes sciences as well.
Teaching Method and Pedagogy	Intensive learning in pairs, with emphasis on thorough and deep self-study; the rosh yeshiva's lecture serves as an intellectual model.	Systematic analytical study, interpretive guidance, writing legal rulings, and sometimes division into classes by levels.
Relationship to Community and Practical Roles	Relative detachment from the community for the sake of Torah specialization, with emphasis on training the learning elite.	Partnership with the community, training rabbis and judges, and economic support for learners.
Nature of Funding and Student Support	Funding through broad donations and external sources, including scholarships and assistance for students.	Funding often by local benefactors, combined with work for livelihood and study.
Social-Cultural Approach	Emphasis on thoroughness and spiritual commitment, with a clear boundary between study and the external world.	Relative openness to external ideas and diverse intellectual influences.
Prominent Advantages	Creating analytical depth and training Talmudic excellence, while preserving a rigorous tradition of bekiut.	Content diversity, broad communal involvement, and adaptation to the practical needs of the community.
Disadvantages/Limitations	Risk of detachment from society; rejection of secular studies may limit professional horizons.	Dispersion of content may compromise analytical depth, and dependence on local patronage could harm stability.

6. Conclusion

The yeshiva in the Jewish sphere has demonstrated flexibility and depth throughout the generations: it has served simultaneously as a platform for instruction, as a space for deep learning, and as an institution of spiritual-legal leadership. The historical transformations—from the transition from communal batei midrash to institutionalized Lithuanian yeshivas and to pluralistic Eastern models like Beit Zilkha—reflect adaptations to changing social, economic, and cultural needs. Additionally, in Israel, the two approaches converged, and Torah study institutions

became particularly diverse: one can find deep Torah study as expressed in Lithuanian yeshivas, alongside institutions that combine Torah studies with general studies. The fields of study are extremely broad—from batei midrash and institutions that train for professional Torah roles such as rabbis and judges, to popular institutions that teach Torah to the general public, including study for women and yeshivas that incorporate alongside the Babylonian Talmud also Bible, Jewish philosophy, and additional fields of knowledge.

Both traditions, the Lithuanian and the Eastern, each contributed in their own way to shaping the educational and leadership identity of the Jewish people: one through insistence on intellectual depth and institutional autonomy, and the other through communal involvement and content diversity. In this historical perspective, it is evident that the yeshiva is not a static body but rather a living framework, whose continuity and relevance depend on its ability to balance tradition with contemporary changes—and hence its scholarly and practical value for preserving Torah life and Jewish education.

Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

The author declares no competing interests.

References

- Ben-Naeh, Y. (2010). Yeshivot Yerushalayim ve-ha-yetzirah ha-ruchanit [The yeshivas of Jerusalem and spiritual creativity]. In *Sefer Yerushalayim bi-shelahei ha-tekufah ha-Othmanit* [The book of Jerusalem in the late Ottoman period].
- Ben-Porat, Y. (1973). Perakim be-toldot ha-yishuv ha-Yehudi bi-Yerushalayim [Chapters in the history of the Jewish community in Jerusalem] (Y. Y. Tobi, B.-Z. Yehoshua, M. Friedman, & A. Kedar, Eds.). Yad Izhak Ben-Zvi, Israel.
- Ben-Yaakov, A. (1965). *Yehudei Bavel: Mi-sof tekufat ha-ge'onim ad yameinu* [The Jews of Babylon: From the end of the Geonic period to our times, 1038–1960]. Ben-Zvi Institute at the Hebrew University; Kiryat Sefer Publishers, Israel.
- Breuer, M. B. Y. (1999). Toldot ha-yeshivot ke-aspaklarya shel toldot Yisra'el [The history of yeshivas as a mirror of Jewish history]. In *Chinuch ve-historia* [Education and history]. Israel.
- Etkes, E. (1998). *Yechid be-doro: Ha-Ga'on mi-Vilna – demut ve-dimui* [Unique in his generation: The Vilna Gaon – figure and image] (Y. B. M. Hovav, Ed.). Zalman Shazar Center for Jewish History, Israel.
- Etkes, E. (Ed.). (2006). *Yeshivot u-vatei midrashim* [Yeshivas and houses of study]. Zalman Shazar Center for Jewish History; Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Ben-Zion Dinur Center for Research in Jewish History, Israel.
- Feldhay, R., & Etkes, E. (Eds.). (1999). *Chinuch ve-historia: Heksherim tarbutiyim u-polititayim* [Education and history: Cultural and political contexts]. Zalman Shazar Center for Jewish History, Israel.
- Galily, D. (2019). The expansion of the mind—an implementation of human intellectual progress to understand human history. In T. V. Petkova & V. S. Chukov (Eds.), *3rd International e-Conference on Studies in Humanities and Social Sciences: Conference Proceedings* (pp. 225–240). Belgrade: Center for Open Access in Science. <https://doi.org/10.32591/coas.e-conf.03.22225g>

- Mills, A. (2019). *Yeshivah bi-metzi'ut chevratit mishtanah* [The yeshiva in a changing social reality] [Doctoral dissertation]. Bar-Ilan University, Israel.
- Sadon, H. A. (2002). Iraq. In *Misrad ha-chinuch ve-ha-tarbut* [Ministry of Education and Culture]. Israel.
- Shabbat, M. (2016). *Yeshivot Baghdad: Hithavtanan ve-hashpa'atan le-dorot* [The yeshivas of Baghdad: Their formation and influence for generations] (Y. Tz. Zamir, Ed.). Moshe Shabbat, Israel.
- Stampfer, S. (1981). *Shalosh yeshivot Lita'iyot ba-me'ah ha-tesha-esreh* [Three Lithuanian yeshivas in the nineteenth century]. Israel.
- Stampfer, S. (2005). *Ha-yeshivah ha-Lita'it be-hithavtutah* [The Lithuanian yeshiva in its formation]. Zalman Shazar Center for Jewish History, Israel.
- Turniansky, C. (2010). *Ha-limud ba-cheder ba-et ha-chadashah ha-mukdemet* [Study in the cheder in the early modern period]. In *Ha-cheder* [The cheder]. Israel.
- Twena, A. H. (1979). *Ha-autonomyah shel ha-kehilah ha-Yehudit be-Baghdad* [The autonomy of the Jewish community in Baghdad] (Yahadut Bavel, Ed.). Geulah Synagogue Association, Israel.



AIMS AND SCOPE

The OJSS, as an international multi-disciplinary peer-reviewed **online open access academic journal**, publishes academic articles deal with different problems and topics in various areas of sociology (urban and rural sociology, economic sociology, sociology of science, sociology of education, political sociology, political science, criminology, demography, human ecology, sociology of religion, sociology of sport and leisure, applied sociology – social work, methodology of sociological research, etc.).

The OJSS provides a platform for the manuscripts from different areas of research, which may rest on the full spectrum of established methodologies, including theoretical discussion and empirical investigations. The manuscripts may represent a variety of theoretical perspectives and different methodological approaches.

The OJSS is already indexed in Crossref (DOI), BASE (Bielefeld Academic Search Engine), Google Scholar, J-Gate, ResearchBib and WorldCat - OCLC, and is applied for indexing in the other bases (Clarivate Analytics – SCIE, ESCI and SSCI, Scopus, ERIH Plus, DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals), Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, Cabell's Directory, SHERPA/RoMEO, EZB - Electronic Journals Library, Open Academic Journals Index, etc.).

The authors of articles accepted for publishing in the OJSS should get the ORCID number (www.orcid.org).

The journal is now publishing 2 times a year.

PEER REVIEW POLICY

All manuscripts submitted for publishing in the OJSS are expected to be free from language errors and must be written and formatted strictly according to the latest edition of the [APA style](#). Manuscripts that are not entirely written according to APA style and/or do not reflect an expert use of the English language will **not** be considered for publication and will **not** be sent to the journal reviewers for evaluation. It is completely the author's responsibility to comply with the rules. We highly recommend that non-native speakers of English have manuscripts proofread by a copy editor before submission. However, proof of copy editing does *not* guarantee acceptance of a manuscript for publication in the OJSS.

The OJSS operates a double-blind peer reviewing process. The manuscript should not include authors' names, institutional affiliations, contact information. Also, authors' own works need to be blinded in the references (see the APA style). All submitted manuscripts are reviewed by the editors, and only those meeting the aims and scope of the journal will be sent for outside review. Each manuscript is reviewed by at least two reviewers.

The editors are doing their best to reduce the time that elapses between a paper's submission and publication in a regular issue. It is expected that the review and publication processes will be completed in about 2-3 months after submission depending on reviewers' feedback and the editors' final decision. If revisions are requested some changing and corrections then publication time becomes longer. At the end of the review process, accepted papers will be published on the journal's website.

OPEN ACCESS POLICY



The OJSS is an open access journal which means that all content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author. This is in accordance with the BOAI definition of open access.



All articles published in the OJSS are licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Authors hold the copyrights of their own articles by acknowledging that their articles are originally published in the OJSS.

